1. Agenda

   Documents:

   4-12-18 AGENDA.PDF

2. Meeting Materials

   Documents:

   4A PARKINSONS PROCLAMATION.PDF
   6A 2-12-18 PC MINUTES.PDF
   6B 2-26-18 PC MINUTES.PDF
   8A VOUCHERS.PDF
   6C 3-12-18 PC MINUTES.PDF
   6D CD FEBRUARY REPORT.PDF
   7A FINANCE MINUTES.PDF
   7B PUBLIC SAFETY.PDF
   8B 3-22-18 MINUTES.PDF
   8C ART BY THE BAY.PDF
   8D TOUCH A TRUCK.PDF
   8E RESOLUTION 2018-08.PDF
   8F MRSC ROSTERS.PDF
   9A ORDINANCE 1455.PDF
   10AI SURPLUS RESOLUTION.PDF
   11A INFLOW AND INFILTRATION STUDY.PDF
   11B LES SCHWAB.PDF
   11C RESOLUTION 2018-06.PDF
   11D SERS RESOLUTION.PDF
   11E ORDINANCE 1456.PDF
   ADDITIONAL COMMENTS.PDF

AGENDA
CITY COUNCIL REGULAR MEETING

Thursday, April 12, 2018 – 7:00 p.m.

Stanwood-Camano School District
Administration Building Board Room
26920 Pioneer Highway, Stanwood, WA 98292

1. CALL TO ORDER AND PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

2. ROLL CALL

3. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA

4. PRESENTATION

a. Proclamation – Parkinson's Awareness Month

5. CITIZEN COMMENTS

6. STAFF REPORTS

a. Planning Commission Minutes – February 12, 2018
b. Planning Commission Minutes – February 26, 2018
c. Planning Commission Minutes – March 12, 2018
d. Community Development Report – February 2018

7. COMMITTEE REPORTS

a. Finance
b. Public Safety

8. CONSENT AGENDA

a. Approve Vouchers and Payroll Checks
b. Approve March 22, 2018 Regular Meeting Minutes
c. Approve Art By the Bay Special Event Permit and City Contribution
d. Approve Touch-a-Truck Special Event Permit and City Contribution
e. Adopt Resolution 2018-08 Authorizing Participation in Cooperative Purchasing Agreement with U.S. Communities
f. Authorize the mayor to sign the MRSC Rosters Contract

9. OLD BUSINESS

a. Ordinance 1455 – Edits to Zoning Use Table – Tattoo & B&B Changes, Second Reading and Final Adoption

10. PUBLIC HEARING

a. To Hear Public Testimony regarding Resolution 2018-05 Surplus of City Property
   i. Resolution 2018-05 Surplus of City Property

11. NEW BUSINESS

a. Authorize the mayor to sign contract with RH2 for professional consulting services for an Inflow & Infiltration Study
b. Authorize the mayor to sign the Termination Agreement for Les Schwab to terminate two water line easements and one floor tear up agreement
c. Adopt Resolution 2018-06 Amending 2018 Salary and Benefit Schedule
d. Adopt Resolution 2018-07 Supporting Funding of New Countywide 911 Emergency Radio System
e. Ordinance 1456 – Retail Marijuana Rules, First Reading, Public Comment

12. REPORTS OF OFFICERS AND COMMITTEES

a. Mayor's Report
b. City Administrator's Report
c. Councilmember Reports/Questions

13. CITIZEN CLOSING COMMENTS

14. ADJOURN TO EXECUTIVE SESSION – PROPERTY ACQUISITION [RCW 42.30.110(C)]

15. RECONVENE AND ADJOURN

Upcoming Meetings:
April 26, 2018 Regular Meeting
May 10, 2018 Regular Meeting

CITY OF STANWOOD
COUNCIL AGENDA STAFF REPORT

ITEM NUMBER: 4a

DATE: April 12, 2018

SUBJECT: Proclaiming April 2018 as Parkinson's Awareness Month

CONTACT PERSON: Erica Schorn, Deputy Clerk

ATTACHMENTS: A – Parkinson's Awareness Month Proclamation

SUMMARY STATEMENT

A proclamation proclaiming the month of April 2018 as Parkinson's Awareness Month is attached.

ATTACHMENT A

2018 CITY PROCLAMATION

.

WHEREAS, Parkinson's disease is a chronic, progressive, neurological disease and is the second most common neurodegenerative disease in the United States;

WHEREAS, Parkinson's disease is estimated to affect approximately one million people in the United States and the prevalence will more than double by 2040;

WHEREAS, Parkinson's disease is the 14th leading cause of death in the United States according to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention;

WHEREAS, it is estimated that the economic burden of Parkinson's disease is at least $14.4 billion annually, including indirect costs to patients and family members of $6.3 billion;

WHEREAS, research suggests the cause of Parkinson's disease is a combination of genetic and environmental factors, but the exact cause and progression of the disease is still unknown;

WHEREAS, there is no objective test or biomarker for Parkinson's disease, and there is no cure or drug to slow or halt the progression of the disease;

WHEREAS, the symptoms of Parkinson's disease vary from person to person and can include tremors; slowness of movement and rigidity; difficulty with balance, swallowing, chewing, and speaking; cognitive impairment and dementia; mood disorders; and a variety of other non-motor symptoms;

WHEREAS, volunteers, researchers, caregivers, and medical professionals are working to improve the quality of life of persons living with Parkinson's disease and their families;

WHEREAS, increased research, education, and community support services such as those provided by the Parkinson's Foundation and other organizations are needed to find more effective treatments and to provide access to quality care to those living with the disease today;

NOW, THEREFORE, I, Leonard Kelley, Mayor of the City of Stanwood, WA, do hereby proclaim the month of April in 2018 as

PARKINSON'S AWARENESS MONTH

Given under my hand in these free United States in the City of Stanwood, and to which I have caused the Seal of the City of Stanwood of to be affixed and have made this proclamation public.

_____

Mayor

CITY OF STANWOOD
COUNCIL AGENDA STAFF REPORT

ITEM NUMBER:	6a
DATE:	April 12, 2018
SUBJECT:	Minutes of the February 12, 2018 Planning Commission Meeting
CONTACT PERSON:	Devin Sola, Associate Planner
ATTACHMENTS:	A – February 12, 2018 Planning Commission Minutes

---

SUMMARY STATEMENT

The minutes for the February 12, 2018 Planning Commission meeting are attached for council review.

ATTACHMENT A

STANWOOD PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTES

February 12, 2018

Call to Order

Chair Utgard called the meeting to order at 6:30PM with the following Commissioners present: Kelly McGill, Randy Heagle, Steve Shepro, Susan Ronken and Marcus Metz. Commissioner Lance Dennis was excused. Staff present; Community Development Director Ryan Larsen, Community Development Senior Planner Amy Rusko, Planning Commission Clerk Devin Sola. Known public in attendance: Gary Platt, Chris Lilley, Jean Shumate, Lloy Shaaf, Liz Jamieson, Katie Getzinger, Anna Nelson, Ryan Ovenell, Mike McGuinness, Steve Hendricksan, Aleph Fackenthall, Kenneth Kron, Don Alle, Leo and Judy Epley, Ross Cockrum, Greg Johns, Tim Schmidt, Natalie Hagglund, Sara and Matt Surdyl, Nancy Fall, Jennifer Viedig, Paul Wilson, Brian Gentry, Katheryn Freeman, Melissa Girard, Brian Romano, Denise and Sam Long, John and Cathy Getzinger, Michael and Cathy Wooten.

No Public Requests or Comments

Minutes

The minutes of the January 8 and January 22, 2018 meetings were approved as presented.

New Business

Cedarhome Square

Ms. Amy Rusko gave the staff report, explaining that the applicant is requesting preliminary plat approval, along with a critical areas and SEPA environmental review for up to 125 residential dwelling units/lots with a mix of single family detached, townhomes and cottage type housing. The proposed community will also include a variety of open space amenities, including a common open space tract with an optional clubhouse, protected wetland tracts and a Stormwater pond tract. A zoning variance is requested to allow for a reduction of the cottage housing lot dimensions in order to meet the goals of the Traditional Neighborhood zoning district. This variance will be decided by the Hearing Examiner.

Brian Gentry of Gentry Homes introduced himself and the project at Cedarhome Square. Gentry Homes has been working for a year and a half regarding the Schmakeit Farm and Annexation which required a code change to the Traditional Neighborhood (TN) zone. The code change made it more functional for development. Landed Gentry is excited about this new neighborhood and believes it will be a nice addition to Stanwood. This is Landed Gentry's first time in Stanwood. The intention for Cedarhome Square is that it will be an active adult (55 year plus) neighborhood. Currently one is being built in Mount Vernon- Woodside – with a similar format.

The Planning Commission discussed:

- Landscape Plan – trail easement
- Cottage dwelling units – garages on alleys / 20 ft driveways
- Fair Housing Act for elders / 55+ active adult community

Staff answered inquiry from the Planning Commission regarding any potential conflict with the garages on alleys for cottage dwellings and whether or not that conflicts with the requirement of 20 foot driveways. Anna Nelson with Landed Gentry answered that they will inquire with City staff.

Public Comment:
Michael Wooten, 27828 84th, Dr., Stanwood. Question regarding covenant on 55+ age requirement and whether that remains for the life of the development or would it go away.

Mr. Gentry answered that he has never observed it going away.

Greg Johns, 28926 54th Ave NW, Stanwood. Stated that traffic on 284th, and with new development on 68th, would cause issues. There is no shoulder and the road is shared by bikers, walkers and kids who walk to school. Concerned with how additional homes will affect traffic and safety of residents. There have already been a few traffic accidents.

Cathy Wooten, 27828 84th Dr., Stanwood. Stated that she had attended the initial presentation in fall. Inquired when the public hearing is set will it be a final hearing for the site plan before the Hearing Examiner or could the plans change after the hearing.

Ms. Rusko answered that the plans should not change much, if any. This is the first development in the TN zone.

Steve Hendrickson, 29206 64th Ave NW, Stanwood. Inquired whether the covenant of 55 + age restriction not required and could change later.

Tim Schmidt, 27308 101st Ave NW, Stanwood. Concerned with rural charm of Stanwood being lost similar to surrounding towns. Stated his hope that the preservation of farmland be a priority as it improves one's quality of life.

Aleph Fackenthall, 6104 284th St NW. Asked after school impact fees. Expressed concern with additional students being added to Cedarhome Elementary with additional development in the area. There are 127 kids on bus routes with only 80 actually taking the bus. Concerned that students who have attended Cedarhome will be shifted to other schools.

Mr. Gentry inserted that 80% of the homes in this proposed neighborhood would be 55+ so 20% of houses could be homes with school aged children.

Matt Surdyl, 6640 281st St NW, Stanwood. Please explain 55+ age requirement for this development. Expressed concerns with driveways off Jensen Rd and concern with street parking.

Mr. Gentry reviewed the age requirement and the site plan, explaining that the proposal design is less dense than the code would allow. There have been traffic studies which show that there will be enough street parking. Full curb, sidewalks and gutters will be on the North side of Jensen.

Brian Romano, 6425 284th St, Stanwood. Stated that he wished to second the earlier sentiment of loss of farmland in the Stanwood area. Concerned with additional traffic and

street parking. The variance wants to reduce the size of houses. Believes this is financial gain for the developer which is not allowed.

Mr. Gentry answered that 3,000 sq. ft. is the minimum and they are asking for a variance on lot width. Expressed the desire to preserve farmland and natural resources and that the State does growth management through the GMA in order to plan for growth. Believes the variance they are asking for is reasonable.

Michael Wooten, 27828 84th, Dr., Stanwood. Expressed discomfort at how the applicant explained the 55+ covenant on the proposed neighborhood and that it may actually not be instated if the market does not support it. Stated that he believes not enough definitive information is coming from the Applicant.

Steve Hendrickson, 29206 64th Ave NW, Stanwood. Asked what time the traffic study was completed.

Ms. Anna Nelson with Landed Gentry answered that it was completed while school was in session in the am and pm.

Steve Hendrickson, 29206 64th Ave NW, Stanwood. Asked what time of day.

Ms. Anna Nelson answered that she does not recall, but that City staff could follow up with that information.

Cathy Wooten, 27828 84th, Dr., Stanwood. Expressed that everyone's concerns are valid regarding traffic and safety and asked the room if anyone has viewed a Landed Gentry development. Stated that her friends have said that Landed Gentry provides a nice development and that it would be a nice development for Stanwood.

Mr. Gentry explained where the Woodside development is located in Mount Vernon and explained the status and phases of building these developments – 55+. Could see townhomes going different direction due to the physical and geographical isolation.

Matt Surdyl, 6640 281st St NW, Stanwood. Inquired after height restrictions.

Ms. Rusko answered: 30 ft. for single-family and cottages, 40 ft. for townhouse and 20 ft. for garages.

Jody, 28258 68th Ave – Move driveways on 68th. Are there any plans to widen 68th Street and is there a timeline on this project.

Mr. Gentry answered that there is no plan to change 68th. There is one shared driveway on 68th Street. There is not much fronting on 68th Street. Currently there are two properties on 68th. Regarding the timeline, would like to be working on Phase I by summer. The project is market-based so the entire timeline from start to finish is hard to predict. Each phase will be market dependent, but four to five years approximately.

Chair Utgard encouraged the public to email and send comment letters to City Hall. Ms. Rusko inserted that all comments will be to the Hearing Examiner.

Stanwood High School

Ms. Amy Rusko gave the staff report and Mr. Chris Lilley of McGranahan Architects gave the presentation on the property.

The Planning Commission discussed the following:

- wetland on property
- comment letter submitted at tonight's meeting
- future options if school enrollment increases with additional classrooms needed
- parking and access
- frontage improvements
- bus routes / drop-off and pick-up

Public Comment:

Don Allen, 26900 78th Ave NW. Stanwood. Introduced himself as St. Cecilia's Parish Administrator who is attending tonight in the Pastor's absence. Supports Stanwood High School, concern over movement of alternative high school. Stated that St. Cecilia and the school has a good relationship and the church allows students to use its parking lot. However, recently this has gotten worse with students using the parking lot and burning out and the church is concerned that things could get worse. Occasionally there is minor vandalism. Because there is no turn-around, the church parking lot has become the turn-around. Others have offered that a gate could be a solution however the church cannot staff a gate all hours as there are many functions at different times throughout the day and year. Informed the Commission that the church gave the City an easement across the back of the property several years ago. Inquired how water will be mitigated. As of now there is so much standing water that it is impossible to mow the grass in spring. To the west at the end of the parking lot water flows from the parking lot to surface water trenches.

Ryan Ovenell. Introduced himself with the Stanwood/Camano School District. Answered after parking concerns issues raised by Mr. Allen.

Jean Shumate. Introduced herself as the Superintendent of the Stanwood/Camano School District. Stated that the SRO has been checking out the parking issues to make sure that kids park on campus.

Discussion was held on the following:

- Parking
- Traffic- amount and flow
- Saratoga school traffic, drop-off/pick-up
- Traffic monitoring and Traffic study

Michael Wooten, 27828 84th, Dr., Stanwood WA. Encouraged others to put their concerns in writing to the City and become a party of record for the Hearing Examiner.

Katheryn Freeman, 6620 281st St., Stanwood WA. How will the Saratoga and High School be integrated.

Ryan Ovenell. The integration is minimal. Gym will be cathartic for both schools. Explained location of schools. Different entrances. The parent parking location – 0 spots for Saratoga.

John Getzinger, 7701 Cecilia Way, Stanwood, WA. Concerns with the 44.5 feet from the fence to the edge of the building. Any school now in Stanwood that is close to private property- property value concerns. Concern getting emergency services through as well.

Cathy Getzinger, 7701 Cecilia Way, Stanwood. Concerned with widening of 78th Ave NW to accommodate traffic. Concern of lack of space during pick up and drop off times. Drive down property value. Not plan that was put forth to voters and prefer that the building is not placed in current location proposed. If it is, does in a way that doesn't disturb the neighborhood.

Ryan Ovenell – Church Creek Campus – split into two wings with 300 kids at any time of the day. The number of students will be less.

Community Development Director Ryan Larsen inserted that the parking and drop-off areas are reviewed.

Mr. Lilley added that the traffic flow is described. Traffic now / buses now. The buses won't go off of 272nd. Improve level-of-service at intersection now. Queues will be improved significantly.

Don Allen and Cathy Getzinger both expressed concern with emergency vehicle access, especially if concurred with a church and high school event or pick up time.

Mr. Allen informed the Commission that fire drills impact ingress/egress.

Mr. Ryan Ovenell added that the school district could coordinate future fire drills with the church.

John Getzinger, 7701 Cecilia Way, Stanwood, WA. Regarding Surface water, water is bubbling up and pushing into the yard and causes erosion. It is taking out trees and needs to be addressed. This is a property value issue.

The Planning Commission discussed impervious surface and surface water.

Chair Utgard encouraged the audience to submit comments to the City before the Hearing Examiner holds a hearing. It is not scheduled as the City is waiting on submittal by the School District.

There was no old business or miscellaneous business.

No Old Business

No Miscellaneous Business & Upcoming Items

Adjourn

The meeting adjourned at 8:20PM.

_____

Devin M. Sola, City of Stanwood

CITY OF STANWOOD
COUNCIL AGENDA STAFF REPORT

ITEM NUMBER:	6b
DATE:	April 12, 2018
SUBJECT:	Minutes of the February 26, 2018 Planning Commission Meeting
CONTACT PERSON:	Devin Sola, Associate Planner
ATTACHMENTS:	A – February 26, 2018 Planning Commission Minutes

---

SUMMARY STATEMENT

The minutes for the February 26, 2018 Planning Commission meeting are attached for council review.

ATTACHMENT A

STANWOOD PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTES

February 26, 2018

Call to Order

Chair Utgard called the meeting to order at 6:30PM with the following Commissioners present: Kelly McGill, Randy Heagle, Steve Shepro, Susan Ronken, Marcus Metz, Lance Dennis. Staff present; Community Development Director Ryan Larsen and Community Development Senior Planner Amy Rusko. Known public in attendance: Bryan Hansen, Kristie Flickner, Cathy Wooten, Paul Armstrong, Michelle Armstrong, David Armstrong, Dottie Gorsuch, Katie Shrock, Sarah Cookston, Aleph Fackenthall, Kathleen Shepro, Nancy Hansen, Brandy Vizmanos-Garcia, David Rodenbusle,

Chair Utgard welcomed Commissioner Lance Dennis to his first Commission meeting.

Public Requests or Comments

Tim Schmidt, 27308 101st Ave NW, Stanwood. Requested that when the city looks at the existing sign code to consider A-board signs, temporary event signs/banners and signage along SR532 for businesses that do not site on the highway. The city should be harboring good business but not allowing for sign blight. He stated that it seems as though smaller businesses are enforced more heavily than bigger businesses. He sees more leeway with the signage at bigger box stores and strip malls.

Minutes

No minutes were submitted.

New Business

Recreational Marijuana Rules Discussion

Director Ryan Larsen gave the staff report, explaining that City Council requested staff take another look at the rules and regulations to allow recreational marijuana retailers and/or marijuana producer/processors within the city limits. City Council made this request at their January 11, 2018 council meeting. Mr. Larsen summarized the rules that would be put in place regarding these marijuana uses. The city will be maintaining the 1,000 foot setback buffer from elementary/secondary schools and playgrounds, parks and childcare facilities. There is also a 2,500 foot buffer between any two retail marijuana stores. This buffer will ultimately only allow for 1 retail store within the city. The retail stores would have a limit of 2 within the city limits, but could only located where allowed. Currently there would be no limit to the amount of producer/processors that could locate in the city. The new businesses will follow the regulations of the Stanwood municipal codes, Washington State Liquor and Cannabis Board regulations, and follow all RCW's and WAC's related to the producing, processing or selling of recreational marijuana. The producer/processors will be allowed to locate within the Light Industrial and General Industrial areas of the city. The retail stores will be allowed to locate within the Mainstreet Business I, Mainstreet Business II and General Commercial areas of the city. Depending on where the business is located there will be requirements for building façade improvements, frontage improvements and parking along the street or front of the store.

Impacts to the city that will need to be reviewed prior to a business moving in will include; water and sewer usage estimates, traffic impact fees, fire impact fees, and odor. Staff proposed to combine both the retail and producer/processor sections of the code together, but after further discussion it was decided to leave them separated so the decision can be made to approve or disapprove each one independently of each other. City staff will research the financial benefits or issues related to both the retailers and producer/processors.

The Planning Commission discussed:

- Are there any retail or producer/processor businesses wanting to come into the city at this time?
- Sign code regulations related to marijuana businesses.
- Definition of public transit station vs Stanwood station.
- Tax revenue numbers that Stanwood is expected to see.
- How do the proposed rules and regulations compare to other surrounding cities?

Public Comment:

Aleph Fackenthall, 6108 284th, Stanwood. Brought up and summarized the 2016 planning commission and city council meetings about marijuana. He stated that he is not necessarily against the use of medical marijuana, but does not want to see a recreational store locate in Stanwood.

Michael Wooten, 27828 84th, Dr., Stanwood. Stated that medical marijuana is okay but not recreational marijuana. He would like to see this issue placed on a ballot and voted on by the whole community and not just decided by the planning commission and city council members.

Katie Shrock, 26930 94th Dr. NW, Stanwood. There are inconsistent rules and regulations between businesses in Stanwood. Small business owners and issues with signs are treated differently than the big businesses. Marijuana is still Federally illegal and rules have been passed to be able to shut down businesses within states that have made it legal. Marijuana is still a schedule I controlled substance (Heroin is also a schedule I controlled substance).

Kathy Shepro, 8611 280th St NW, Stanwood. Stated that the odor nuisances throughout the city have not been addressed yet. She would like to see this part of the code be addressed prior to considering marijuana related business being discussed. The retail and producer/processors should not be packaged together. Marijuana businesses are not an appropriate business to be bringing within the city. The tax revenue estimates need to be more exact. Can staff research other cities of the same size to get a more viable number? The planning commission and city council need to be more informed with what the people want.

Cathy Wooten, 27828 84th Dr., Stanwood. Stated that it is very important to be able to speak at the planning commission meetings. Odor within the industrial zones is still an issue that has not been addressed in the code. How good is Stanwood at enforcing the code? Need to start punishing businesses that are not in compliance with the code.

Tim Schmidt, 27308 101st Ave NW, Stanwood. Concerned with issues around the signage for the retail stores. Will the businesses be allowed to have bright colors/displays/etc.? What will be done about the retail store odor next to a single family residence? How do the

neighbors get away from the smell? The store should provide brochures stating how to receive help for substance abuse.

Dottie Gorsuch, 27519 80th, Stanwood. Asked if money is more powerful than the kids? Do we want this in our city? She is appalled at the entire topic of allowing marijuana within our city. She referenced a Seattle police presentation that was done a couple years ago about the effects of legalizing marijuana has had on the City of Seattle. City Council and Planning Commissioners are like the mothers and fathers of the community. The mothers and fathers should be protecting our community and our youth. She stated that the city still has the option to allow or not allow marijuana to be legal within Stanwood, we do not have to follow what the state has done. We have a choice.

Kelly McGill, Planning Commissioner, discussed the similarities and differences between marijuana use and alcoholism. He stated he has a hard time buying into the idea that recreational marijuana will destroy a community and its children.

Bryan Hansen, 28714 75th Dr. NW, Stanwood. Wanted to know how a new business locating next to a marijuana store would be effected? If the new business is one that needs a setback buffer, will the buffer work in reverse? For example, if the new business was a child care facility would they need to located 1,000 feet away from the marijuana retail store? He stated that he feels this is a waste of time and resources to bring forward again to the planning commission and city council. He referenced an article in the Journal of Addictive Behavior (Oregon). The downtown area should be a safe zone for people to shop and not be bothered by the nuisance of a marijuana retail store. He stated that there should be not premature decisions on this item. Who regulates the potency of the marijuana that is sold?

Kristie Flickner, 842 Madrona Beach Rd, Camano Island. Questioned why we need more marijuana in the home and community? There is no reason for a store to locate in the city of Stanwood. There are other locations that people can go to buy marijuana. The kids think it is more acceptable because they see it all over being advertised on signs, billboards, and on buildings.

Nancy Hansen, 28714 75th Dr. NW, Stanwood. She wanted to clarify the email address to send in comments about topics at the planning commission meetings.

Steve Shepro, Planning Commissioner. Stated that he received the staff report and documents 3 days prior the planning commission meeting. He would like to motion for a sub-committee to be formed to discuss the marijuana retail and producer/processors in further detail. There was no second to move this forward.

Kelly McGill, Planning Commissioner. Stated that he would like to see the retail and producer/processor regulations stay separate. Then they can be voted on separately.

Heritage Master Plan Presentation

Mr. Larsen offered to provide a 30 minute power point presentation and discussion at the meeting or the presentation could be moved to the next meeting in order to have time for a full presentation.

Commissioner Shepro stated that he would like to have the full version presented and thought it was important to have more time for the presentation. Commission Shepro made a motion to have the presentation be move to the March 12th planning commission meeting. The rest of the planning commission member supported the motion.

Commissioner Utgard stated that the Heritage Master Plan Presentation will be moved to the March 12th planning commission meeting.

No Old Business

Miscellaneous Business & Upcoming Items

Kelly McGill, Planning Commissioner. Suggested that staff visit the completed sewer installation project along Pioneer Highway next to Fox Hill Estates to check for erosion control.

Adjourn

The meeting adjourned at 7:48 PM.

_____

Amy M. Rusko, City of Stanwood

CITY OF STANWOOD
COUNCIL AGENDA STAFF REPORT

ITEM NUMBER:	8a
DATE:	April 12, 2018
SUBJECT:	Approval of Voucher and Payroll Checks
CONTACT PERSON:	Reina Barber, Accounting Specialist
ATTACHMENTS:	A - Voucher Check Detail

SUMMARY STATEMENT

Approve issuance of Umpqua Bank voucher checks 26881 through 26946 and electronic funds transfers in the amounts of $242,879.80 and voided check 26838. Approve issuance of Umpqua Bank payroll electronic funds transfers in the amount of $30,950.00.

RECOMMENDED MOTION

I MOVE TO APPROVE ISSUANCE OF UMPQUA BANK VOUCHER CHECKS 26881 THROUGH 26946 AND ELECTRONIC FUNDS TRANSFERS IN THE AMOUNTS OF $242,879.80 AND VOIDED CHECK 26838. APPROVE ISSUANCE OF UMPQUA BANK PAYROLL ELECTRONIC FUNDS TRANSFERS IN THE AMOUNT OF $30,950.00.

ATTACHMENT A

CHECK REGISTER

City Of Stanwood
MCAG #: 0695

Time: 08:45:25 Date: 04/04/2018
03/15/2018 To: 04/04/2018
Page: 1

Trans	Date	Type	Acct #	Chk #	Claimant	Amount	Memo
1883	04/02/2018	Claims	2	EFT	WA St Dept of Revenue **	11,886.94	B&O Excise Tax February 2018
1786	03/27/2018	Claims	2	26838	Washington Federal Bank		void check - it was given to WA Fed to start new bank account
1663	03/21/2018	Claims	2	26881	APP- Associated Petroleum Products	2,224.45	04-0100494
1664	03/21/2018	Claims	2	26882	Brim Tractor Company Inc	385.90	S22361
1665	03/21/2018	Claims	2	26883	Cascade Septic, LLC	110.00	City Of Stanwood
1666	03/21/2018	Claims	2	26884	City of Everett *	185.00	STACITG
1667	03/21/2018	Claims	2	26885	Databar Inc.	926.41	8952
1668	03/21/2018	Claims	2	26886	Edge Analytical Inc	200.00	STA01
1669	03/21/2018	Claims	2	26887	Frontier *	1,171.24	206-188-0411-053105-5; 360-629-4907-072497-5
1670	03/21/2018	Claims	2	26888	Funderburke Autobody	493.13	City Of Stanwood
1671	03/21/2018	Claims	2	26889	Haggen Inc	21.60	City Of Stanwood
1672	03/21/2018	Claims	2	26890	Trevor Harrison	102.00	City Of Stanwood
1673	03/21/2018	Claims	2	26891	KCDA Purchasing Coorperative	56.98	101453
1674	03/21/2018	Claims	2	26892	Les Schwab Tire Centers	64.41	S34-00006
1675	03/21/2018	Claims	2	26893	Natalya Forbes Attorney at Law	40.00	City Of Stanwood
1676	03/21/2018	Claims	2	26894	Office Depot	392.30	36274097; 36274097; 36274097; 36274097; 36274097
1677	03/21/2018	Claims	2	26895	PUD Of Snohomish County *	3,978.78	2001-2874-2; 2001-0353-9; 2019-4239-8; 2007-3867-2; 2007-9406-3; 2007-9406-3
1678	03/21/2018	Claims	2	26896	Perteet Inc.	5,865.26	City Of Stanwood; City Of Stanwood
1679	03/21/2018	Claims	2	26897	Platt Electric Supply Inc	7.76	24127
1680	03/21/2018	Claims	2	26898	Skagit Farmers Supply	844.69	135052
1681	03/21/2018	Claims	2	26899	Sno Co Public Works *	787.89	CR000024; CR000024
1682	03/21/2018	Claims	2	26900	Sno Co Sheriff's Office - CB	16.60	City Of Stanwood
1683	03/21/2018	Claims	2	26901	Sno Co Sheriffs Office *	143,318.17	SSH00001
1684	03/21/2018	Claims	2	26902	Snohomish County 911	6,658.91	City Of Stanwood
1685	03/21/2018	Claims	2	26903	Casey Sousa	150.00	City Of Stanwood
1686	03/21/2018	Claims	2	26904	Stanwood Ace Hardware/ Jks Ventures	407.16	20013
1687	03/21/2018	Claims	2	26905	Stanwood Camano News	205.86	261981; 261981
1688	03/21/2018	Claims	2	26906	Stanwood Redi-Mix Inc	65.34	1CI090
1689	03/21/2018	Claims	2	26907	Swank Motion Pictures, Inc.	1,025.00	0326363-001
1690	03/21/2018	Claims	2	26908	Utilities Underground Loc	29.67	134200
1691	03/21/2018	Claims	2	26909	Verizon Wireless	2,453.13	372541731-00001
1692	03/21/2018	Claims	2	26910	WA St Dept of Transportation *	232.05	SW001523800
1693	03/21/2018	Claims	2	26911	Washington Tractor Inc.	13.53	111096
1694	03/21/2018	Claims	2	26912	Zumar Industries Inc	94.15	000683
1785	03/27/2018	Claims	2	26913	Washington Federal Bank		voided check given to WA Fed for new bank set up
1884	04/02/2018	Claims	2	26914	Cascade Natural Gas Corp	1,220.69	127 540 0000 3; 839 440 0000 5; 498 440 0000 7; 953 540 0000 2; 608 440 0000 4
1885	04/02/2018	Claims	2	26915	Confluence Environmental Company, Inc	4,192.50	City Of Stanwood
1886	04/02/2018	Claims	2	26916	Wendy Corder Dowhower	35.32	City Of Stanwood
1887	04/02/2018	Claims	2	26917	Core & Main	156.30	110855
1888	04/02/2018	Claims	2	26918	Databar Inc.	275.02	8952
1889	04/02/2018	Claims	2	26919	Department Of Retirement Systems	25.00	000000930
1890	04/02/2018	Claims	2	26920	Edge Analytical Inc	282.00	STA01; STA01; STA01
1891	04/02/2018	Claims	2	26921	Environment Control	1,116.22	257778
1892	04/02/2018	Claims	2	26922	FCS Group- Solutions- Oriented Consult.	10,020.00	City Of Stanwood; City Of Stanwood

CHECK REGISTER

City Of Stanwood — Time: 08:45:25 Date: 04/04/2018
MCAG #: 0695 — 03/15/2018 To: 04/04/2018 — Page: 2

Trans	Date	Type	Acct #	Chk #	Claimant	Amount	Memo
1893	04/02/2018	Claims	2	26923	Geoterra	500.00	City Of Stanwood
1894	04/02/2018	Claims	2	26924	Kirby Built Quality Products	263.30	City Of Stanwood
1895	04/02/2018	Claims	2	26925	Lakeside Industries Inc	537.23	108469
1896	04/02/2018	Claims	2	26926	Lenz Enterprises Inc	4,547.58	City Of Stanwood; City Of Stanwood
1897	04/02/2018	Claims	2	26927	Office Depot	637.18	36274097; 36274097; 36274097; 36274097
1898	04/02/2018	Claims	2	26928	PUD Of Snohomish County *	15,817.59	2209-2331-2; 2209-2331-2; 2002-0885-8; 2029-8235-1; 2029-8235-1; 2024-2372-9
1899	04/02/2018	Claims	2	26929	Photography by Ian Gleadle	617.76	City Of Stanwood
1900	04/02/2018	Claims	2	26930	Puget Sound Hardware, Inc	30.02	City Of Stanwood
1901	04/02/2018	Claims	2	26931	Ricoh USA, Inc.	1,850.89	1316669-3660140 5F J; 131669-3660090; 1316669-3660142; 1316669-3660143; 131669-3659652
1902	04/02/2018	Claims	2	26932	Sno Co District Court	510.40	City Of Stanwood
1903	04/02/2018	Claims	2	26933	Sno Co Information Services *	4,762.49	DIS1107
1904	04/02/2018	Claims	2	26934	Sno Co Planning & Development Svcs	1,229.00	PDS00006
1905	04/02/2018	Claims	2	26935	Sno Co Sheriff's Office - CB	3,107.95	City Of Stanwood
1906	04/02/2018	Claims	2	26936	Snohomish County Public Def Association	1,926.10	City Of Stanwood
1907	04/02/2018	Claims	2	26937	Snohomish County Treasurer	27.32	Stanwood - Crime Victims
1908	04/02/2018	Claims	2	26938	Lisa Sokolik	85.41	City Of Stanwood
1909	04/02/2018	Claims	2	26939	Stanwood Camano News	89.50	261981
1910	04/02/2018	Claims	2	26940	TMG Services Inc	291.16	1920010
1911	04/02/2018	Claims	2	26941	Twin City Lanes & Trophy	143.96	City Of Stanwood
1912	04/02/2018	Claims	2	26942	United Rentals NW Inc	1,803.15	1383862
1913	04/02/2018	Claims	2	26943	Unum Life Insurance	88.40	0220603-036 2
1914	04/02/2018	Claims	2	26944	WA St Treasurer	1,888.59	City Of Stanwood
1915	04/02/2018	Claims	2	26945	Washington Tractor Inc.	52.56	111096
1916	04/02/2018	Claims	2	26946	Waste Management Skagit	334.90	8-34465-95001

001 General Fund	172,134.39	
101 Street Fund	2,827.46	
103 Street Construction Fund	6,653.15	
401 Sewer Fund	18,589.08	
403 Sewer Construction Fund	2,012.50	
410 Drainage Fund	2,819.15	
411 Drainage Construction Fund	10,419.55	
421 Water Fund	20,798.22	
422 Water Construction Fund	4,701.93	
630 Agency Fund	1,924.37	
	Claims:	242,879.80
	242,879.80	

CERTIFICATION: I, the undersigned do hereby certify under penalty of perjury, that the materials have been furnished, the services rendered or the labor performed as described herein and that the claim is a just, due and unpaid obligation against the City of Stanwood, and that I am authorized to authenticate and certify to said claim.

( ) Finance Director ( ) Auditing Officer _____ Date: 4-5-18
( ) Deputy Finance Director

CHECK REGISTER

City Of Stanwood
MCAG #: 0695

Time: 08:45:39 Date: 04/04/2018
03/15/2018 To: 04/04/2018
Page: 1

Trans	Date	Type	Acct #	Chk #	Claimant	Amount	Memo
1638	03/23/2018	Payroll	2	EFT		1,000.00	March 2018 Draw
1639	03/23/2018	Payroll	2	EFT		2,000.00	March 2018 Draw
1640	03/23/2018	Payroll	2	EFT		1,000.00	March 2018 Draw
1641	03/23/2018	Payroll	2	EFT		1,800.00	March 2018 Draw
1642	03/23/2018	Payroll	2	EFT		1,350.00	March 2018 Draw
1643	03/23/2018	Payroll	2	EFT		3,000.00	March 2018 Draw
1644	03/23/2018	Payroll	2	EFT		1,600.00	March 2018 Draw
1645	03/23/2018	Payroll	2	EFT		1,000.00	March 2018 Draw
1646	03/23/2018	Payroll	2	EFT		2,000.00	March 2018 Draw
1647	03/23/2018	Payroll	2	EFT		3,000.00	March 2018 Draw
1648	03/23/2018	Payroll	2	EFT		1,000.00	March 2018 Draw
1649	03/23/2018	Payroll	2	EFT		800.00	March 2018 Draw
1650	03/23/2018	Payroll	2	EFT		1,000.00	March 2018 Draw
1651	03/23/2018	Payroll	2	EFT		2,000.00	March 2018 Draw
1652	03/23/2018	Payroll	2	EFT		1,600.00	March 2018 Draw
1653	03/23/2018	Payroll	2	EFT		500.00	March 2018 Draw
1654	03/23/2018	Payroll	2	EFT		2,500.00	March 2018 Draw
1655	03/23/2018	Payroll	2	EFT		1,500.00	March 2018 Draw
1656	03/23/2018	Payroll	2	EFT		1,300.00	March 2018 Draw
1657	03/23/2018	Payroll	2	EFT		1,000.00	March 2018 Draw
		001 General Fund				30,950.00	
						30,950.00	Payroll: 30,950.00

CERTIFICATION: I, the undersigned do hereby certify under penalty of perjury, that the materials have been furnished, the services rendered or the labor performed as described herein and that the claim is a just, due and unpaid obligation against the City of Stanwood, and that I am authorized to authenticate and certify to said claim.

( ) Finance Director ( ) Auditing Officer _____ Date: 4-5-18
( ) Deputy Finance Director

CITY OF STANWOOD
COUNCIL AGENDA STAFF REPORT

ITEM NUMBER:	6c
DATE:	April 12, 2018
SUBJECT:	Minutes of the March 12, 2018 Planning Commission Meeting
CONTACT PERSON:	Devin Sola, Associate Planner
ATTACHMENTS:	A – March 12, 2018 Planning Commission Minutes

SUMMARY STATEMENT

The minutes for the March 12, 2018 Planning Commission meeting are attached for council review.

STANWOOD PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTES

March 12, 2018

Call to Order

Chair Utgard called the meeting to order at 6:30PM with the following Commissioners present: Kelly McGill, Steve Shepro, Susan Ronken, Marcus Metz, Lance Dennis. Commissioner Randy Heagle was excused. Staff present; Community Development Director Ryan Larsen, Community Development Senior Planner Amy Rusko, Planning Commission Clerk Devin Sola. Known public in attendance: Cathy Wooten, Aleph Fackenthall, Dottie Gorsuch.

No Public Requests or Comments

Minutes

The minutes of the February 12, 2018 meeting were approved as presented.

***Motion*. Motion by Commissioner McGill second by Commissioner Metz to approve the minutes of February 12, 2018. Motion carried unanimously.**

New Business

Discussion: Recreational Marijuana Rules

Public Comment:

Aleph Fackenthall, 6108 284th, Stanwood. Asked for handout he brought with him to be distributed to the Commissioners which included the survey results and questions. Thanked City staff and Commission for working on the retail marijuana sales ordinance. Stated that after the last meeting, opponents for retail marijuana felt that the City's intention was to pass this without citizen input. Citizens decided to put together an online survey. Informed the Commission of the survey results. The results reflected that the citizens desired a vote on this issue. Named some accidents due to marijuana usage. Stated he hopes that the Commission will recommend an advisory vote or something similar of its citizens to the City Council.

The Commission discussed:

- How the survey functioned
- Results and bias of the survey
- Click poll on newspaper
- Nothing sly on behalf of the City Council – Mayor and Council Members have been clear on their positions
- Public vote for a controversial topic – unfair to discriminate for this particular use
- Marijuana as a less dangerous substance in comparison to other substances
- Anonymous survey takers – some people from Colorado took the survey, only local people's comments were recorded

- Mr. Fackenthall shared his experience visiting a retail marijuana shop
- Medical benefits and usage of marijuana
- Citizens used to have better access when the marijuana business was behind the police station/residents from Josephine could go there

Mr. Larsen inserted that the public hearing on retail marijuana will be held on March 26, 2018 here at the Fire Station before the Planning Commission at 6:30PM.

Heritage Master Plan Presentation

Mr. Larsen gave a power point presentation on the Heritage Park Master Plan, informing the Commission that this process started this year and the City hired J.A. Brennan, consultant, to assist with the master plan. The City is also looking at purchasing the property to the west of Heritage park which is owned by Josephine. Mr. Larsen explained grant opportunities and what the City is looking at funding as well as reviewed the scope of work and timeline.

The Planning Commission and citizens discussed the following:

- ADA access to playground
- Soccer supply door – bashed in to access field paint
- Washroom accessibility year round
- Josephine field used to be used for the 4th of July / air force band
- Picnic tables/shelter, RV hook-ups
- Trail connectivity issues/possibilities- paved for ADA access, curvy trails preferred
- Trail connect to library from Heritage park
- Loop trail more enticing
- Skate park enhancements
- Concession stand
- Current dog park- citizens do not follow the rules (safety issue), possibly relocating it due to wet/mud (the Strawberry Field in Marysville a good example for a dog park)
- Clean up the ditches along Lover's Lane / provide parking via ditch grates for game days/tournaments
- Field turf- life expectancy
- What is involved in maintaining pipes/drainage for fields
- Wetland enhancements- wetland plants clean the air, make the park look nicer, potential benefit to grant applications
- Plaque at entrance to park- prefer consistency to current wayfinding signs
- Parking/bioswells – these take away from parking area
- RV parks- maintenance and water, generators cause noise
- Trail or interpretive signage, mile markers / Kiosks could draw nefarious behavior
- Benches and/or seating supported by Commissioners
- Restrooms preferably closer to fields
- Large shelter for microphone/PA system access, BBQ pit – tournament ready facility
- Open fields and also topo change so park is not all flat (view mound?)
- Possible spray park- big draw for families
- Venue: Highland games, music venue- a multi-purpose area as a destination
- Lighting improved – especially around skate park, but consider light pollution
- How late can a park stay open at night per the Stanwood Municipal Code?
- Food trucks
- Basketball court (long wait at Lions Park pick-up games), Alfy's court in disrepair
- Tennis/pickle ball- no space

- Play features- nature features may be nice- something for young children/siblings to do when older siblings have games
- Can we enhance what we already have, Freedom Park on Camano Island as example
- Pump track around perimeter
- Skate park features- linear vs snakes
- Ovenell: passive recreation such as music, walking, picnic tables, boardwalks/look-out points/learning center are allowed because of conservation easement

Mr. Larsen stated that he will forward these suggestions and feedback to the consultant and return to the Commission in the future with designs in order to get more feedback.

Public Comment:

Tim Schmidt, 27308 101st Ave NW, Stanwood. Thanked the Commission for their time. Stated that he believes the idea of marijuana being good or bad should not be framework for this decision. This is a complex debate that even experts cannot decide on. Gave background on testimonies given in Seattle. As an example, if an adult bookstore wanted to locate in Stanwood we most likely would not be debating the morality of it. Supports allowing retail marijuana sales.

Cathy Wooten, 27828 84th, Dr., Stanwood. Said that when a person is appointed by the Mayor to be on the Planning Commission and elected to be on the City Council, the question is who are you representing? Are you representing a special interest group or the people of the community. Recalled that when Dottie was on the Council she would run through whether or not the topic of discussion would be good for our children. Also recalled speaking to Councilmember Elizabeth Callaghan both when she was a Commissioner and a Councilmember and how Councilmember Callaghan would represent the single people in our community. Who is thinking of younger children and our older citizens? Makes me think who is holding the entire community in their decision-making. Stated that she sees the Commission as representing the community and being proactive in their decision-making. Thanked the Commission for their service and asked them to hold the greater community in mind.

Dottie Gorsuch, 27519 80th Dr., Stanwood. Read a statement in response to her comments from the previous meeting. Stated that the last time she had spoken she was considered to be complaining and would like to correct that view. Said that she is passionate about representing the community including children. She hopes that the Commission will be informed about the issue and support the community's desire to keep the City safe and clean. Added that she attends church to pray for the community and described an article about a young lady found on a roadside who had overdosed and this makes her strive to make sure that Stanwood remains a safe and wholesome community. Reiterated that she loves this community and the summer fun such as movies in the park, touch a truck, the downtown music concerts and safe night out. Supports City parks and while on Council voiced her concern about having a hotel where visitors may stop and shop while attending sporting events at Heritage Park.

Commissioner McGill thanked Ms. Gorsuch for her comments and stated that anything out of moderation can be a detriment to children such as too much white refined sugar. Stated that he believes it is the parent's job to educate their children on the vices and virtues of things that they will encounter in their life and less to dictate what others are doing such as QFC

having open cases of alcohol at the entrances/exit doors. Have heard no complaints about the alcohol. This is a cultural vs logical argument.

Commissioner Ronken added that she feels like she is representing people such as her brother and the residents of Josephine- people who are suffering.

Mr. Larsen reminded the audience that there will be a public hearing on March 26, 2018.

Aleph Fackenthall, 6108 284th, Stanwood. Inquired if anyone knows of a business that would assist with transportation to those who would like to purchase marijuana products, specifically if a City says no to marijuana retail sales. Mr. Larsen answered that he is not aware of a business that does this.

The Planning Commission discussed over the counter CBD products such as ointments available through grocery stores.

No Old Business

No Miscellaneous Business & Upcoming Items

Adjourn

The meeting adjourned at 8:20 PM.

_____

Devin M. Sola, City of Stanwood

CITY OF STANWOOD
COUNCIL AGENDA STAFF REPORT

ITEM NUMBER:	6d
DATE:	April 12, 2018
SUBJECT:	Community Development Feb 2018 Monthly Report
CONTACT PERSON:	Ryan Larsen, Community Development Director
ATTACHMENTS:	A – CD Monthly Report for Feb 2018

SUMMARY STATEMENT

The report from the Community Development Department covering the month of February 2018 is attached.

Permits for the City of Stanwood

	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018 ydt 2/28
Building permits	$152,206.47	$25,413.95	$79,233.74	$107,174.36	$80,125.40	$17,332.27
Utility Service fee	$2,723.00	$925.00	$3,800.00	$9,900.00	$3,650.00	$1,240.00
Right of Way	$15,128.00	$2,592.00	$2,200.00	$1,517.50	$3,950.00	$100.00
Land Use/Zoning	$225,454.35	$173,635.44	$125,268.85	$49,916.74	$158,598.36	$65,077.00
Plan Check	$98,037.92	$16,287.65	$122,797.87	$72,603.12	$56,627.81	$10,715.04
SEPA	$1,650.00	$1,625.00	$3,000.00	$1,350.00	$450.00	$1,350.00
Streets	$150,325.30	$3,062.40	$59,196.87	$134,109.67	$249,174.25	$25,667.51
Parks	$41,011.20	$0.00	$10,252.80	$21,787.20	$83,304.00	$2,611.60
Fire	$12,950.00	$0.00	$22,052.25	$6,870.50	$10,337.50	$1,400.00
Sewer Hook up	$32,215.00	$1,000.00	$13,500.00	$16,500.00	$24,500.00	$3,500.00
Sewer Plant Investment	$414,399.00	$12,952.00	$142,472.00	$220,184.00	$172,604.00	$45,332.00
Drainage Hook up	$12,800.00	$200.00	$3,800.00	$6,800.00	$12,600.00	$1,600.00
Drainage Plant investment	$42,625.00	$0.00	$10,640.00	$48,361.75	$26,697.67	$5,614.00
Water Hook up	$38,400.00	$1,200.00	$18,870.00	$23,700.00	$19,800.00	$4,200.00
Water Plant Investment	$338,520.00	$0.00	$110,882.00	$184,800.00	$140,770.00	$36,960.00
State Surcharge	$783.00	$405.00	$481.50	$661.50	$594.00	$117.00
Mechanical	$17,024.12	$3,454.18	$8,663.65	$11,099.80	$10,888.79	$4,800.00
Plumbing	$15,391.78	$464.39	$4,279.00	$13,888.75	$8,326.26	$1,973.75
Grading	$1,059.99	$1,196.50	$819.36	$903.75	$309.23	$0.00
Demolition	$240.00	$941.21	$500.00	$523.50	$900.00	$0.00
Signs	$3,805.00	$4,045.00	$2,449.75	$3,689.15	$3,415.00	$757.51
Roofing	$3,988.56	$454.85	$1,447.25	$7,037.55	$3,577.95	$1,163.06
Sewer Availability	$500.00	$500.00	$500.00	$500.00	$1,000.00	$0.00
Water Availability	$500.00	$500.00	$500.00	$500.00	$1,000.00	$0.00
Cedarhome Plant Investment	$164,480.00	$0.00	$41,120.00	$82,240.00	$33,410.00	$15,420.00
3% Tech fee	na	$2,736.94	$6,416.31	$7,922.20	$6,143.32	$3,172.27
Special Events/Temp Use	$1,125.00	$800.00	$750.00	$896.25	$1,152.61	$100.00
Total:	$1,787,342.69	$254,391.51	$795,893.20	$1,035,437.29	$1,113,906.15	$250,203.01

ATTACHMENT A

ATTACHMENT B

Permit View | Inspection Dashboard | Plan Review | Contractors | Employees | Property | Fields/Codes | Reports | Open Map

| Main | Advanced Search | Open Saved Search | Permit Templates | New Permit Beta | New Permit |

Advanced Search Results ▼

Advanced Search Results

Select View ▼

✔	Permit #	Date	Permit Type	Proposed Use	Applicant Business Name	Applicant Name	Site Address	Status	Contractor	Date Issued	Date Completed	
✔	20180102	2/28/2018	Building Permit	New SFR	Hoyer Homes, LLC	Keith Hoyer	7802 267th Place NW	processing				Edit/View
✔	20180101	2/28/2018	A-Board	A-Board Sign	Milieu Salon and Spa	Leslie Cavanaugh	9520 271st Street NW	Complete	na	2/28/2018	3/2/2018	Edit/View
✔	20180100	2/22/2018	Plumbing	install water heater with gas piping		Loren Vance	27414 pioneer hwy	Complete	CPI	2/22/2018	2/28/2018	Edit/View
✔	20180099	2/22/2018	Mechanical	Install above ground propane tank		Loren Vance	27414 pioneer hwy	Complete	CPI	3/2/2018	3/2/2018	Edit/View
✔	20180098	2/22/2018	Taxicab	renewal for J & B Transportation	J & B Transportation	Julie L. Collins	28401 72nd DR NW	Complete	na	2/26/2018	3/2/2018	Edit/View
✔	20180097	2/22/2018	Taxicab	renewal for J & B Transportation	J & B Transportation	Brian Collins	28401 72nd DR NW	Complete		2/26/2018	3/2/2018	Edit/View
✔	20180096	2/22/2018	Right of Way	install long side gas service line. Will dig approx. 5' x5' bellhole to access main to bore service under 85th DR NW. Will pothole for utilities.		Richard Rorex	27703 Pioneer Hwy	Approved/issued	Cascade Natural Gas	3/2/2018		Edit/View
✔	20180094	2/21/2018	General Information Meeting	subdivison of 1 parcel into 2 or 3 parcels, 1 plat for single family home, 1 plat for each duplex	Manta Holdings, LLC	Nathan Rosenbaum	9930 272nd St. NW	Complete		3/16/2018	3/16/2018	Edit/View
✔	20180093	2/21/2018	Floodplain Development	Ti for Medical Clinic	Skagit Regional Clinic		9630 270th St. NW	Complete		3/12/2018	3/12/2018	Edit/View
✔	20180092	2/20/2018	Site Development	retail center with 4 tenants	Stillaguamish Properties/Port Susan Marketplac/Mod Pizza	Kidder Matthews/Rune Harkestad/Bill Lenz	26902 92nd Ave NW	processing				Edit/View
✔	20180091	2/20/2018	Building Permit	add 5x6 room for furnace, hot water tank, gas piping to units. Spiral stair and sliding door in entrance wall for dry-washer 4x6 and eliminate existing garage door put 36 door		Ramon Cortez	27307 83rd Ave NW	processing	self			Edit/View
✔	20180090	2/20/2018	General Information Meeting	Possible subdivision		Bill Hegger	28414 80th Ave NW	Complete		3/2/2018	3/2/2018	Edit/View
✔	20180089	2/20/2018	Mechanical	install ansul UL 300 system	Farmer's cafe		26710 72nd Ave NE, Ste.A	Complete	Alpine Fire	2/20/2018	2/20/2018	Edit/View
✔	20180088	2/16/2018	Roofing	re-roof loading dock, Install cover-board over existing single ply. Install new Carlisle 60 ml. TPO Roofing 111' x 32'	Twin City Foods	Paul	10120 SR 532	Approved/issued	Hytech Roofing	2/21/2018		Edit/View
✔	20180087	2/16/2018	Roofing	roof	Hamilton Lumber LLC	Evan J. Bodin	9718 271st ST NW, Stanwood	processing	Ace Mobile Home Services			Edit/View
✔	20180086	2/15/2018	Banner	Banner for Art by the Bay Festival to be held on	Art by the Bay Festival	Val Paul Taylor	27001 Florence Way	processing	na			Edit/View

Tools

- Save Selected
- Edit Selected
- Multiple Letter Creation
- Preferences
- Print Information
- Try New Interface !Beta

				August 18,19, 2018								
☑	20180085	2/15/2018	Special Event	Art by the Bay Festival to be held on August 18 & 19, 2018.	Art by the Bay Festival	Val Paul Taylor	27001 Florence Way	processing	na			Edit/View
☑	20180084	2/14/2018	Plumbing	Mineral Point Control Building CMU concrete block building	Mineral Point Phase 2	Marty Robinette	27717 68th Ave NW	Approved/issued	Robinett Land Co.	3/15/2018		Edit/View
☑	20180083	2/14/2018	Mechanical	Mineral Point Control Building CMU concrete block building	Mineral Point Phase 2	Marty Robinette	27717 68th Ave NW	Approved/issued	Robinett Land Co.	3/15/2018		Edit/View
☑	20180082	2/14/2018	Certificate of Occupancy	Haircuts, perms, color	Tangles Hair Design	Dee Shishido	8721 271st St. NW	inspection needed				Edit/View
☑	20180081	2/13/2018	Building Permit	Mineral Point Control Building CMU concrete block building	Mineral Point Phase 2	Robinette Land Co.	27717 68th Ave NW	Approved/issued	Robinette Land Co.	2/21/2018		Edit/View
☑	20180080	2/13/2018	Home Business	home business-recording stuido	Plum Tree Recording Studio	Richard Williams	10520 Saratoga Dr.	Complete		3/16/2018	3/16/2018	Edit/View
☑	20180079	2/13/2018	Mechanical	install NG Fireplace with assoc. gas piping		Barb Hewlett	28132 85th DR NW	Complete	Barron Heating	2/14/2018	3/13/2018	Edit/View
☑	20180078	2/13/2018	Mechanical	replace gas piping under new hood	Farmer's cafe		26710 72nd Ave NE, Ste.A	Complete	Lynden Sheet Metal	2/15/2018	2/20/2018	Edit/View
☑	20180077	2/12/2018	Mechanical	gas piping to furnace, range and water heater		Ramon Cortez	27307 83rd Ave NW	Complete	Barron Heating	2/12/2018	2/26/2018	Edit/View
☑	20180076	2/12/2018	Mechanical	replace RTU and 26 VAV boxes with like size units. Install 1 new cooling only split system	Skagit Regional Clinic		9630 270th St. NW	Approved/issued	Hermanson Co.	2/21/2018		Edit/View
☑	20180075	2/9/2018	Utility	Water & Sewer Availability	Trailside	John Robinette	parcel # 32032400401500	payment pending				Edit/View
☑	20180074	2/8/2018	Mechanical	installing a free-standing gas stove to existing gas for heating use		Susan Palmer	27225 103rd DR NW	Approved/issued	Craft Stove	2/9/2018		Edit/View
☑	20180073	2/8/2018	Home Business	home business-construction	NW Timber Ridge, Inc.	Bill Wheeler	27321 Pioneer Hwy	processing				Edit/View
☑	20180072	2/8/2018	Roofing	re-roof Maple Court Building D	Maple Court	Renee, (apartment Manager)	26031 72nd Ave NW	Complete	Mount Baker Roofing	2/13/2018	3/14/2018	Edit/View

Records 1 to 30 (of 46) 1 2

iWorQ

Devin Sola ▼ Permits - New ▼

Permit View | Inspection Dashboard | Plan Review | Contractors | Employees | Property | Fields/Codes | Reports | Open Map

| Main | Advanced Search | Open Saved Search | Permit Templates | New Permit Beta | New Permit |

Advanced Search Results ▼

Advanced Search Results

Select View ▼

☑	Permit #	Date	Permit Type	Proposed Use	Applicant Business Name	Applicant Name	Site Address	Status	Contractor	Date Issued	Date Completed	
☑	20180071	2/8/2018	Roofing	re-roof Maple Court Carports	Maple Court	Renee, (apartment Manager)	26031 72nd Ave NW	Complete	Mount Baker Roofing	2/13/2018	3/14/2018	Edit/View
☑	20180070	2/7/2018	General Information Meeting	potential two warehouse Style structures		McDay	8618 270th St. NW	Complete		3/16/2018	3/16/2018	Edit/View
☑	20180069	2/7/2018	Roofing	roof for Maple Court -Office Building/Clubhouse	Maple Court		26031 72nd Ave NW	Complete	Mount Baker Roofing	2/9/2018	3/14/2018	Edit/View
☑	20180067	2/6/2018	SEPA	15 lot subdivision	Trailside	John Robinette	parcel # 32032400401500	processing				Edit/View
☑	20180066	2/6/2018	Critical Areas	15 lot subdivision	Trailside	John Robinette	parcel # 32032400401500	processing				Edit/View
☑	20180065	2/6/2018	Home Business	home business-massage therapy	Longevity Therapeutic Massage, LLC	Joel Vizmanos	27238 Church Creek Loop	Complete		2/12/2018	2/12/2018	Edit/View
☑	20180064	2/6/2018	Certificate of Occupancy	Tax prep service	H & R Block		9300 271st St. NW, Ste. B7	information needed from client				Edit/View
☑	20180063	2/6/2018	Preliminary Plat	15 lot subdivision	Trailside	John Robinette	parcel # 32032400401500	processing				Edit/View
☑	20180062	2/6/2018	Banner	banner for Camano Island Historical Sites Tour	Stanwood Area Historical Society	Richard Hanks	26921 88th Ave NW	Approved/issued		2/6/2018		Edit/View
☑	20180061	2/5/2018	Special Event	special event-different types of large vehicles for children to touch	Touch a Truck	Stanwood Chamber of Commerce/ Renae Kettler	8815 271st St. NW	information needed from client				Edit/View
☑	20180060	2/5/2018	General Information Meeting	subdivision, multifamly?		Paul Schonberg	28025 80th Ave NW	Complete		2/15/2018	2/15/2018	Edit/View
☑	20180059	2/5/2018	Home Business		Utopia's	William Pearson	27310 79th DR NW	information needed from client				Edit/View
☑	20180056	2/2/2018	General Information Meeting	"As per my design plan engineers belief, the innovative invention willl become new best management practice for future kike construction, details will be provided at meeting.		Glen Johnson	26729 98th DR NW	Complete		2/14/2018	2/14/2018	Edit/View
☑	20180054	2/1/2018	Certificate of Occupancy	auto parts store	Stilly Auto Parts	Colby Knudson/Ryan Knudson	10410 269th St. NW	Complete			3/9/2018	Edit/View
☑	20180053	2/1/2018	Certificate of Occupancy	change in ownership for Defensive Driving School of Stanwood	Defensive Driving School of Stanwood	Stephen & Julie Hess	7204 267th St. NW, Ste. 104B	Complete		2/12/2018	2/12/2018	Edit/View
☑	20180052	2/1/2018	Home Business	home business, LuLaRoe Clothing	Lularoe Jessica Peterson	Jessica Peterson	28121 82nd DR NW	Complete	na	2/7/2018	2/7/2018	Edit/View

Records 31 to 46 (of 46) 1 2

Tools

- Save Selected
- Edit Selected
- Multiple Letter Creation
- Preferences
- Print Information
- Try New Interface !Beta

ATTACHMENT C

Permits for the City of Stanwood

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	ytd 2/28 2018
A-Board Signs	NA	NA	NA	47	30	17	25	18	19	10	18	**4**
Admininstration Interpretation	0	0	2	2	0	4	7	3	3	1	0	**0**
Annexation	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	1	0	**0**
Banners	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1	6	5	7	10	**5**
Binding site plan	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	**0**
Boundary Line adj	2	3	0	0	1	0	1	1	4	3	2	**0**
Commercial C of O	NA	NA	NA	NA	19	40	37	46	32	37	36	**18**
Commercial Building	24	23	21	43	29	22	31	42	28	26 5 open	28	**3**
Conditional Use	0	1	1	0	1	1	3	1	0	1 open	1	**0**
Critical Areas	0	0	0	1	6	1	13	4	0	3 1 open	5	**1**
Demolition	8	12	1	4	2	4	5	3	5	2 1 open	8	**0**
Encroachment	NA	NA	NA	2	14	0	1	1	2	2	2	**0**
Feather Banner	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	7	6	6	**2**
Final Plat	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	**3**
Fireworks	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	2	3	3	**0**
Flood Plain	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	18	17	20 2 open	7	**1**
Forest Practices	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1	0	0	0	0	0	**0**
Gen. Info Meetings	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	14	23	17	27	28	**10**
Grading	0	5	4	7	13	5	7	1 open	5	4 1 open	5	**0**
Home Occupation	NA	NA	NA	17	48	30	34	27	33	33	57	**8**
Mechanical	12	13	16	17	11	11	27	29	32	49 1 open	63	**12**
Multi-Family	3	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	4 open	1	0	**0**
Plat Minor Amend	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	1	**0**
Plumbing	7	13	25	23	18	6	13	8	18	30	41	**4**
Pre-Application	10	8	12	16	12	11	15	2	0	0	0	**0**
Preliminary Plat	0	1	1	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	1	**1**
Remodels	9	19	19	24	24	14	18	15	19	21 1 open	20	**3**
Right of Way	5	20	19	12	17	17	14	19	16	17	32	**1**
Roofs	3	11	12	7	4	1	3	5	8	11	8	**5**
SEPA	9	4	5	5	9	2	5	4	13	2 1 open	5	**1**
Shoreline	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1 1 open	0	1	**0**
Short Plat	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	**0**
Single Family Homes	90	38	39	13	8	51	59	0	24	29	26	**5**
Signs	23	25	25	55	61	28	39	45	31	31	32	**3**
Site Development	8	3	24	23	18	61	82	17 1 open	4 1 open	2 2 open	5	**1**
Special Events	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	11	15	16	15	19	**3**
Street Vacation	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	**0**
Taxi Cab	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	3	**3**
Temporary Use	NA	NA	NA	4	9	21	20	16	9	3	2	**0**
Utility	8	3	4	0	4	1	6	4	2	3	10	**1**
Variances	3	2	2	1	1	2	1	5	1	2	1	**0**
Zoning	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	2	11	5	3	2	5	**0**
TOTAL	229	205	234	331	360	354	507	370	382	420	497	**98**

iWorQ

ATTACHMENT D

Permit View | Inspection Dashboard | Plan Review | Contractors | Employees | Property | Fields/Codes | Reports | Open Map

| Main | Advanced Search | Open Saved Search | Permit Templates | New Permit Beta | New Permit |

Advanced Search Results ▼

Advanced Search Results

Select View ▼

☑	Permit #	Date	Permit Type	Proposed Use	Applicant Business Name	Applicant Name	Site Address	Status	Contractor	Date Issued	Date Completed	
☑	20180092	2/20/2018	Site Development	retail center with 4 tenants	Stillaguamish Properties/Port Susan Marketplac/Mod Pizza	Kidder Matthews/Rune Harkestad/Bill Lenz	26902 92nd Ave NW	processing				Edit/View
☑	20180067	2/6/2018	SEPA	15 lot subdivision	Trailside	John Robinette	parcel # 32032400401500	processing				Edit/View
☑	20180066	2/6/2018	Critical Areas	15 lot subdivision	Trailside	John Robinette	parcel # 32032400401500	processing				Edit/View
☑	20180063	2/6/2018	Preliminary Plat	15 lot subdivision	Trailside	John Robinette	parcel # 32032400401500	processing				Edit/View

Records 1 to 4 (of 4) 1

Tools

- Save Selected
- Edit Selected
- Multiple Letter Creation
- Preferences
- Print Information
- Try New Interface !Beta

CITY OF STANWOOD
COUNCIL AGENDA STAFF REPORT

ITEM NUMBER:	7a
DATE:	April 12, 2018
SUBJECT:	Finance/Personnel Committee Report
ATTACHMENT:	Finance/Personnel Committee Meeting Minutes

SUMMARY STATEMENT

Minutes of the March 22, 2018 Finance/Personnel Meeting are attached.

ATTACHMENT A

FINANCE/PERSONNEL COMMITTEE

March 22, 2018

Finance Committee Report (March 22, 2018 meeting summary)

In attendance:
Councilmember Larry Sather
Councilmember Dianne White
Ryan Larsen, City Administrator
David A. Hammond, Finance Director
Citizen Tim Schmitt

1. Annual Insurance Coverage Review

   Staff presented the Annual Insurance Coverage Review. Committee discussed and directed staff to continue with current coverage perameters.

2. Updating Consolidated Schedule of Rates and Charges

   Staff presented Consolidated Schedule of Rates and Charges. Committee discussed and directed staff to recommend approval to full Council.

3. Professional Services Agreement with GeoTerra

   Staff presented the Professional Services Agreement with GeoTerra. Committee discussed and recommended approval by full Council.

4. Long Term Disability Insurance Coverage

   Staff presented report outlining recommendation to offer Long Term Disability Insurance Coverage to represented employees. Committee discussed and recommended bringing amended Benefit and Salary Resolution to full Council to provide LTD to all employees.

CITY OF STANWOOD
COUNCIL AGENDA STAFF REPORT

ITEM NUMBER:	7b
DATE:	April 12, 2018
SUBJECT:	Public Safety Committee Meeting Minutes
CONTACT PERSON:	Peggy Girard, Records Specialist
ATTACHMENTS:	A – March 22, 2018 Public Safety Committee Minutes

---

SUMMARY STATEMENT

Minutes of the March 22, 2018 Public Safety Committee Meeting are attached.

ATTACHMENT A

STANWOOD
PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES
March 22, 2018

Location:
The Public Safety Committee meeting was held at the Stanwood School District Administration Building (26920 Pioneer Hwy). Started at 1830 hours.

Attendance:
City Councilmembers – Larry Sather, Tim Pearce, Elizabeth Callaghan
Police Chief – Norm Link
Fire Chief – John Cermak
City Administrator-Ryan Larsen
SERS - Ralph Krusey and Craig Boyd
City Finance - David Hammond
Citizen - Tim Schmitt

Agenda Topics: Police/Fire
SERS (Snohomish County Emergency Radio System)

Committee Chair Selection
Councilmember Tim Pearce was selected as committee chair.

SERS
Ralph Krusey and Craig Boyd presented information on the aging SERS system. Committee was advised that independent study confirmed system was beyond useful life and needed to be replaced. The estimate to replace the entire system would be approximately $75 million. The preferred funding mechanism (additional sales tax) is for a SERS replacement ballot measure to be put on the ballot countywide in November 2018.

SERS and Snohomish County are looking for resolutions from user cities to support replacing the current system with a countywide ballot measure. The public safety committee supported a resolution to support the SERS system being replaced by countywide ballot measure. The Public Safety committee supported approving a resolution and sending it to the full city council for approval.

The PSC committee meeting ended at 1845hrs.

CITY OF STANWOOD
COUNCIL AGENDA STAFF REPORT

ITEM NUMBER:	8b
DATE:	April 12, 2018
SUBJECT:	City Council March 22, 2018 Regular Meeting Minutes
CONTACT PERSON:	Erica Schorn, Deputy Clerk
ATTACHMENTS:	A – Council Regular Meeting Minutes

SUMMARY STATEMENT

Minutes of the March 22, 2018 Regular City Council Meeting are attached.

RECOMMENDED MOTION

I MOVE TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE MARCH 22, 2018 REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING AS PRESENTED.

ATTACHMENT A

CITY OF STANWOOD

Regular Meeting of the City Council
Thursday, March 22, 2018 – 7:00 p.m.
Stanwood Camano School District Administration Board Room

MINUTES

1. Call to Order and Pledge of Allegiance

Mayor Kelley called the meeting to order at 7:01 p.m. and led the Pledge of Allegiance.

2. Roll Call

Deputy Clerk Erica Schorn called the roll with the following Councilmembers present: Dianne White, Elizabeth Callaghan, Timothy Pearce, Larry Sather, and Judy Williams. The meeting was quorate.

Motion by Councilmember Sather, second by Councilmember Callaghan, to excuse Councilmembers Johnson and Ryer. Motion carried unanimously.

Also present: City Administrator Ryan Larsen, Finance Director David Hammond, Public Works Director Kevin Hushagen, Police Chief Norm Link, Fire Chief John Cermak, Attorney Emily Guildner, and Deputy Clerk Erica Schorn.

3. Approval of the Agenda

Motion by Councilmember Sather, second by Councilmember Callaghan, to approve the agenda. Motion carried unanimously.

4. Citizen Comments

Larry Aljets – Stanwood Lions Club

Mr. Aljets with the Lions Club, along with the Kiwanis and Rotary Club, wanted to let the public know that these organizations are here and what they do. They are all service organizations that help people in the community.

Glenda Mitchell – Kiwanis Club

Mrs. Mitchell is President of the Kiwanis Club. The club has been in the Stanwood/Camano Area for 50 years. This Saturday the club will be holding a party. Kiwanis includes several clubs including builders clubs, a key club, and an action club for disabled adults. Kiwanis, along with other community clubs, fund scholarships, and would like to have a place to put signs.

Terry Greer – Stanwood/Camano Rotary Club

Mr. Greer is President of the Rotary Club, which has been in the area for 25 years. Each year the club spends about $30,000 - $40,000 helping the community. His concern is that there is no signage in the area announcing that organizations like the Rotary Club exist. He would like there to be signs recognizing civic organizations in the community.

Sharon Morrison – Stanwood Lions Club

Mrs. Morrison is the President of the Lions Club, which takes care of community flags, and the smoke stack. She believes the area needs a sign that announces that these clubs are in the community.

The mayor asked if this topic should be assigned to a committee.

City Administrator Ryan Larsen stated he has an idea for this.

Cathy Wooten - 27828 84th AVE NW

Mrs. Wooten commented that she knows two families in the area with disabled young adults who participate in the Kiwanis action club and she has seen the impact that participation in the club has had on them.

Tim Schmitt – 27308 101st Ave NW

Mr. Schmitt commented that he is against an advisory vote of retail marijuana in Stanwood. Elections cost money, which could be used for better purposes. There has been plenty of opportunity for citizens to voice their opinions on the topic. He believes the elected body is better equipped to make a decision on the topic because it represents both the impressionable teenager as well as the ailing cancer patient equally.

Michael Wooten – 27828 84th AVE NW

Mr. Wooten commented on the retail marijuana sales topic, which is a controversial issue. The use of marijuana has a stigma, and he believes we should not allow a store in the commercial area, and instead keep it in the industrial area. He stated that people don't want it in the main street business areas.

Aleph Fackenthall – 6104 284th NW

Mr. Fackenthall believes that alcohol and smoking are more of a gateway drug than marijuana. He visited a marijuana retail shop, and was impressed with the security. His father turned to marijuana to help with his suffering as a bladder cancer and Parkinson's patient. The city could use the money collected from taxes, and if the proposed ordinance limited retail to the light industrial zone, it would be more acceptable. When the topic of retail marijuana was brought up recently, a grass-roots survey was started. Over 700

people have taken the survey. Initially the survey was against sales, but swung to about 47 percent against marijuana sales. Of the 265 people surveyed who left their name and address, 80 percent felt an advisory vote should be taken on this issue. If an advisory poll isn't taken now, it could well be taken in the future if the council majority perspective on the issue changes. Mr. Fackenthall submitted the survey results into the record.

The mayor suggested he not submit the results because the names and addresses of surveyors are included.

Mr. Fackenthall stated this was not advertised as an anonymous survey.

5. Staff Reports

a. Public Works Monthly Report – February 2018
b. Police Compstat Report – February 2018

6. Committee Reports

a. Public Works
b. Community Development

7. Consent Agenda

a. Approve Vouchers and Payroll Checks
b. Approve March 8, 2018 City Council Regular Meeting Minutes
c. Authorize the mayor to sign contract with GeoTerra

Motion by Councilmember Sather, second by Councilmember Callaghan, to approve the consent agenda items a through c. Motion carried unanimously.

8. New Business

a. Ordinance 1455 – Edits to Zoning Use Table – Tattoo & B&B Changes, First Reading

City Administrator Ryan Larsen presented first reading of Ordinance 1455 relating to zoning use table edits affecting Tattoo and B&B changes. Staff has proposed changes based on recommendations from citizens and the item has been reviewed by the Planning Commission and Community Development Committee. The committee is recommending B&B's continue to require a conditional use permit, which would require a public hearing, instead of an administrative use permit. This Ordinance would allow for four rooms per B&B instead of two, and would allow for tattoo parlors as a permitted use in the MBI and GC Zones.

Councilmember Callaghan stated the Community Development Committee talked at length regarding this ordinance and saw no issue with increasing the room limit from two to four, and also saw no reason not to add the tattoo parlor change.

Motion by Councilmember Sather, second by Councilmember Callaghan, to approve first reading of Ordinance 1455 as identified in Attachment A. Motion carried unanimously.

b. Adopt Resolution 2018-04 Adopting a Revised Fee Schedule

Finance Director David Hammond presented Resolution 2018-04 updating the City's consolidated schedule of fees and charges. This update is part of an ongoing effort to consolidate all fees into one resolution. Duplicated lines from the fee schedule have been removed. There are two new fees including a re-inspection fee to encourage people calling for inspection to be prepared, and an adult family home fee. There is one additional edit to Exhibit 1 of the resolution, under the Grading section. Under the fee column, the words "Per 1997 UBC plus engineering reviews at actual cost" should be stricken. Finance Committee reviewed the resolution and supports moving forward with the resolution, including the edit to the grading section.

Councilmember White stated the Finance Committee is in agreement that this resolution is a step in the right direction towards making fees more user friendly to access for our citizens.

Motion by Councilmember Sather, second by Councilmember Williams, to adopt Resolution 2018-04 adopting a revised consolidated fee and charge schedule. Motion carried unanimously.

c. Authorize the mayor to sign an indemnification agreement with Shopping Center Properties

Public Works Director Kevin Hushagen presented an indemnification agreement with Shopping Center Properties of Stanwood, LLC for use of the old Thrifty Foods parking lot for three city sponsored events: Clean Sweep, Touch-A-Truck and National Night Out.

Motion by Councilmember Sather, second by Councilmember White, to authorize the mayor to sign an indemnification agreement with Shopping Center Properties of Stanwood, LLC for use of property for city events. Motion carried unanimously.

d. Award Project and authorize the mayor to sign agreement with Inland Potable Services, Inc.

Public Works Director Kevin Hushagen presented an agreement with Inland Potable Services, Inc. for a water tank cleaning project. Every five years the water reservoirs need to be cleaned and inspected. Over time sediment builds up on the floor of the reservoirs, and it needs to be removed. Potable Services was the lowest responsive bidder.

Councilmember Callaghan asked if we could plan for this in the future.

Public Works Director stated we will put this on a five year cleaning schedule.

Councilmember Pearce commented on the fact that Potable Services from Colorado will do the project for much less than a company in Kenmore, WA.

Public Works Director stated Potable Services has done work in Washington for other projects, including Marysville, and came highly recommended.

Motion by Councilmember Sather, second by Councilmember Williams, to authorize the mayor to award and enter into an agreement, not to exceed $8,362.51, with Inland Potable Services, Inc. for the water tank cleaning project. Motion carried unanimously.

9. Reports of Officers and Committees

a. Mayor's Report

Skagit River Brewing may be hosting the beer garden during this summer's concert series. They will help promote the events, and will donate half of their proceeds to the Chamber.

The mayor attended the opening ceremony for the new opioid treatment facility in Smokey Point on Tuesday. A few weeks ago he attended a meeting where it became apparent that there are limited support opportunities for family members of addicts. There will be a meeting taking place on April 24, 2018 at 6:00 pm at Willow and Jim's Café in Silvana to discuss this topic. Anyone struggling with a family member's addiction is welcome to attend.

b. City Administrator's Report

City Administrator Ryan Larsen reflected on the fact that today is the four year anniversary of the Oso mud slide.

c. Councilmember Reports/Questions

Councilmember Sather commented that his wife recently fell and broke her leg, and he was impressed with the EMTs from the fire department who responded to the 911 call. They were very sensitive to his wife's needs, and he thanked Chief Cermak for his wonderful employees.

10. Citizen Closing Comments

Michael Wooten - 27828 84th AVE NW

After 30 years in the fire service, Mr. Wooten says he has a great appreciation for paramedics. He also commented that marijuana is a very controversial issue, and people want an advisory vote.

Cathy Wooten - 27828 84th AVE NW

Mrs. Wooten commented that it is her understanding that the costs paid by an applicant for a conditional use permit includes the costs for a hearing examiner to review any citizen concerns. In the case of an administrative conditional use permit, the decision to allow an application to move forward is made by staff. She appreciates the decision of the council to keep the B&B zoning as a conditional use permit.

Councilmember Callaghan suggested that council make an effort to check city email frequently, or coordinate with the Deputy Clerk to ensure citizens requesting to speak with a councilmember can reach them.

Councilmember Williams asked what citizens are told if they call asking to get in contact with a councilmember.

Deputy Clerk Erica Schorn commented that she lets the citizen know she will get a message to the councilmember that a citizen is attempting to reach them.

11. Adjourn to Executive Session – Property Acquisition [RCW 42.30.110(c)]

At 7:47 p.m., Mayor Kelley adjourned the meeting to executive session to discuss property acquisition and a personnel issue for 10 minutes with no action anticipated.

The meeting reconvened at 7:57 p.m.

12. Adjourn

There being no further business before the Council, Mayor Kelley adjourned the meeting at 7:57 p.m.

CITY OF STANWOOD	ATTEST:
_____	_____
Leonard Kelley, Mayor	Erica Schorn, Deputy Clerk

CITY OF STANWOOD
COUNCIL AGENDA STAFF REPORT

ITEM NUMBER:	8c
DATE:	April 12, 2018
SUBJECT:	Special Event with City Contribution-Art by the Bay
CONTACT PERSON:	Corinne Schakel, Permit Specialist
ATTACHMENTS:	A – Special Event Permit Application B – Map

SUMMARY STATEMENT

Art by the Bay submitted a Special Event Permit application (Attachment A) requesting City contribution with the $50 permit fee to the City for Council approval of an Art Show on Saturday and Sunday, August 18 & 19, 2018.

DISCUSSION

This is the 3rd year for this event to be held in Downtown Stanwood.

The applicant has requested 271st Street be closed from Florence Way on the East end of 271st NW to 88th Ave NW. Florence Way and 88th Ave NW will remain open during the event (Attachment B).

The Vendors will be setting up in quads down the middle of the street which will give access to Vendors and Merchants. This access will also give easy access for Emergency Vehicles if necessary. It is anticipated that there will be approximately 40 vendors, with 50 volunteers and 25 staff members.

Art by the Bay is asking for City contribution and the event meets the requirements for large events of 1,000 or more: 1) the event is held in the City. 2) It attracts the public to commercial areas.

Findings for the City Contribution:

- "This will be Art by the Bay Festival's 26th year bringing the visual arts to Stanwood. It is the 3rd year to be held in Stanwood's downtown. We expect 5,000-10,000 art enthusiast to attend. We will be inviting local restaurants on 271st to participate. Our volunteers will include local citizens, civic and school groups."

- The advertising for the Art by the Bay show event will include marketing for the City by distributing attractive materials incorporating the City logo.
- The event will attract the public into the downtown or uptown commercial areas and will be conducted in a way that creates potential for additional retail or service business transactions that contribute to the city's tax base.
- This event promotes a new, safe, enjoyable and entertaining activity. It promotes an alternative shopping experience and promotes the Art community.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

Art by the Bay is requesting City Contribution. The total fees for this event are $455.00. The total fees to be collected from the applicant are $113.25, and the City contribution amount is $341.75. The City has collected the required $50 permit fee and will collect a $150 refundable damage deposit. The Art by the Bay applicant will pay all costs associated with police staffing as determined by the Stanwood Police Chief.

CITY COUNCIL OPTIONS

1. Approve City Contribution and the Special Event Permit request for the Art by the Bay event on August 18 & 19, 2018.

2. Remove the Special Event permit from the consent agenda for further discussion and then approve City Contribution and the Special Event Permit request for the Art by the Bay event on August 18 & 19, 2018.

3. Do not approve City Contribution, but approve the Special Event Permit request for the Art by the Bay event on August 18 & 19, 2018.

4. Do not approve City Contribution of the Special Event Permit request for the Art by the Bay event on August 18 & 19, 2018.

RECOMMENDED MOTION

I MOVE TO APPROVE CITY CONTRIBUTION AND THE SPECIAL EVENT PERMIT REQUEST FOR THE ART BY THE BAY EVENT ON AUGUST 18 AND 19, 2018.

City of Stanwood

10220 270th Street NW
Stanwood, Washington 98292
Phone: (360) 629-2181
Fax: (360) 629-3009

RECEIVED
FEB 15 2018
CITY OF STANWOOD
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

Permit Number: 2018-85

SPECIAL EVENT PERMIT APPLICATION

Definition: Special events means any temporary or ongoing activity that occurs on public property that affects the ordinary use of public streets, right-of-way, sidewalks, traffic and other places that reasonably expected to cause or result in more than 75 people gathering in a public place; and/or is reasonably expected to have an uncommon impact on such public place; and/or is reasonably expected to require the provision of public services.

The following items are required for all special event applications:

- ☑ Completed application - submitted 60 days prior to the event for review and processing.
- ☑ Non-Refundable Application Fee: **$50.00, fee must accompany application.**
- ☑ Certificate of Liability Insurance
- ☑ Detailed site map showing street closures, traffic control devices, barricades and portable toilets
- ☐ Written permission from property owners if event is held on private property
- ☑ Flyer and/or schedule of event(s)
- ☐ Banner application if applicable, application located on City website or at City Hall.
- ☐ **$200 Non refundable Fee Park Fee** if applicable due at time of application to reserve date. Fee will be applied to park use, but is non-refundable.

Park Reservations and submittal of fees must be pre-authorized with Lisa Noonchester at (360) 629-9781 ext. 4531 or lisa.noonchester@ci.stanwood.wa.us, prior to submitting the Special Event application.

Any changes to the area where the event is held must be returned to its original condition. Only chalk is allowed on streets, no paint of any kind is allowed.

City Contribution

- For large events 1000 people or more, the City to contribute 75% of the cost of staff labor and equipment costs. .
- For small events less than 1000 people, the City to contribute up to a limit of $325 value of staff time and equipment costs.

See the City Contribution form attached to this document for more information. To request City Sponsorship, the application for City Sponsorship must accompany this application

Applicant Information

Applicant's Name: VAL PAUL TAYLOR

Company/Organization: STANWOOD CAMANO ARTS GUILD

Contact Person to be available at event: VALPAUL TAYLOR

Mailing address: 647 BLACKTAIL LANE, CAMANO ISLAND, WA 98282

Phone: 425-770-6440 Cell: SAME Email: ~~valpaultaylor@icloud.com~~ valpaultaylor@icloud.com

Event Information

Name of Event: ART by the BAY FESTIVAL

Event Date(s): AUGUST 18th AND 19th, 2018

Event open time: 10:00AM Event close time: 5:00PM

Set up date and time SATURDAY AUGUST 18, 8:00AM

Break down date and time: SUNDAY AUGUST 19, 5:00PM

Event Location (must include address) * 271 st ST. NW - BETWEEN 88th AVE NW AND 84TH AVE NW

Does your event cause any street closures? YES - TO THE ABOVE BLOCK - MAP PROVIDED

Which streets will be closed? * ABOVE

Attendance

Estimated Total Attendance: 5000 - 10,000 APROX

How many registered Participants? 100 Spectators? _____ Volunteers? 50 Staff? 25

Event Site Plan

Attach proposed event map and site plan with the following indicated for review, potential amending and approval:

- Detailed event layout/route with directional arrows, street names
- Placement and collection of signage, traffic control devices, barricades, toilets, etc
- Parking Plan
- Tents, Canopies and Awnings
- Stages, booths, trailers, motorhomes

City Services

Will any other City services or supplies be requested? If so, please describe in detail below:

a. Police: BASIC TRAFFIC

b. Fire: ~~MOVE~~ FIRST AID STATION

c. Public Works: STREET CLOSURE SIGNAGE/BARRACADES

Plan for police services, security/crowd control, first aid/medical assistance, street closures and traffic route/intersection control: WE WILL PROVIDE OUR OWN SECURITY

Plan for portable toilets, garbage, sanitation and clean-up (please include delivery and pick up dates):
Applicant must provide for their own portable toilets, but explain plan:
7. PORTA JOHNS WILL BE PROVIDED. DELIVERY ON AUGUST 17 - PICK UP AUGUST 20. GARBAGE WILL INCLUDE 2 DUMPSTERS, 12 CANS ON STREET

Temporary Signage (describe number and size and diagram location)
PER MAP. BANNERS AND A-SIGNS

Will there be organizations or vendors involved in the event? Yes ☒ No ☐

If yes, How many? Local within Stanwood City Limits: APROX 40 ARTIST VENDORS
Outside Stanwood City Limits: NO
Applicant must collect and maintain all Vendor Insurance and food handler information.

Will food and/or liquor be distributed/sold at event: Yes ☐ No ☒ (if yes, please explain plan)
Note: *per SMC 9.24.080 "No person shall consume liquor ... in a public place".*
NO LIQUOR, PLAN IS TO INVITE LOCAL MERCHANTS ON 271ST ST. NW TO PARTICIPATE.

Once application is approved, within 72 hours of event, applicant shall notify all parties' impacted (residents, businesses, transit, fire, police, public works).

AGREEMENT

The City of Stanwood does not maintain insurance that will respond to claims against the applicant arising out of the use of facilities by the applicant, its members, or those attending the event. Applicant will provide the City with a Certificate of Liability Insurance naming the City as an additional insured on the policy. Applicant's insurance coverage shall be primary as respects to the City. The policy must include bodily injury and property damage coverage limits of no less than $1,000,000 for each occurrence and $2,000,000 general aggregate. Insurance is to be placed with insurers with a current AM Best rating of not less than A: VII who are authorized to do business in the State of Washington. Insurance may be purchased through the City's insurance carrier at an additional cost to meet this requirement.

The applicant shall defend, indemnify and hold harmless the City of Stanwood, its officers, officials, employees and volunteers, while acting within the scope of their duties, from any and all causes of action, demands and claims, including the cost of their defense, arising in favor of the organization, the organization's employees or third parties on account of personal injuries, bodily injuries, death, or damage to property arising out of the acts or omissions of the organization, its employees or representatives, concessionaires of the event or any other person or entity, except only such injury or damage as shall have been occasioned by the sole negligence of the City.

Signature of Applicant: [illegible]

Organization/Title 1ST VICE PRESIDENT. STANWOOD CAMANO ARTS GUILD Date: FEBRUARY 14, 2018

Special Event Contact List

This list is provided for you in case your event will involve other agencies. You will be required to make the appropriate contacts, as your event requires.

Washington State Department of Transportation (WDOT)
Phone: (360) 705-7000

Community Transit
Phone: (800) 562-1375 or (425) 353-RIDE
Website: www.communitytransit.org

Island County Transit
Phone: (360) 387-7433
Website: www.islandtransit.org

Washington State Liquor Control Board
Phone: (360) 664-1600

Snohomish County Health District
Phone: (425) 339-5200
(425) 339-5250 Food Handler Cards

Waste Management Northwest
Phone: (800) 592-9995
Website: www.wmnorthwest.com/stanwood

Snohomish County PUD
Phone: (360) 629-5700
Website: www.snopud.com

Cascade Gas Company
Phone: (888) 522-1130
Web-site: www.cngc.com

Stanwood-Camano School District
Phone: (360) 629-1200
Website: www.stanwood.wednet.edu

Burlington Northern Railroad
Phone: (206) 625-6220
Website: www.bnsf.com

Amtrak
Phone: (800) 872-7245
Web-site: www.amtrak.com

City of Stanwood Contact List

Community Development (processes application)

(360) 629-2181 ext 124
Corinne.schakel@ci.stanwood.wa.us

Fire Department:
(360) 629-2184

Public Works
(360) 629-9781
Lisa.noonchester@ci.stanwood.wa.us

Clerk's Office (completes application & takes to City Council if applicable)
(360) 629-2181 ext 106
patricia@ci.stanwood.wa.us

Police Dept.
(425) 388-5290

Request for City Contribution
(submit with special event application)

Name of Applicant: VAL PAUL TAYLOR

Name of organization requesting contribution: STANWOOD CAMANO ARTS GUILD

Name of event: ART BY THE BAY FESTIVAL

Event Dates: AUGUST 18-19, 2018

Mailing Address: 647 BLACKTAIL LANE, CAMANO ISLAND, WA 98282

City _____ State _____ Zip _____

Phone: 425-770-6440 Cell: SAME

Email: valpaulhaylor@icloud.com

Criteria for approval of city contribution:

As part of the review of a City contribution the following findings shall be made:

- ✓ The event contributes to marketing of the City
- ✓ The event will attract the general public into the downtown or uptown commercial areas and will be conducted in a way that creates potential for additional retail or service business transactions that will contribute to the City's tax base.
- ✓ The event provides recreation benefit to the general public.
- ✓ The event promotes the general public welfare.

As part of City contribution, the City of Stanwood logo and/or text indicating the City role may, in sole discretion of the City be included in signage, posters and other advertising materials for the event.

Briefly describe how your event will meet the above listed criteria: THIS WILL BE ART BY THE BAY FESTIVALS TWENTY SIXTH YEAR BRINGING THE VISUAL ARTS TO STANWOOD. WE EXPECT 5000 TO 10,000 ART ENTHUSIASTS TO ATTEND. WE WILL BE INVITING LOCAL RESTAURANTS ON 271 ST ST TO PARTICIPATE. OUR VOLUNTEERS WILL INCLUDE LOCAL CITIZENS, CIVIC AND SCHOOL GROUPS.

Amount requested: $ 500.00 – 1500.00

City services requested for sponsorship: WE WOULD LIKE TO CONTINUE USING THE STANWOOD CITY LOGO ON ALL OF OUR SIGNAGE AND ADVERTISING. CITY EVENT WEBSITE, PUBLIC NOTICES. WE WOULD ALSO LIKE TO HANG OUR LARGE STREET BANNER.

Celebrating 26 years of Art

Stanwood-Camano Arts guild

Art by the Bay festival

Announcing

The Stanwood Camano Arts Guild

26th Anniversary

Art by the Bay Festival

Downtown Stanwood

Saturday and Sunday August 18th and 19th, 2018

• 40 Artists Booths • Children's Art Activity Area
• Working Artists • Silent Auction • Juried Art Show
• And More

Stanwood-Camano Arts guild

Celebrating 26 years of Art

Stanwood-Camano Arts guild

Art by the Bay festival

Downtown Stanwood • August 18th and 19th, 2018

Contact Information

Chairperson for Art by the Bay is:

Molly Sweeting
5903 186th PL SW
Apt F-6 Mailbox N-12
Lynnwood, WA 98037
Ph: (425) 321-7416
Email: Seastarlady@gmail.com

Stanwood-Camano Arts Guild:
William Koger-President
Val Paul Taylor-Vice President
PO Box 778
Stanwood, WA 98292
Ph: (360)629-2787

Celebrating 26 years of Art

Stanwood-Camano Arts guild

Art by the Bay festival

Downtown Stanwood • August 18th and 19th, 2018

Event Details

2018 brings new vision and focus regarding the Arts by the Bay festival. This year we will be holding the event in historic downtown Stanwood August 18th and 19th 2018. We have revamped the event to stay true to the Stanwood-Camano Arts Guild Mission statement and commitment to the community of Stanwood and Camano Island.

Mission Statement:

"To unite into an association, artists and artisans who are interested in the appreciation and promotion of the arts for the Stanwood-Camano community. To establish fellowship among members. To present educational programs and art show for the benefit of the members and the community residents of the Pacific Northwest. To provide art education scholarships to students and adults residing in the Stanwood Camano communities. "

Although last year's event was extremely successful, we in the guild have decided this year to have a smaller event. An event true to our roots. This year there will be a total of 40 vendors booths available. Guild members will have first opportunity to the available spaces, then any open spaces will be solicited to the community. This event will also have a Juried Art Show, and Silent Action for scholarship opportunities.

Program Sample

Celebrating 26 years of Art

Stanwood-Camano Arts guild

Art by the Bay festival

Downtown Stanwood • August 18th and 19th, 2018

Sanitation

The Art by the Bay Committee is currently looking for garbage and Port a John services for the event. We plan on having trash cans throughout the venue (see map for placements of trash and Port a John), having volunteers continuously removing full trash cans and rubbish left on the street during the event and after the event is over.

Port a Johns will come in the night before the event (Friday August 17, 2018) and picked up either Sunday evening or early Monday morning after the event.

Celebrating 26 years of Art

Stanwood-Camano Arts guild

Art by the Bay festival

Downtown Stanwood • August 18th and 19th, 2018

Security/Emergency Services

Art by the Bay feels it is of the utmost importance that all participants and spectators be safe during the event. We will be contacting local police, fire, EMS, and rescue services for daytime support and will be hiring security for overnight/off hours to safeguard participants private property.

All participants are required to provide proof of insurance before participating in the event. We encourage our participants to supply this information either in person or online during the registration process. No individuals will be allowed to participate without insurance for this event.

2018 Site map



Celebrating 26 years of Art

Stanwood-Camano Arts guild

Art by the Bay festival

2.14.2018

ATTACHMENT B

Vendor Check In
Directions to Waiting Area

Celebrating 26 years of Art

Stanwood-Camano Arts guild

Art by the Bay festival



2018 Site map

Downtown Stanwood

2.14.2018

CITY OF STANWOOD
COUNCIL AGENDA STAFF REPORT

ITEM NUMBER:	8d
DATE:	April 12, 2018
SUBJECT:	Special Event –Touch-a-Truck
CONTACT PERSON:	Corinne Schakel, Permit Specialist
ATTACHMENTS:	A – Special Event Permit Application

SUMMARY STATEMENT

The Stanwood Chamber of Commerce submitted a Special Event Permit application (Attachment A) for the 4th Annual Touch-a-Truck event on Saturday, May 5, 2018 from 10am to 2pm. The event will be held on 271st St. and the Old Thrifty Foods Parking Lot.

DISCUSSION

The Touch-a-Truck event is an event geared towards children to allow them to sit in, touch and blow the horns of large vehicles and equipment. There will be Community and food booths. This event is a free family friendly event for children of all ages and their families. It will also attract the public to a downtown event and draws the public to restaurants and other businesses.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

The City of Stanwood has contributed $600 towards the event. The costs are $100 for the printing and $500 for advertising. The Barricades and the Road Closed signs will be used at no cost, and as long as they are returned in good condition, there will be no extra fees.

As part of city contribution, the City of Stanwood logo and/or text indicating the city's role shall be included in signage, posters and other advertising materials for the event.

CITY COUNCIL OPTIONS:

1. Approve the Special Event Permit request for the Touch-a-Truck event held on Saturday, May 5, 2018.

2. Remove the Special Event permit from the consent agenda for further discussion.

3. Do not approve the Special Event Permit request for the Touch-a-Truck event held on Saturday, May 5, 2018.

RECOMMENDED MOTION

I MOVE TO APPROVE CITY SPONSORSHIP AND THE SPECIAL EVENT PERMIT REQUEST FOR THE TOUCH-A-TRUCK EVENT ON MAY 5, 2018.

ATTACHMENT A

RECEIVED
FEB 06 2018
CITY OF STANWOOD
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

City of Stanwood

10220 270th Street NW
Stanwood, Washington 98292
Phone: (360) 629-2181
Fax: (360) 629-3009

Permit Number: 2018-0061

SPECIAL EVENT PERMIT APPLICATION

Definition: Special events means any temporary or ongoing activity that occurs on public property that affects the ordinary use of public streets, right-of-way, sidewalks, traffic and other places that reasonably expected to cause or result in more than 75 people gathering in a public place; and/or is reasonably expected to have an uncommon impact on such public place; and/or is reasonably expected to require the provision of public services.

The following items are required for all special event applications:

- ☐ Completed application - submitted 60 days prior to the event for review and processing.
- ☐ Non-Refundable Application Fee: **$50.00, fee must accompany application.** waived by city?
- ☐ Certificate of Liability Insurance
- ☐ Detailed site map showing street closures, traffic control devices, barricades and portable toilets
- ☐ Written permission from property owners if event is held on private property
- ☐ Flyer and/or schedule of event(s)
- ☐ Banner application if applicable, application located on City website or at City Hall.
- ☐ **$200 Non refundable Fee Park Fee** if applicable due at time of application to reserve date. Fee will be applied to park use, but is non-refundable.

Park Reservations and submittal of fees must be pre-authorized with Lisa Noonchester at (360) 629-9781 ext. 4531 or lisa.noonchester@ci.stanwood.wa.us, prior to submitting the Special Event application.

Any changes to the area where the event is held must be returned to its original condition. Only chalk is allowed on streets, no paint of any kind is allowed.

City Contribution

- For large events 1000 people or more, the City to contribute 75% of the cost of staff labor and equipment costs.
- For small events less than 1000 people, the City to contribute up to a limit of $325 value of staff time and equipment costs.

See the City Contribution form attached to this document for more information. To request City Sponsorship, the application for City Sponsorship must accompany this application

Applicant Information

Applicant's Name: ~~Stan~~ Asia Gray

Company/Organization: Stanwood chamber of Commerce

Contact Person to be available at event: Renae Kettler

Mailing address: 10101 270th St. NW #220, Stanwood, WA 98292

Phone: 360 629 0562 Cell: _____ Email: Stanwoodchamberwa@gmail.com

Event Information

Name of Event: Touch a Truck

Event Date(s): May 5th, 2018

Event open time: ~~11 AM~~ 10am Event close time: ~~3PM~~ 2pm

Set up date and time May 5th, 8 AM

Break down date and time: 3-4:30 pm

Event Location (must include address) 271 st & 90th Ave. NW.

Does your event cause any street closures? Yes

Which streets will be closed? 271 st & 90th Ave NW

Attendance

Estimated Total Attendance: 2000 3000

How many registered Participants? 50 Spectators? 2-3K Volunteers? 20 Staff? 6

None registered | estimated only

Event Site Plan

Attach proposed event map and site plan with the following indicated for review, potential amending and approval:

- Detailed event layout/route with directional arrows, street names
- Placement and collection of signage, traffic control devices, barricades, toilets, etc
- Parking Plan
- Tents, Canopies and Awnings
- Stages, booths, trailers, motorhomes

City Services

Will any other City services or supplies be requested? If so, please describe in detail below:

a. Police: Police vehicles on site > Not as a

b. Fire: Fire vehicles / LE or EMS service

c. Public Works: Vehicles on site plus clean up, set-up street closure & tear down / garbage removal

Plan for police services, security/crowd control, first aid/medical assistance, street closures and traffic route/intersection control: _____

Plan for portable toilets, garbage, sanitation and clean-up (please include delivery and pick up dates):
Applicant must provide for their own portable toilets, but explain plan:
Public works to remove garbage.
2 sani-cans to be contracted - Delivery 5/4/18
Pick 5/6/18-

Temporary Signage (describe number and size and diagram location)
See site map.

Will there be organizations or vendors involved in the event? Yes ☑ No ☐

If yes, How many? Local within Stanwood City Limits: approximately 20
Outside Stanwood City Limits: approximately 20
Applicant must collect and maintain all Vendor Insurance and food handler information.

Will food and/or liquor be distributed/sold at event: Yes ☑ No ☐ (if yes, please explain plan)
Note: *per SMC 9.24.080 "No person shall consume liquor ... in a public place".*
Food only - TBD

Once application is approved, within 72 hours of event, applicant shall notify all parties' impacted (residents, businesses, transit, fire, police, public works).

AGREEMENT

The City of Stanwood does not maintain insurance that will respond to claims against the applicant arising out of the use of facilities by the applicant, its members, or those attending the event. Applicant will provide the City with a Certificate of Liability Insurance naming the City as an additional insured on the policy. Applicant's insurance coverage shall be primary as respects to the City. The policy must include bodily injury and property damage coverage limits of no less than $1,000,000 for each occurrence and $2,000,000 general aggregate. Insurance is to be placed with insurers with a current AM Best rating of not less than A: VII who are authorized to do business in the State of Washington. Insurance may be purchased through the City's insurance carrier at an additional cost to meet this requirement.

The applicant shall defend, indemnify and hold harmless the City of Stanwood, its officers, officials, employees and volunteers, while acting within the scope of their duties, from any and all causes of action, demands and claims, including the cost of their defense, arising in favor of the organization, the organization's employees or third parties on account of personal injuries, bodily injuries, death, or damage to property arising out of the acts or omissions of the organization, its employees or representatives, concessionaires of the event or any other person or entity, except only such injury or damage as shall have been occasioned by the sole negligence of the City.

Signature of Applicant: Debra Gray

Organization/Title Stanwood chamber/ED Date: 1/29/18

Special Event Contact List

This list is provided for you in case your event will involve other agencies. You will be required to make the appropriate contacts, as your event requires.

Washington State Department of Transportation (WDOT)
Phone: (360) 705-7000

Community Transit
Phone: (800) 562-1375 or (425) 353-RIDE
Website: www.communitytransit.org

Island County Transit
Phone: (360) 387-7433
Website: www.islandtransit.org

Washington State Liquor Control Board
Phone: (360) 664-1600

Snohomish County Health District
Phone: (425) 339-5200
(425) 339-5250 Food Handler Cards

Waste Management Northwest
Phone: (800) 592-9995
Website: www.wmnorthwest.com/stanwood

Snohomish County PUD
Phone: (360) 629-5700
Website: www.snopud.com

Cascade Gas Company
Phone: (888) 522-1130
Web-site: www.cngc.com

Stanwood-Camano School District
Phone: (360) 629-1200
Website: www.stanwood.wednet.edu

Burlington Northern Railroad
Phone: (206) 625-6220
Website: www.bnsf.com

Amtrak
Phone: (800) 872-7245
Web-site: www.amtrak.com

City of Stanwood Contact List

Community Development (processes application)

(360) 629-2181 ext 124
Corinne.schakel@ci.stanwood.wa.us

Fire Department:
(360) 629-2184

Public Works
(360) 629-9781
Lisa.noonchester@ci.stanwood.wa.us

Clerk's Office (completes application & takes to City Council if applicable)
(360) 629-2181 ext 106
patricia@ci.stanwood.wa.us

Police Dept.
(425) 388-5290

Request for City Contribution
(submit with special event application)

Name of Applicant: Asia Gray

Name of organization requesting contribution: Stanwood Chamber OF Commerce

Name of event: Touch a Truck

Event Dates: 5/5/18

Mailing Address: 10101 270th St. NW #220

City Stanwood State WA Zip 98292

Phone: 360 629 0562 Cell:

Email: stanwoodchamberwa@gmail.com

Criteria for approval of city contribution:

As part of the review of a City contribution the following findings shall be made:

- The event contributes to marketing of the City
- The event will attract the general public into the downtown or uptown commercial areas and will be conducted in a way that creates potential for additional retail or service business transactions that will contribute to the City's tax base.
- The event provides recreation benefit to the general public.
- The event promotes the general public welfare.

As part of City contribution, the City of Stanwood logo and/or text indicating the City role may, in sole discretion of the City be included in signage, posters and other advertising materials for the event.

Briefly describe how your event will meet the above listed criteria: This is a city owned event intended to provide Family Friendly Fun & draw Families from Throughout the region to downtown Stanwood.

Amount requested: $ 500

City services requested for sponsorship:

Printing
Public works support
Public works vehicles

Barricades & Signs for Special Events

Name of Event	Contact Name	Contact number	# of barricades	# of signs
Touch a Truck	Asia Gray	360 629 0562	3	2

Options:

Place Initial next to preferred option. The agreement must be signed prior to Council approval of Contribution.

Applicant must contact staff member for appointment

1. Pick up and check out signs and barricades at Public Works Yard _____
2. Sign an agreement acknowleding that staff will set out barricades & signs out on Friday and pick them up Monday, Applicant is responsible for all equipment. AG
3. The applicant will pay staff minimum 2 hours delivery cost & 2 hours pick up overtime at $50.00/hour staff will transport to and from the on the day of the event, _____

** The applicant has checked out _____ signs and _____ Barricades. _____

Applicant intials, AG

Staff intials_____,

Applicant signature Asia Gray Date: 1/29/18

**** City Only*****

# of set up and break down hours _____ Cost of labor $ _____

Staff signature _____ Date: _____


272nd St NW
272nd St NW
272nd St NW
90th Ave NW
Skagit Farmers Supply Country Store
A & B Health Care
Automobile
Stanwood-Camano News
shopstanwoodcamano
Washington Federal
A Plus Pawn
271st St NW
271st St NW
271st St NW
271st
Farmers Cafe
ATM (Union Bank)
Map data ©2017 Google

STANWOOD
CHAMBER OF COMMERCE

February 5, 2018

To Whom it May Concern:

The Stanwood Chamber would like to request that event banners for Touch A Truck be displayed at the two adjacent signs in front of the Future Ovenell Park.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thank you,

Asia Gray
Stanwood Chamber of Commerce

10101 270th St NW, Ste 220, Stanwood, WA 98292
(360) 629-0562 www.stanwoodchamber.org

TOUCH A TRUCK ROAD CLOSURE

Date: 3/20/2018 User Name: Devin.Sola

CITY OF STANWOOD
COUNCIL AGENDA STAFF REPORT

ITEM NUMBER: 8e

DATE: April 12, 2018

SUBJECT: Resolution 2018-08, Cooperative Purchasing Agreement with U.S. Communities

CONTACT PERSON: David A. Hammond, Finance Director

ATTACHMENTS: A – Resolution 2018-08

ISSUE

Should the city adopt Resolution 2018-08, authorizing participation in a cooperative purchasing agreement with U.S. Communities Government Purchasing Alliance?

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends council approve Resolution 2018-08 authorizing participation in a cooperative purchasing agreement with U.S. Communities so that the city may make purchases through existing competitively solicited contracts.

SUMMARY STATEMENT

U.S. Communities is a nonprofit government purchasing cooperative that reduces the costs of goods and services by aggregating the purchasing power of public agencies nationwide. Participating agencies have the ability to make purchases through existing, competitively solicited contracts between a supplier and a lead public agency who are part of the Master Intergovernmental Cooperative Purchasing Agreement. Each lead agency awards its contract to a supplier after a competitive solicitation process. Once the lead agency has awarded a contract with a supplier, participating agencies in need of similar products or services can make purchases through their membership with U.S. Communities.

By combining the potential cooperative purchasing power of up to 90,000 public agencies, members have access to a broad line of suppliers in categories such as technology solutions, auto solutions, and document destruction. Third party audits are performed on all contracts and benchmarking analyses ensure ongoing value. U.S

Communities is overseen by an Advisory Board of key public procurement professionals.

DISCUSSION

Council passed Resolution 2013-02 in February 2013, adopting a uniform procurement policy which allows the city to join into cooperative purchasing agreements with other public agencies, when the best interests of the city would be served thereby. Chapter 39.34 RCW requires council approval before entering into any cooperative purchasing agreements.

Staff deems it to be in the best interest of the City to enter into a cooperative purchasing agreement with U.S. Communities in order to acquire supplies, equipment, and materials at the best overall supplier government pricing.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

There are no costs or fees to participate in the program. The suppliers pay a minimum administrative fee to participate through U.S. Communities. This pays for operating expenses and offsets costs incurred by national and state sponsors.

CITY COUNCIL OPTIONS

1. Adopt Resolution 2018-08 authorizing participation in a cooperative purchasing agreement with U.S. Communities.

2. Do not adopt Resolution 2018-08 authorizing participation in a cooperative purchasing agreement with U.S. Communities and direct staff to areas of concern.

3. Do not adopt Resolution 2018-08 authorizing participation in a cooperative purchasing agreement with U.S. Communities.

RECOMMENDED MOTION

I MOVE TO ADOPT RESOLUTION 2018-08 AUTHORIZING PARTICIPATION IN A COOPERATIVE PURCHASING AGREEMENT WITH U.S. COMMUNITIES GOVERNMENT PURCHASING ALLIANCE.

ATTACHMENT A

CITY OF STANWOOD
Stanwood, Washington

RESOLUTION 2018-08

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF STANWOOD AUTHORIZING PARTICIPATION IN A COOPERATIVE PURCHASING AGREEMENT WITH U.S. COMMUNITIES GOVERNMENT PURCHASING ALLIANCE.

WHEREAS, pursuant to Chapter 39.34 RCW, the City of Stanwood may enter into intergovernmental cooperative agreements with other public agencies in order to allow the parties to said agreements to cooperatively purchase or acquire supplies, equipment, and materials under future contracts and to utilize each other's contracts, as needs arise; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to section 160 of the City's Uniform Procurement Policy, adopted by Resolution 2013-02, the City is authorized to join in cooperative purchasing arrangements with other public agencies, when the best interests of the City would be served thereby; and

WHEREAS, the City of Stanwood desires to arrange for making cooperative purchases of certain goods and services with U.S. Communities; and

WHEREAS, the City Council deems it to be in the best interest of the City of Stanwood that such an arrangement be carried out according to the provisions, terms and conditions of the attached Master Intergovernmental Cooperative Purchasing Agreement, which is incorporated herein as Exhibit A; and

WHEREAS, U.S. Communities is a nonprofit instrumentality of governmental agencies that assist public agencies in reducing the cost of purchased goods through pooling the purchasing power of public agencies nationwide; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that it is in the best interest of the City of Stanwood to register as a participating agency with U.S. Communities under the terms and conditions set forth in the attached Master Intergovernmental Cooperative Purchasing Agreement;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF STANWOOD, WASHINGTON, AS FOLLOWS;

Section 1: The City Council authorizes the City to execute a Master Intergovernmental Cooperative Purchasing Agreement with U.S. Communities in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A, and to take such actions as are necessary to register as a participating public agency with U.S. Communities for the purpose mentioned above.

ADOPTED by the City Council and **APPROVED** by the Mayor this 12th day of April, 2018.

CITY OF STANWOOD

_____
Leonard Kelley, Mayor

ATTEST:

_____
David A. Hammond, City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

By:_____
Grant K. Weed, City Attorney

U.S. COMMUNITIES®
GOVERNMENT PURCHASING ALLIANCE

MASTER INTERGOVERNMENTAL COOPERATIVE PURCHASING AGREEMENT

This Master Intergovernmental Cooperative Purchasing Agreement ("Agreement") is made between certain government agencies that execute a Lead Public Agency Certificate (collectively, "Lead Public Agencies") to be appended and made a part hereof and other government agencies ("Participating Public Agencies") that agree to the terms and conditions hereof through the U.S. Communities registration process and made a part hereof.

RECITALS

WHEREAS, after a competitive solicitation and selection process by Lead Public Agencies, in compliance with their own policies, procedures, rules and regulations, a number of suppliers (each, a "Contract Supplier") have entered into Master Agreements with Lead Public Agencies to provide a variety of goods, products and services based on national and international volumes (herein "Products and Services");

WHEREAS, Master Agreements are made available by Lead Public Agencies through U.S. Communities and provide that Participating Public Agencies may purchase Products and Services on the same terms, conditions and pricing as the Lead Public Agency, subject to any applicable local purchasing ordinances and the laws of the State of purchase;

WHEREAS, the parties desire to comply with the requirements and formalities of any intergovernmental cooperative act, if applicable, to the laws of the State of purchase;

WHEREAS, the parties hereto desire to conserve resources and reduce procurement cost;

WHEREAS, the parties hereto desire to improve the efficiency, effectiveness and economy of the procurement of necessary Products and Services;

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual promises contained in this Agreement, and of the mutual benefits to result, the parties agree as follows:

1. That each party will facilitate the cooperative procurement of Products and Services.
2. That the procurement of Products and Services subject to this Agreement shall be conducted in accordance with and subject to the relevant statutes, ordinances, rules and regulations that govern each party's procurement practices.
3. That the cooperative use of solicitations obtained by a party to this Agreement shall be in accordance with the terms and conditions of the solicitation, except as modification of those terms and conditions is otherwise allowed or required by applicable law.
4. That the Lead Public Agencies will make available, upon reasonable request and subject to convenience, information which may assist in improving the effectiveness, efficiency and economy of Participating Public Agencies' procurement of Products and Services
5. That the Participating Public Agency will make timely payments to the Contract Supplier for Products and Services received in accordance with the terms and conditions of the procurement. Payment, inspections and acceptance of Products and Services ordered by the Participating Public Agency shall be the exclusive obligation of such Participating Public Agency. Disputes between the Participating Public Agency and Contract Supplier are to be resolved in accordance with the applicable laws and venue rules of the State of purchase.
6. The Participating Public Agency shall not use this Agreement as a method for obtaining additional concessions or reduced prices for similar products or services.
7. The Participating Public Agency is solely responsible for ordering, accepting, and paying and any other action, inaction or decision regarding the Products and Services obtained under this Agreement. A Lead Public Agency shall not be liable in any fashion for any violation by a Participating Public Agency, and the Participating Public Agency shall be responsible for its own conduct to the extent permitted by law.
8. The exercise of any rights or remedies by the Participating Public Agency shall be the exclusive obligation of such Participating Public Agency.
9. This Agreement shall remain in effect until termination by a party giving thirty (30) days prior written notice to U.S. Communities at 2999 Oak Road, Suite 710, Walnut Creek, CA 94597.
10. This Agreement shall become effective after execution of the Lead Public Agency Certificate or Participating Public Agency registration, as applicable.

CITY OF STANWOOD
COUNCIL AGENDA STAFF REPORT

ITEM NUMBER: 8f

DATE: April 12, 2018

SUBJECT: Municipal Research and Services Center (MRSC) Rosters

CONTACT PERSON: Kevin Hushagen, Public Works Director

ATTACHMENTS: A – MRSC Rosters Contract

ISSUE

The issue before the city council is whether or not to authorize the mayor to sign the MRSC Rosters contract (Attachment A).

RECOMMENDATION

Authorize the mayor to sign the MRSC Rosters Contract.

SUMMARY STATEMENT

MRSC Rosters is a roster service used by participating Washington public agencies to efficiently and affordably contact registered businesses about small public works projects, consulting opportunities, and contracting of goods or services.

DISCUSSION

Washington city and county governments are authorized to use a roster process for small public works projects under $300,000 (Small Public Works Roster), small to medium sized professional service contracts (Consultant Roster) and for the purchase of supplies, materials, and equipment not being purchased in connection with public works contracts (Vendor Roster) per RCW 39.04.155, RCW 39.04.190, and RCW 39.80. Eligible businesses must meet specific requirements to work with Washington Public Agencies as noted below:

All Businesses (Small Works/Consultant/Vendor Rosters)

- Unified Business Identifier Number (UBI#)
- Federal Tax ID Number
- Commercial General Liability Insurance (optional for vendors

Construction Related Businesses (Small Works Roster)

- Contractor's License

- Professional Licenses
- Bonding
- Cannot be debarred from working on public works projects
- Employment Security Number (if have employees)
- Pay Prevailing Wages

Professional Consulting Businesses (Consultant Roster)

- Professional Licenses
- Upload a general Statement of Qualifications
- Errors and Omissions Insurance

Public Agencies have two opportunities to join each year by May 1st to begin in June or December 1st to begin in January. By joining, the public agency agrees to exclusively use the rosters MRSC hosts and discontinue using any previously used rosters.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

Annual membership fee is $275.00 which is based on total capital expenditures.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

This was not reviewed by committee.

CITY COUNCIL OPTIONS

1. Authorize the mayor to sign the MRSC Rosters Contract.
2. Do not authorize the mayor to sign the MRSC Rosters Contract and direct staff to areas of concern.

RECOMMENDED MOTION

I MOVE TO AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR TO SIGN THE MRSC ROSTERS CONTRACT.

Washington Public Agency Contract
Small Works, Consultant, and Vendor Rosters

This contract (the "Contract") is made by and between Municipal Research and Services Center of Washington ("MRSC"), a not-for-profit corporation, and the Washington local government (the "Public Agency"), City of Stanwood.

1. Purpose. The purpose of this Contract is to provide the Public Agency with membership in MRSC Rosters.

2. Scope of Services. MRSC shall host the entire Public Agency's individual Small Public Works Roster ("Small Works Roster"), individual Consultant Roster ("Consultant Roster"), and individual Vendor Roster ("Vendor Roster") (collectively "Rosters"). MRSC shall advertise at least annually for the Small Works Roster, Consultant Roster, and Vendor Roster in accordance with statutory requirements on behalf of the Public Agency. MRSC will assist small public works, consultant, and vendor business (collectively, "businesses") with roster registration throughout the year, receive applications, review applicant eligibility for compliance with basic statutory eligibility requirements, and maintain business applications in an online database.

3. Effective Date and Term. This Contract shall be effective in the year in which it is signed on either May 1 if signed prior to May 1 or December 1 if signed prior to December 1, for a period of one year.

4. Access to MRSC Rosters by Public Agency Prior to Legal Notice. As of the Contract effective date, the Public Agency may access the MRSC Rosters database at www.mrscrosters.org by entering its account login information, as will be provided by MRSC. The Public Agency may search for and view business applications as of the effective date of the Contract, but it may not contact businesses about roster projects until after the legal notice is posted.

5. Notification of Transition to MRSC Rosters. As of the contract effective date, the Public Agency may begin notifying interested businesses that they may register with the Public Agency at any time in the MRSC Rosters, but that the Public Agency will not begin using the hosted rosters until after the legal notice is posted.

6. Roster Legal Notice. MRSC shall post the statutorily-required roster legal notice on behalf of the Public Agency in a newspaper of general circulation relative to the location of the Public Agency. The notice will occur the first Monday of January or June, or during the week of the first Monday of January or June for weekly newspapers.

7. Use of MRSC Rosters by Public Agency. As of the date of the applicable legal notice in January or June, all departments of the Public Agency will discontinue use of any previously-maintained rosters and begin using the MRSC Rosters exclusively when choosing to follow a roster contracting process, in accordance with the following statutory requirements:

(a) Small Works Roster. The Public Agency will use the Small Works Roster to select businesses for public work projects in accordance with RCW 39.04.155, as now or hereafter amended. The Public Agency shall be responsible for its own and the selected businesses' compliance with all other laws and regulations governing public works contracting, including retainage and bonds, prevailing wages, and any other applicable requirements.

(b) Consultant Roster. The Public Agency will use the Consultant Roster to select businesses for consultant projects in accordance with the laws and ordinances applicable to the Public Agency, including Chapter 39.80 RCW when contracting for architectural and engineering services. The Public Agency shall be responsible for its own and the selected businesses' compliance with all laws and regulations governing the purchase of services.

(c) Vendor Roster. The Public Agency will use the Vendor Roster to select businesses to award contracts for the purchase of supplies, materials, and equipment not being purchased in connection with public works contracts in accordance with RCW 39.04.190, and any ordinances and other laws applicable to the Public Agency. The Public Agency shall be responsible for its own and the selected business' compliance with all laws governing such purchases.

8. Compensation of Businesses. The Public Agency shall be responsible for payments to any business that it selects as a result of its use of MRSC Rosters. The Public Agency shall make all such payments directly to the businesses selected by the Public Agency.

9. Annual Membership Fee. The Public Agency will pay MRSC an annual membership fee based on the five-year average of the Public Agency's total capital expenditures. Payment of the annual membership fee is due within thirty (30) days of the Contract effective date.

Based on the following Membership Fee Scale, the Public Agency will pay an annual membership fee of $ 275.00

Total Capital Expenditures	Annual Membership Fee
Less than 5 million	$135
5 to 10 million	$275
10 to 15 million	$425
15 to 25 million	$575
25 to 50 million	$745
More than 50 million	$1145

10. Relationship of Parties. MRSC will perform the services under this Contract as an independent contractor and not as an agent, employee, or servant of the Public Agency. Nothing in this Contract shall be construed to render the parties partners or joint ventures.

11. Limitation of MRSC Liability. MRSC shall not be, directly or impliedly, a party to any contract with small works, consulting, or vendor businesses which the Public Agency may enter into as a result of the Public Agency's use of the MRSC Rosters. MRSC does not accept responsibility or liability for the performance of any business used by the Public Agency as a result of its use of the MRSC Rosters.

12. Hold Harmless and Indemnification. Each party shall defend, indemnify, and hold the other party harmless from any and all claims, injuries, damages, losses, or suits, including attorney fees, to the extent arising from any negligent act or omission of that party's officers, employees, volunteers, and agents in connection with the performance of this Contract.

13. Termination. This Contract may be terminated, with or without cause, by written notice of either party to the other. Termination shall be effective thirty (30) days after written notice. Termination of the contract by the Public Agency does not entitle the Public Agency to a refund of the membership fee prorated as to the time remaining in the contract term following termination.

14. Renewal. This Contract may be renewed annually by completing the online renewal process that includes confirming that the Public Agency will continue abiding by the terms outlined in this Contract and making payment within thirty (30) days from the effective date of either May 1 or December 1.

15. Non-assignment. MRSC shall contract with Strategies 360 for the hosting of the Public Agency rosters in the online database. MRSC shall not otherwise subcontract or assign any of the rights, duties, or obligations imposed upon it by this Contract without the prior express written consent of the Public Agency.

16. Governing Law and Venue. This Contract shall be governed by the laws of the State of Washington.

17. Severability. Should any clause, phrase, sentence or paragraph of this Contract be declared invalid or void, the remaining provisions of this Contract shall remain in full force and effect.

18. Complete Agreement. This Contract constitutes the entire understanding of the parties. Any written or verbal agreements that are not set forth herein or incorporated herein by reference are expressly excluded.

19. Public Agency Information. For purposes of Contract administration, the Public Agency provides the following information:

Official Public Agency Name: City of Stanwood

Common Public Agency Name (if different): _____

Mailing Address: 10220 270th Street NW
Stanwood, WA 98292

County: Snohomish

Type of Public Agency: City

Website: www.ci.stanwood.wa.us

Primary Contact:		Additional Contact:	
Name:	Kevin Hushagen	Name:	Lisa Sokolik
Title:	Public Works Director	Title:	PW Administrative Assistant
Email:	kevin.hushagen@ci.stanwood.wa.us	Email:	lisa.sokolik@ci.stanwood.wa.us
Telephone:	360-629-9782	Telephone:	360-629-9781 x0
Facsimile:	360-629-0867	Facsimile:	360-629-0867

20. Signatures. By signing this Contract, the Public Agency signatory below certifies that he/she has the authority to enter into this Contract on behalf of the entire Public Agency.

PUBLIC AGENCY	**MRSC**
_____	_____
[Signature]	[Signature]
Mayor	MRSC Rosters Manager
[Title]	[Title]
_____	_____
[Date]	[Date]

CITY OF STANWOOD
COUNCIL AGENDA STAFF REPORT

ITEM NUMBER:	9a
DATE:	April 12, 2018
SUBJECT:	Ordinance 1455 – Code Edits Title 17 –Tattoo and B&B changes (Zoning Use Table) – 2nd Reading
CONTACT PERSON:	Ryan C. Larsen, City Administrator/Community Development Director
ATTACHMENTS:	A - Ordinance 1455 – Zoning Use Table – Tattoo and B&B changes B - Supporting Information for Tattoo Parlor C -Letter from Mark Ramaley dated September 13, 2017

ISSUE

The issue before the City Council is to review Ordinance 1455 relating to the zoning use table edits affecting Tattoo and B&B changes and whether or not the City Council should allow for second reading and final adoption.

RECOMMENDATION

1. Review and discuss the proposed edits to the zoning use table for Tattoo and B&B changes.
2. Allow for first reading of Ordinance 1455 making changes to the City of Stanwood Municipal Code.

SUMMARY STATEMENT

City staff has proposed changes to Title 17 based on the desire of the Planning Commission and the mayor. The Planning Commission reviewed these suggested changes at their October 23 meeting and supported staff moving forward with them. Staff presented the proposed edits to the City Council Community Development Committee at their November 9, 2017 meeting, and they also supported the changes.

The Commission held a public hearing on January 22, 2018, at which time the Commission made the following recommendation to City Council:

“I MOVE TO RECOMMEND CITY COUNCIL APPROVE THE PROPOSED CODE EDITS TO TITLE 17 AS OUTLINED IN ATTACHMENT A.”

The motion from the Commission passed with four members in favor, one no vote, one abstention, and one not present. The motion included support for the proposed changes in the attached Ordinance 1455.

Also, during public comment, there was concern expressed over the number of rooms allowed in the residential zone for bed and breakfast. The change would allow for six rooms in all residential zones for both bed and breakfast residences and bed and breakfast inns. Before the proposed change only two rooms were allowed for bed and breakfast residences.

Staff has further evaluated the proposed change and realized the change suggested by staff would be in contradiction with the definitions (below).

> “Bed and breakfast house or inn” means a building other than a hotel or nursing home where meals and short-term lodging of **more than two rooms** are provided for compensation to guests and other transient persons.
>
> “Bed and breakfast residence” means a building other than a hotel or nursing home where meals and short-term lodging of **two rooms or less** are provided for compensation to guests and other transient persons.

One alternative discussed with the City Council Community Development Committee was to make a change to address the number of rooms for bed and breakfast residences under section 17.30.050 Zoning use conditions subsection (6) from staff’s suggested change and to make the definition consistent found under section 17.20.030.

Staff offers the following additional suggested change:

17.20.030 “B” definitions

> “Bed and breakfast house or inn” means a building other than a hotel or nursing home where meals and short-term lodging of more than **~~two~~** **<u>four</u>** rooms are provided for compensation to guests and other transient persons.
>
> “Bed and breakfast residence” means a building other than a hotel or nursing home where meals and short-term lodging of **~~two~~** **<u>four</u>** rooms or less are provided for compensation to guests and other transient persons.

17.30.050 Zoning use conditions

> (6) A business license and compliance with conditions in SMC 17.100.060 for permitting a bed and breakfast use are required. Bed and breakfast residence use is limited to **~~two~~** **<u>four</u>** rooms per residence. Bed and breakfast inn use is

limited to six rooms in the SR 5.0 zone, 10 rooms in the MR zone and 16 rooms in the GC zone.

DISCUSSION

The change to the Personal Services section of the zoning use table under SMC 17.30.040 adds Tattoo Parlors as a permitted use in the MBI and GC zones. The other original change was to the zoning use table changing Bed and Breakfast Inns as an Administrative Conditional Use permit under Single-Family Residential SR5.0 (SR5.0), Multi-Family Residential (MR), and General Commercial (GC) from a Conditional Use Permit and changes Bed and Breakfast Residence from a Conditional Use to an Administrative Conditional Use permit under the SR12.4, SR9.6, SR7.0, SR5.0, MR and GC zones. Staff also changed footnote (6) to allow up to 6 rooms in all SR zones from 2 rooms under SMC 17.30.050.

Staff has made changes to the ordinance with direction from the Community Development Committee only affecting the Bed and Breakfast Inns and Bed and Breakfast Residence. The changes proposed are to not allow for them to be processed as a conditional use permit but rather make no change to the permitted use table under 17.30.040, change the definitions for both B&B Inns and B&B Residences to be consistent with foot note (6) found under SMC 17.30.050. The Committee did not suggest tattoo parlor changes.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

None.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

City staff met with the Council Community Development Committee on Thursday, November 9, 2017 to discuss the proposed edits. The Committee supported moving forward with the edits. Staff had a follow up with the Committee on February 8, 2018 and they suggested moving this item off the agenda to further discuss at the March 8, 2018 meeting. Staff met with the Committee on March 8 and the Committee provide suggested edits which have been incorporated into the attached ordinance. At their March 22, 2018 City Council meeting, council approved first reading of Ordinance 1455.

CITY COUNCIL OPTIONS

1. Allow for second reading and final adoption of Ordinance 1455 making changes to the zoning use table affecting Tattoo and B&B changes in the City of Stanwood Municipal Code.

2. Allow for second reading and final adoption of Ordinance 1455 making changes to the zoning use table affecting Tattoo and B&B changes in the City of Stanwood Municipal Code and make additional changes as suggested by staff.

3. Do not support Ordinance 1455 making changes to the zoning use table affecting Tattoo and B&B changes in the City of Stanwood Municipal Code at this time and direct staff to address specific Council issues or concerns prior to Council reconsidering the ordinance.

4. Do not support second reading and final adoption of Ordinance 1455 making changes to the zoning use table affecting Tattoo and B&B changes in the City of Stanwood Municipal Code.

RECOMMENDED MOTION

I MOVE TO APPROVE SECOND READING AND FINAL ADOPTION OF ORDINANCE 1455 AS IDENTIFIED IN ATTACHMENT "A".

ATTACHMENT A

CITY OF STANWOOD
WASHINGTON

ORDINANCE NO. 1455

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF STANWOOD, WASHINGTON, AMENDING STANWOOD MUNICIPAL CODE (SMC) SECTION 17.20.030 "B" DEFINITIONS - "BED AND BREAKFAST HOUSE OR INN" AND "BED AND BREAKFAST RESIDENCE"; 17.30.040 ENTITLED "ZONING USE TABLE" RELATING TO PERSONAL SERVICES; AND SUBSECTION 17.30.050(6) ZONING USE CONDITIONS; ESTABLISHING SEVERABILITY AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, under the State Growth Management Act (GMA), the City is authorized to adopt concurrent zoning code amendments to implement its Comprehensive Plan; and

WHEREAS, amendments to the zoning code are required to ensure consistency with the Comprehensive Plan; and

WHEREAS, the development regulation amendments contained in this Ordinance are consistent with the procedural guidelines for amendments to the development regulations of the City; and

WHEREAS, on November 13, 2017 and January 22, 2018 the Stanwood Planning Commission reviewed the amendments set forth in this Ordinance during the amendment process; and

WHEREAS, the City of Stanwood SEPA Responsible Official reviewed the amendments and issued a Determination of Non-significance (DNS) on November 21, 2017; and

WHEREAS, on January 22, 2018, following notice as required by law, a public hearing was held by the Planning Commission and all persons wishing to provide public input concerning the proposed amendments set forth in this Ordinance were heard; and

WHEREAS, public notice of the SEPA DNS and the above-referenced public hearing were provided as required by law; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission made a recommendation concerning proposed amendments; and

WHEREAS, the Community Development Committee reviewed the edits recommended by the Planning Commission at their February 8, 2018 and at their March 8, 2018 meetings; and

WHEREAS, the City Council reviewed the recommendation of the Planning Commission and the Committee at their March 22, 2018 regular meeting; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to RCW 36.70A.106, the City has notified the Washington State Department of Commerce of the City's intent to adopt the proposed amendments to the zoning code and asked for expedited review of those amendments contained in this Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has determined that it is in the best interests of the City to amend the zoning use table;

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF STANWOOD, WASHINGTON, DO ORDAIN AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. SMC Section 17.20.030 entitled "B" Definitions - "Bed and breakfast house or inn" and "Bed and breakfast residence" definitions are hereby amended to read as follows (all other definitions in SMC Section 17.20.030 entitled "B" Definitions remain in effect and unchanged):

17.20.030 "B" definitions

"Bed and breakfast house or inn" means a building other than a hotel or nursing home where meals and short-term lodging of more than ~~two~~ <u>four</u> rooms are provided for compensation to guests and other transient persons.

"Bed and breakfast residence" means a building other than a hotel or nursing home where meals and short-term lodging of ~~two~~ <u>four</u> rooms or less are provided for compensation to guests and other transient persons.

Section 2. SMC Section 17.30.040 entitled “Zoning use table” subsections for “Hotels and Guesthouses” and “Personal Services” are hereby amended to read as follows (all other provisions of SMC Section 17.30.040 entitled “Zoning use table” remain in effect and unchanged):

17.30.040 Zoning Use Table

KEY:

Blank = Not Permitted	AEO = Adult Entertainment Overlay
AC = Accessory Use	HO = Historic Overlay
ADC = Administrative Conditional Use	TO = Transit Overlay
C = Conditional Use	MPO = Master Plan Overlay
P = Permitted Use	MXO = Mixed Use Overlay

Land Use	SR 12.4	SR 9.6	SR 7.0	SR 5.0	MR	TN	MB-I[29]	MB-II	NB	GC	LI	GI
Personal Services												
Assisted/independent living					**C18**	**C**		**P11, P18**		**P18**		
Barber shop, beauty shop					**P39MXO**	**P**	**P**	**P**	**P**	**P, P39 MXO**		**P37TO**
Daycare center			**P12**	**P12**	**P12**	**P12**	**P12**	**P12**	**P12**	**P12, P39 MXO**		**P37TO**
Daycare, family	**AC12**	**AC12**	**AC12**	**AC12**	**P12**		**P37TO**	**P**	**P12**	**P12, P39 MXO**		**P37TO**
Daycare, mini				**P12**	**P12**	**P12**	**P12**	**P12**	**P12**	**P12, P39 MXO**		
Dry cleaner					**P39MXO**	**P35**	**P**	**P**	**P**	**P, P39 MXO**	**P**	**P**
Equipment rental								**P**		**P, P39 MXO**	**P**	**P**
Funeral home							**P**	**P**		**P, P39 MXO**	**P**	

Health/athletic club					**P39MXO**		**P**	**P**		**P, P39 MXO**	**P**	
Janitorial service					**P39MXO**			**P**			**P**	**P**
Laundromat					**P39MXO**	**P35**	**P**	**P**	**P**	**P, P39 MXO**	**P**	**P37TO**
Optician					**P39MXO**	**P**	**P**	**P**	**P**	**P, P39 MXO**		**P37TO**
Massage clinic/center							**P**	**P**		**P, P39 MXO**		
Medical marijuana collective gardens							**ADC**	**ADC**		**ADC**	**ADC**	
Photo processing service					**P39MXO**		**P**	**P**		**P, P39 MXO**	**P**	
Photocopy/private mail center							**P**	**P**		**P, P39 MXO**		
Printing and publishing					**P39MXO**		**P**	**P**		**P, P39 MXO**	**P**	
Private clubs					**P39MXO**		**P**	**P**		**P, P39 MXO**		
Tattoo parlors					**P39MXO**		**P**	**P**		**P**		**P**
Travel agencies					**P39MXO**	**P**	**P**	**P**				
Video sales and rental					**P39MXO**	**P**	**P37TO**	**P**	**P**	**P, P39 MXO**	**P37TO**	**P37TO**
Other uses determined to be consistent with the definition of "personal service" as determined in this code							**P51HO**					

Section 3. SMC Subsection 17.30.050(6) is hereby amended to read as follows (All other provisions of SMC Section 17.30.050 entitled "Zoning use conditions" remain in effect and unchanged):

> **17.30.050 Zoning use conditions.**
>
> ****
>
> (6) A business license and compliance with conditions in SMC 17.100.060 for permitting a bed and breakfast use are required. Bed and breakfast residence use is limited to ~~two~~ four rooms per residence. Bed and breakfast inn use is limited to six rooms in the SR 5.0 zone, with the exception of 10 rooms in the MR zone and 16 rooms in the GC zone.
>
> ****

Section 4. Severability. The various parts, sections and clauses of this ordinance are hereby declared to be severable. If any part, sentence, paragraph, section or clause is adjudged unconstitutional or invalid by a court of competent jurisdiction, the remainder of the Ordinance shall not be affected thereby.

Section 5. Effective Date. This Ordinance shall take effect from and after five (5) days after its passage and publication as required by law.

PASSED AND APPROVED by the Stanwood City Council this 12th day of April, 2018.

CITY OF STANWOOD

_____
Leonard Kelley, Mayor

ATTEST:

By: _____
David A. Hammond, City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

By: _____
Grant Weed, City Attorney

Date of Publication: _____
Effective Date: _____

ATTACHMENT B

17.100.060 Conditions for permitting a bed and breakfast in single-family residential zones.

Subsequent to a public hearing, the city's hearing examiner shall find or attach the following conditions prior to issuing a conditional use permit:

(1) Bed and breakfast businesses shall be conducted in such a manner as to give no outward appearance or manifest any characteristics of a business, except as provided below, that would infringe upon the rights of the neighboring properties to live in a quiet residents-only neighborhood.

(2) Numbers of guest rooms shall be limited to the maximums set in the Tables of Dimensional and Density Requirements, Chapters 17.30 through 17.78 SMC.

(3) Adequate parking per SMC 17.105.140 shall be provided on-site and screened from the view of all neighboring properties.

(4) Safe pick-up and drop-off and loading/unloading areas shall be provided on-site.

(5) Commercial accessory uses shall not be permitted.

(6) Serving of meals shall be limited to overnight guests. No kitchen facilities shall be permitted in guest rooms, except microwave ovens, small refrigerators, and coffee pots.

(7) Adequate utilities, including sewer, water, and electricity, shall be confirmed by the purveyor.

(8) Adequate public roads shall be available or improved by the applicant as determined by the public works director.

(9) Impact to the existing neighborhood shall be minimized to the extent possible by the provision of greater setbacks and landscaping to screen on-site activities. At a minimum, all lot lines abutting residentially developed lots shall be planted with a five-foot wide landscaping strip providing a dense visual barrier of trees and shrubs.

(10) Signage shall be limited to one monument sign meeting the standards of SMC 17.110.235.

Definitions

"Bed and breakfast house or inn" means a building other than a hotel or nursing home where meals and short-term lodging of **more than two rooms** are provided for compensation to guests and other transient persons.

"Bed and breakfast residence" means a building other than a hotel or nursing home where meals and short-term lodging of **two rooms or less** are provided for compensation to guests and other transient persons.

Land Use	SR 12.4	SR 9.6	SR 7.0	SR 5.0	MR	TN	MB-I[29]	MB-II	NB	GC	LI	GI
Hotels and Guesthouses												
Apartment hotel							**P**	**P**		**P, P39 MXO**		
Bed and breakfast inn				**AC6, 32**	**AC6, 32**		**P6, 32**	**P6, 32**		**AC6, 32**		**P37TO**
Bed and breakfast residence	**AC6, 32**	**AC6, 32**	**AC6, 32**	**AC6, 32**	**AC6, 32**		**AC6, 32**	**AC6, 32**		**AC6, 32**		**P37TO**
Guest house							**P**	**P**				
Hotel							**P**	**P11, P**		**P, P39 MXO**	**P18**	
Motel									**P**	**P, P39 MXO**	**P18**	
Resort											**P18**	

(6) A business license and compliance with conditions in SMC 17.100.060 for permitting a bed and breakfast use are required. Bed and breakfast residence use is limited to ~~two rooms per residence. Bed and breakfast inn use is limited to~~ six rooms ~~in the SR 5.0 zone~~, 10 rooms in the MR zone and 16 rooms in the GC zone.
(32) Restaurants that serve lunches and/or dinner shall be allowed in bed and breakfast accommodations.
(37) Permitted in the transit overlay when part of a transit-oriented project as defined in SMC 17.77.040.

ATTACHMENT C

September 13, 2017

Mr. Ryan Larsen, Community Development Director
City of Stanwood
10220 270th Ave. NW
Stanwood, WA 98292

Re: Bed and Breakfast Residences

Dear Ryan:

Several years ago, I was looking into the code to see what the potential would be for a bed and breakfast at my property, the Klondike Anderson Mansion on the east hill. Considering the size (5 bedrooms), age (1912) and land area (2+ acres on 2 tax lots), it seemed a perfect candidate for such use, if I ever were to pursue that opportunity. The code (revised 10/06) seemed to indicate that I could have up to 2 guest rooms per acre plus a primary residence. Thus, I had been under the impression these past 10 years or so that 4 guest rooms would be permitted.

Now that I am remarried and my wife and I are looking ahead into our golden years, the idea of a bed and breakfast has been rekindled. However, to my surprise, I discovered that the current code restricts a bed and breakfast residence to a maximum of 2 rooms, with no consideration given to the size of either the home or the lot. While a 2 room maximum may be appropriate for many of the smaller turn-of-the-century homes on city lots downtown, more guest rooms (and revenue) should be permitted on larger properties that are more expensive to maintain and have more land to accommodate more guests.

At a time when the city is studying ways to attract more lodging opportunities within the city limits, it would seem that the 2-room limit restricts the potential market for overnight stays in Stanwood, and is a disincentive for certain property owners who might otherwise be willing to make the investment in time and money to create unique bed and breakfast lodging.

Perhaps this restriction was an oversight and unintended. It would be interesting to learn the rationale behind this change. In any event, I would urge you to consider editing this section of the code to allow for more units and thus better economies for larger properties.

Sincerely,

Mark Ramaley
Klondike Manor, LLC

CITY OF STANWOOD
COUNCIL AGENDA STAFF REPORT

ITEM NUMBER: 10ai

DATE: April 12, 2018

SUBJECT: Resolution 2018-05 - Surplus of City Property

CONTACT PERSON: David A. Hammond, Finance Director

ATTACHMENTS: A – Resolution 2018-05

ISSUE

Should the City surplus assets that are broken, outdated, missing, or no longer of any use to the City?

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends council approve Resolution 2018-05 declaring certain property to be surplus to the needs of the city and authorizing the finance director to arrange for and to execute the sale and/or disposal of said property for the common benefit.

SUMMARY STATEMENT

City staff has identified equipment that is broken, missing, or no longer has value to the city for providing municipal services. Staff is requesting approval of Resolution 2018-05 authorizing the finance director to dispose of applicable items and remove all from the asset listing.

DISCUSSION

In performance of routine accounting, and in resolving minor issues identified by the recent audit, staff has identified items that are no longer of use to the City. This includes eighteen items. Four items were County IT assets, seven items were determined to be sold at the prior surplus sale, but were not included on the prior surplus resolution, so are included on this list.

Three items were unable to be located in the year-end physical inventory: a chainsaw, a portable hard drive and a computer monitor. Although it is not ideal for three assets to disappear, some amount of loss is to be expected. Department managers were interviewed about the losses to determine whether additional control procedures are indicated:

- With regard to the monitor, it is assumed the asset was replaced by County IT, but city records were not updated. By the terms of our current contract, these assets are provided by the County and the county accounts for them.
- With regard to the portable hard drive, the device was used by the Deputy City Clerk and was believed to be in the records room, but cannot be located. Due to the low dollar value (less than $100) a police report was not filed.
- The chainsaw appears to have gone missing during the summer; given the delay and the age of the item, no police report was filed.

Declaring these assets surplus helps alleviate space issues and removes broken items from the premises. Resolution 2018-05 (Attachment A) lists the items that were identified as surplus, the department that acquired them and why they are no longer of use.

RCW 35.94.040 requires that "…any lands, property, or equipment originally acquired for public utility purposes is surplus to the city's needs and is not required for providing continued public utility service, then such legislative authority by resolution and after a public hearing may cause such lands, property, or equipment to be leased, sold or conveyed." Since ten of the items on the surplus list were acquired for public utility purposes, there is a public hearing scheduled for tonight's meeting.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

The two surplus items do not have residual value; the laptop will be sent to Snohomish County IT, which will provide the city a certificate that the computers drive was securely wiped and the device disposed of appropriately (recycled). The printer was broken and not repairable, so will be recycled.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

None. This item was not presented to the finance committee.

CITY COUNCIL OPTIONS

1. Approve Resolution 2018-05 declaring certain property to be surplus to the needs of the city and authorizing the finance director to arrange for and to execute the disposal of said property for the common benefit.

2. Do not approve Resolution 2018-05 and direct staff to areas of concern. This option implies the City Council has questions or concerns regarding the proposal to surplus the property identified in Attachment A.

RECOMMENDED MOTION

I MOVE TO ADOPT RESOLUTION 2018-05 DECLARING CERTAIN PROPERTY TO BE SURPLUS TO THE NEEDS OF THE CITY AND AUTHORIZING THE FINANCE DIRECTOR TO ARRANGE FOR AND TO EXECUTE THE DISPOSAL OF SAID PROPERTY.

ATTACHMENT A

CITY OF STANWOOD, WASHINGTON

RESOLUTION 2018-05

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF STANWOOD, WASHINGTON DECLARING CERTAIN ITEMS OF PERSONAL PROPERTY TO BE SURPLUS TO THE NEEDS OF THE CITY AND AUTHORIZING THE FINANCE DIRECTOR TO ARRANGE FOR AND TO EXECUTE THE SALE AND/OR DISPOSAL OF SAID PROPERTY FOR THE COMMON BENEFIT.

WHEREAS, the City of Stanwood owns certain used equipment, rolling stock and tools which are surplus to the needs of the City and are not required for providing continued municipal services; and

WHEREAS, some of the items to be surplussed were purchased and/or used for utility purposes; and

WHEREAS, RCW 39.94.040 requires that a public hearing be held if property (real estate or personal property) originally purchased for utility purposes is no longer needed for that use; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to RCW 35.94.040 a duly noticed public hearing was held on April 12, 2018 and all who wished to be heard were heard; and

WHEREAS, the City Council desires to declare certain personal property surplus and to authorize the Finance Director to arrange for and to execute the sale and/or disposal of said property to realize the greatest return by the most efficient means;

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF STANWOOD DOES HEREBY RESOLVE AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. The City Council hereby determines and finds that certain property described in Exhibit A and attached hereto is surplus to the needs of the City and is not required for providing continued municipal service.

Section 2. The Finance Director is authorized to arrange for and to execute the sale and/or disposal of said property to realize the greatest return by the most efficient means.

PASSED AND APPROVED by the City Council of the City of Stanwood this 12th day of April, 2018.

CITY OF STANWOOD

_____
Leonard Kelley, Mayor

ATTEST:

_____

David A. Hammond, City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

_____
Grant K. Weed, City Attorney

EXHIBIT A

ID	Utility?	Description	Serial./VIN.	Asset #	Purchased	Cost	Notes
Computers	NO	Network Switch	030758-11	79777	N/A	N/A	Replaced by County
Computers	NO	File Server	N/A	79597	Mar-02	$ 800	Replaced by County
Computers	YES	Work Station	N/A	40016	N/A	N/A	Replaced by County
Computers	YES	Workstation	N/A	30052	N/A	N/A	Replaced by County
Tools & Small Equipment	YES	Jack Hammer	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Sold at 8/15 sale
Tools & Small Equipment	YES	Pump	P951015	80009	1/1/1996	$ 1,600	Surplused; No date given;
Vehicles	YES	Dump Truck	1GBHC34K6PE227640	14103D	6/1/1993	N/A	Surplused; No date given;
Vehicles	NO	Pickup Truck	1GKB34K2JE191435	02915D	6/1/1988	$ 4,000	Surplused; No date given;
Tools & Small Equipment	YES	Handheld Meter Reader	N/A	20091	N/A	N/A	Returned to Badger 2015
Tools & Small Equipment	YES	Handheld Meter Reader	N/A	20090	N/A	N/A	Returned to Badger 2016
Vehicles	PW	Pickup Truck-Blue	2FDKF37H1SCA52474	14174D	5/1/1995	N/A	Surplussed last year
Tools & Small Equipment	PW	Sprayer - Solo Backpack	N/A	30125	1/1/2010	N/A	Broken; Replaced
Tools & Small Equipment	YES	Gas Detector	14569	30117	4/1/2001	$ 1,613	Broken; Replaced
Tools & Small Equipment	YES	Chain Saw		80005	N/A	N/A	Missing, no police report
Computers	NO	Monitor	N/A	20225	N/A	N/A	Missing, no police report
Computers	NO	External Disk Drive	G8AC0000B310	20025	N/A	N/A	Missing, no police report
Computers	YES	Printer	MY37N3KIN9	30006	N/A	N/A	Broken, surplus
Computers	NO	Council LapTop	N/A	20199	N/A	N/A	Obsolete, surplus

CITY OF STANWOOD
COUNCIL AGENDA STAFF REPORT

ITEM NUMBER:	11a
DATE:	April 12, 2018
SUBJECT:	Inflow & Infiltration (I&I) Study
CONTACT PERSON:	Kevin Hushagen, Public Works Director
ATTACHMENTS:	A – RH2 Scope and Fee

ISSUE

The issue in front of council is whether or not to authorize the mayor to enter into an agreement with RH2 for professional consulting services for the Inflow and Infiltration (I&I) study.

SUMMARY

The I&I study is a requirement of our Waste Water Treatment Plant permit through the Department of Ecology. The study is required to be completed by January 31, 2019.

DISCUSSION

The purpose of an I&I study is to find out if and where ground water or other sources of storm water are getting into the sewer system. When ground water or other storm water gets into the sewer system, it takes up volume in the treatment plant that is available for future sanitary sewer needs.

This study requires the city to install several flow monitors throughout the sewer system and record data. This data, along with collected rainfall data, is then used to narrow down the areas that have the most I&I issues. RH2 has been the city's consultant for operating the software to read the data that the flow monitors are receiving. They also are very familiar with our system and have tabulated some of this data in the past, so they appear to be the most qualified to complete this study for the city.

While this study is being completed as a permit requirement, city staff sees great benefit in doing it. The treatment plant only has so much capacity, and it is clear by the volume increase during storm events, that there is a significant volume being taken up by I&I issues. This project will be the first phase in the process. It should provide us some specific locations to concentrate on. Staff will be coming back to council to request additional funding for a second phase of this project to pin point specific areas that can be addressed.

This project is in the city's comprehensive plan, in the capital improvement plan, and in the 2018 budget.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

The current contract is for $88,514.00. The sewer construction fund has $300,000 budgeted for 2018.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

This issue went to the Public Works Committee on April 9, 2018, however this was too close to the council date to provide a recommendation here.

CITY COUNCIL OPTIONS

1. Authorize the mayor to enter into an agreement with RH2 for the I&I Study.

2. Do not authorize the mayor to enter into an agreement with RH2 for the I&I Study and send back to the Public Works Committee for further discussion.

3. Reject the proposal from RH2 and look for a different consulting firm.

RECOMMENDED MOTION

I MOVE TO AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR TO ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT, NOT TO EXCEED $88,514, WITH RH2 ENGINEERING FOR AN INFLOW AND INFILTRATION STUDY.

EXHIBIT A
Scope of Work
City of Stanwood
Infiltration and Inflow Evaluation
March 2018

Background

The City of Stanwood's (City) wastewater collection system is experiencing infiltration and inflow (I/I) from groundwater and rainfall events. The I/I takes up capacity in the wastewater collection system, pumping facilities, and the WWTP, increasing operating costs to the system that are passed on to customers.

The City received directive from the Department of Ecology (Ecology) to conduct an I/I evaluation and prepare an evaluation report. This scope of work details how RH2 Engineering, Inc. (RH2) will conduct an I/I evaluation to locate the sources of I/I, develop solutions, and prepare a schedule of recommended repairs or replacements. RH2 will then prepare a written report summarizing the evaluation, findings, and results according to Ecology's requirement for the I/I evaluation and evaluation report.

Task 1 – Data Collection and Flow Monitoring

Objective:

To review and evaluate existing sewer flow meter data transmitted by DVD from the City to RH2 at the March 6, 2018 meeting with City staff. Provide the City with a plan for additional sewer flow monitoring.

Approach:

1.1 Review the data files provided by the City for completeness and correlate to manholes in the City's wastewater collection system based on file naming developed by the City.

1.2 Prepare a map showing the locations of sewer flow monitoring conducted by the City.

1.3 Develop a plan identifying locations for additional sewer flow monitoring and provide the City with a map of manholes and pipes in which flow monitors should be installed. *Meters will be installed, adjusted, and monitored by the City.*

1.4 Review rainfall data to be collected by the City during the flow monitoring period(s).

Assumptions:

- *RH2 will rely on the accuracy of the existing manhole naming and data provided by the City, as well as any data obtained during additional flow monitoring.*
- *Flow monitoring shall occur during July and August to capture dry weather sewer flows, and flow monitoring shall occur continuously October to December to capture sewer flows during as many rain events as possible.*
- *The basins to be studied for flow monitoring will follow the Sewer Basins identified in the February 2015 Comprehensive Sewer System Plan.*

Provided by City:

- City has already provided RH2 a copy of existing flow monitoring data.
- Six (6) existing City-owned sewer flow meters. *Meters will be installed, adjusted, downloaded bi-weekly and monitored by the City at locations identified by RH2. The flow meters will be moved as necessary to focus analysis on areas most likely to be the source of I&I.*
- Manhole cleaning as needed prior to flow meter installation to facilitate accurate meter readings.
- Manual flow level measurement using a portable weir for comparing with each flow meters' measurements. This shall be done at least once for each meter to confirm accuracy of the meters prior to collecting all flow data. The quality of the analysis performed by RH2 will depend on the accuracy and the quality of the City-collected flow data.
- Data downloads from flow meters into a Microsoft Excel or Access database format. Data points shall be collected at 5-minute intervals.
- Visual inspection of the sewer flows during three (3) storm events to assist in locating recommended flow monitoring locations.
- Observe and document groundwater levels and inflow at manholes that are monitored for flow (i.e., observe the manholes for moisture to gauge adjacent groundwater levels and influences) each time manholes are visited for data downloads. City will provide all daily reports to RH2.
- Graphic or GIS information showing all manhole lids that have multiple holes that could be a source of inflow.
- Rain gauge (rent or purchase) that will be installed within old Stanwood.
- Revisions to the sewer system that have occurred since RH2 prepared the February 2015 Comprehensive Sewer System Plan.

RH2 Deliverables:

- Summary of data completeness of existing flow monitoring to use in the I/I study in portable document file (PDF) or electronic email format.
- Map of flow monitoring locations in PDF format.

Task 2 – Analyze System for I/I and Prepare Report

Objective:

Using the Task 1 collected flow monitoring data, analyze the collection system for I/I and prepare a report summarizing the analysis and results.

Approach:

2.1 Analyze flow monitoring and rainfall data collected by the City.

2.2 Utilize the flow monitoring data from dry days to calibrate the City's existing sewer model.

2.3 Estimate rainfall induced I/I by comparing flow monitoring data to estimated sanitary flows from the calibrated model.

2.4 Summarize pipes by study basin and identify age, length, and material, which may help locate I/I sources.

2.5 Quantify and identify I/I areas in the collection system and prepare map to summarize results.

2.6 Using the I/I study results, identify basins that may require further study to isolate I/I sources.

2.7 Meet with City to discuss I/I study results and basins that may require further future study and inspections (e.g. video inspection, smoke testing, and/or dye testing), which can be conducted using an amendment to this scope of work.

2.8 Using the I/I study results, prepare a schedule for further collection system study and inspections to locate leaks not specifically identified/pinpointed from the initial I/I study.

2.9 Prepare a tentative schedule of recommended collection system repairs based on the analysis and results of the I/I study.

2.10 Summarize and list routine inspections conducted and collection system repairs performed in the last five (5) years, using information provided by the City.

2.11 Prepare maps showing the following: all flow monitoring locations; flow information from in-stream flow monitoring data from City; manhole lid replacement program; regions requiring further analysis; pipe age; pipe material;

2.12 Prepare Draft I/I Evaluation Report summarizing study, inspections, analyses, results, and recommendations.

2.13 Perform in-house quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) review of the Draft I/I Evaluation Report completed by an experienced RH2 engineer. Revise the Draft I/I Evaluation Report based on the QA/QC review.

2.14 Prepare a portable document file (PDF) of the Draft I/I Evaluation Report and deliver electronically to the City for review and comment.

2.15 Meet with the City to discuss review and comments on the Draft I/I Evaluation Report.

2.16 Revise the Draft I/I Evaluation Report based on City review and comments. Prepare PDF of the Final I/I Evaluation Report for the City's use in submitting to Ecology.

2.17 Prepare revisions to the I/I Evaluation Report based on comments received from Ecology's review of the report.

Assumptions:

- *RH2 will rely on the accuracy of the data provide by the City or others in relation to this work.*

Provided by City:

- Flow monitoring and rainfall data collected in Task 1.
- Current sewer model if different than the copy RH2 possesses locally. It will be the responsibility of the City to determine if the sewer models are different (e.g., identify if the City or City's consultant revised the sewer model that RH2 previously provided to the City).

- Geographic information system (GIS) map and data for the sewer system containing pipes' age, length and material.
- A list of routine inspections and maintenance conducted in the last five (5) years
- A list of collection system repairs performed in the last five (5) years.
- Attendance at meetings and meeting room.
- Review and comment on the Draft I/I Evaluation Report.

RH2 Deliverables:

- Attendance at two (2) meetings.
- Draft I/I Evaluation Report in electronic PDF format.
- Final I/I Evaluation Report in electronic PDF format for submittal to Ecology for review and comment.
- Final I/I Evaluation Report in electronic PDF format that has been updated per Ecology's review and comments.

Task 3 – Project Administration

Objective:

Administer, manage, and monitor the project team, resources, communications, scope progress, budget, and files and records.

Approach:

3.1 Review and monitor project progress/schedule, scope, and budget.

3.2 Manage the project team and resources.

3.3 Provide monthly invoices with progress report documenting work performed and budget status.

3.4 Communication with the City regarding project progress, invoicing, and schedule.

3.5 Maintain project files and records in electronic format.

RH2 Deliverables:

- Schedule, scope, and budget administration and management.
- Project team and resource management.
- Monthly invoices with progress reporting.
- Communication with the City.

Additional Work and Phases

During execution of this Scope of Work, additional work or phases may be identified as desired or needed by the City to meet Ecology's requirements for the I/I evaluation and report due January 31, 2019. The City may consider amending the Agreement with RH2 for additional work or phases.

Schedule

It is the goal of all parties that the work for this project shall be fully completed by January 31, 2019. The schedule for this Scope of Work may be modified as mutually agreeable to RH2 and the City.

EXHIBIT B

City of Stanwood
Infiltration and Inflow Evaluation

Fee Estimate

Description / Classification		Total Hours	Total Labor	Total Subconsultant	Total Expense	Total Cost
Task 1	Data Collection and Flow Monitoring	59	$ 11,020	$ -	$ 807	$ 11,827
Task 2	Analyze System for I/I and Prepare Report	317	$ 58,936	$ -	$ 4,258	$ 63,194
Task 3	Project Administration	66	$ 12,976	$ -	$ 517	$ 13,493
	PROJECT TOTAL	442	$ 82,932	$ -	$ 5,582	$ 88,514

EXHIBIT C RH2 ENGINEERING, INC. 2018 SCHEDULE OF RATES AND CHARGES		
RATE LIST	**RATE**	**UNIT**
Professional I	$144	$/hr
Professional II	$157	$/hr
Professional III	$170	$/hr
Professional IV	$180	$/hr
Professional V	$197	$/hr
Professional VI	$209	$/hr
Professional VII	$227	$/hr
Professional VIII	$236	$/hr
Professional IX	$236	$/hr
Technician I	$104	$/hr
Technician II	$110	$/hr
Technician III	$136	$/hr
Technician IV	$145	$/hr
Administrative I	$71	$/hr
Administrative II	$83	$/hr
Administrative III	$99	$/hr
Administrative IV	$117	$/hr
Administrative V	$135	$/hr
CAD/GIS System	$27.50	$/hr
CAD Plots - Half Size	$2.50	price per plot
CAD Plots - Full Size	$10.00	price per plot
CAD Plots - Large	$25.00	price per plot
Copies (bw) 8.5" X 11"	$0.09	price per copy
Copies (bw) 8.5" X 14"	$0.14	price per copy
Copies (bw) 11" X 17"	$0.20	price per copy
Copies (color) 8.5" X 11"	$0.90	price per copy
Copies (color) 8.5" X 14"	$1.20	price per copy
Copies (color) 11" X 17"	$2.00	price per copy
Technology Charge	2.50%	% of Direct Labor
Mileage	$0.545	price per mile (or Current IRS Rate)
Subconsultants	15%	Cost +
Outside Services	at cost	

Rates listed are adjusted annually.

CITY OF STANWOOD
COUNCIL AGENDA STAFF REPORT

ITEM NUMBER:	11b
DATE:	April 12, 2018
SUBJECT:	Les Schwab Easement and Floor Tear-Up Termination
CONTACT PERSON:	Kevin Hushagen, Public Works Director
ATTACHMENTS:	A – Termination B – Water Easement 1 C – Water Easement 2 and Floor Tear-Up Agreement

---

ISSUE

The issue in front of council is whether or not to authorize the mayor to sign the Termination of the 2 water easements and the floor tear-up agreement for Les Schwab.

SUMMARY

There are two water line easements and a floor tear-up agreement on the Les Schwab property that are not needed. Les Schwab would like to have them terminated.

DISCUSSION

When the Les Schwab project was first proposed, they had designed their site to have a water line running under their building and proposed moving a water line to a new location. They provided the city with two easements and a floor tear-up agreement. These easements were put into place by Les Schwab for the benefit of Les Schwab only. The city did not request or require these easements for the benefit of any other user.

Les Schwab is being sold to a new owner and they would like to have these easements and the floor tear-up agreement terminated prior to completing the sale.

The termination has been reviewed and deemed acceptable by the city attorney.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

The only cost incurred to the city was attorney review time which will be paid by Les Schwab prior to recording the termination.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Public Works Committee recommended taking this to full council for approval.

CITY COUNCIL OPTIONS

1. Authorize the Mayor to sign the termination for two water easements and a floor tear-up agreement on the Les Schwab property.

2. Do not authorize the mayor to sign the termination and send back to the Public Works Committee for further discussion.

3. Do not authorize the mayor to sign the termination, resulting in keeping the easements and floor tear-up agreement in place.

RECOMMENDED MOTION

I MOVE TO AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR TO SIGN THE TERMINATION FOR TWO WATER EASEMENTS AND A FLOOR TEAR-UP AGREEMENT ON THE LES SCHWAB PROPERTY.

ATTACHMENT A

Recorded at the request of
and return to:

Bonnie McCoy
Les Schwab Tire Centers
P.O. Box 5350
Bend, OR 97708-5350

TERMINATION

PARTIES:

City of Stanwood ("City")
Attn: Kevin Hushagen
Public Works Director
10220 270th St NW
Stanwood WA 98292

RECITALS:

A. The City is the beneficiary of the following three instruments (collectively, the "Instruments"):

A Floor Tear-Up Agreement, recorded May 18, 1988, as Recording No. **8805180324** of Official Records, Snohomish County, Washington;

Easement for Water Line, recorded May 18, 1988, as Recording No. **8805180325** of Official Records, Snohomish County, Washington; and

Easement for Water Line, recorded May 18, 1988, as Recording No. **8805180326** of Official Records, Snohomish County, Washington;

B. The City has not exercised its rights under any of the Instruments and the owner of the burdened property desires to remove the Instruments from the record of title.

TERMS:

1. The three Instruments are hereby terminated.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the City has signed this Release to be effective April ____, 2018.

CITY OF STANWOOD

By:_____
Leonard Kelley, Mayor

Attest:

_____
David A. Hammond, City Clerk

Approved as to form:

_____
Grant K. Weed, City Attorney

STATE OF WASHINGTON)
) *ss.*
County of Snohomish)

On this day personally appeared before me Leonard Kelley and Erica Schorn, to me known to be the Mayor and Clerk of the City of Stanwood, a Washington Municipal Corporation, who executed the within and foregoing instrument and acknowledged to me that they signed and sealed the same as the free and voluntary act and deed of the said City, for the uses and purposes mentioned in this instrument.

Dated: _____

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

_____
Name:_____
Washington Notary Public
Residing at:_____
_____
My appointment expires:_____

Survey in the SW1/4 of the SE1/4 of Section 19, Twp. 32 N., Rng. 4 E., W.M.



LINE	BEARING	DISTANCE
L1	N 30°02'54" W	97.04'
L2	N 30°03'00" W	270.26'

Legend

- SET 1/2" x 18" REINFORCING ROD WITH YELLOW PLASTIC CAP MARKED "SKA SURV 16224" AND WHITE 2" X 2" WITNESS STAKE, EXCEPT AS NOTED.
- CATCH BASIN
- OIL-WATER SEPERATOR
- MAN HOLE (SANITARY SEWER)
- MANHOLE (STORM SEWER)
- STORM SEWER CLEANOUT
- TELECOM ACCESS
- ELECTRIC METER
- ELECTRIC VAULT
- POWER POLE
- WATER SHUTOFF
- WATER
- WATER
- WATER METER
- WATER VALVE
- FIRE HYDRANT
- GAS METER
- SIGN

- BUILDING
- FENCE
- JERSEY BARRIER WALL
- WATER
- GAS
- ELECTRIC
- TELEPHONE

Notes

1. BASIS-OF-BEARINGS – ASSUMED S89°42'56"E ON THE SOUTH LINE OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 19.

2. THIS SURVEY WAS ACCOMPLISHED BY GPS USING THE VIRTUAL BASE STATION METHOD GENERATED BY THE WASHINGTON STATE REFERENCE NETWORK REAL TIME NETWORK AND A GLONASS ENABLED TOPCON GR3 RECEIVER. FIELD TRAVERSING WAS PERFORMED USING A 5 SECOND OR BETTER DIGITAL ELECTRONIC TOTAL STATION AND MEETS OR EXCEEDS THE STANDARDS CONTAINED IN WAC 332-130-090.

3. BOUNDARY SURVEYS REFLECT INFORMATION DISCOVERED BY THE SURVEYOR IN THE NORMAL COURSE OF WORK AND DO NOT NECESSARILY SHOW EVERY POSSIBLE CONDITION AFFECTING THE PROPERTY. EASEMENTS, RESTRICTIONS, AND OTHER ENCUMBRANCES MAY EXIST WHICH ARE NOT SHOWN HEREON. FIELD WORK WAS COMPLETED IN NOVEMBER 2017.

5. VERTICAL DATUM IS NAVD 88.

6. THE SUBJECT PROPERTY AND ADJACENT PROPERTIES ARE ZONED GC (GENERAL COMMERCIAL). SEE STANWOOD ZONING CODE FOR MORE DETAIL.

Legal Description

PARCEL I
PARCEL A OF SHORT PLAT RECORDED JANUARY 2, 1985 AS RECORDING No. 8501020138, BEING A PORTION OF THE SOUTHWEST QUARTER OF THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 19, TOWNSHIP 32 NORTH, RANGE 4 EAST OF THE WILLIAMETE MERIDIAN, IN SNOHOMISH COUNTY WASHINGTON.

PARCEL II
THE WESTERLY 170 FEET, AS MEASURED PERPENDICULAR TO THE EASTERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY BOUNDARY OF STATE ROUTE 530, OF THE FOLLOWING DESCRIBED PROPERTY:
BEGINNING AT THE QUARTER CORNER COMMON TO SECTIONS 19 AND 30, TOWNSHIP 32, RANGE 4 EAST OF THE WILLAMETE MERIDIAN, IN SNOHOMISH COUNTY, WASHINGTON; THENCE EAST ALONG SAID SECTION LINE 674.51 FEET; THENCE NORTH 29°58'00" WEST 748.5 FEET TO THE TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE NORTH 30°03'00" WEST 367.3 FEET, ALONG THE EAST LINE OF THE STATE (PACIFIC) HIGHWAY; THENCE NORTH 81°55'00" EAST 740.5 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 00°48'00" EAST 204.3 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 79°04'0.0" WEST 246.9 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 50°16'00" WEST 144.45 FEET; THENCE SOUTH 68°44' 00" WEST 212.1 FEET TO THE TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING. EXCEPT THE EAST 10 FEET THEREOF DEED TO STANWOOD WATER COMPANY UNDER AUDITOR'S FILE No. 895998.

Certificate

TO LES SCHWAB TIRE CENTERS OF WA. INC. AND LAND TITLE AND ESCROW COMPANY; THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THIS MAP OR PLAT AND THE SURVEY ON WHICH IT IS BASED WERE MADE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE 2016 MINIMUM STANDARD DETAIL REQUIREMENTS FOR ALTA/NSPS AND INCLUDES ITEMS FROM TABLE A THEREOF AS FOLLOWS, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7a, 7b1, 7c, 8, 9, 10a, 11, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, AND 25. THE FIELD WORK WAS COMPLETED IN NOVEMBER 2017.
DATE OF PLAT OR MAP 12/20/2017

LOUIS H. REQUA LS# 16224.

No.	DATE	REVISION	BY

Project Location:
27001 Pioneer Hwy
Stanwood, WA 98292

LOUIS H. REQUA — STATE OF WASHINGTON — 16224 — PROFESSIONAL LAND SURVEYOR

Straight Surveyors & Engineers
SURVEYING • CIVIL ENGINEERING • SUBDIVISION • LAND USE PLANNING • ELEVATIONS
806 Metcalf Street Sedro-Woolley, WA 98284 (360) 855-2121 Fax (360) 855-1658
www.sscconsultants.com

ALTA Survey
for
Les Schwab Tire
Centers of WA. INC.

DWG NAME: ALTA Survey
JOB No.: 217054
DATE: 12/20/2017
SCALE: 1" = 30'
SHEET No.: 1 of 2

City of Stanwood
10220 270th St NW
Stanwood WA 98292

ORIGINAL

500/

A FLOOR TEAR-UP AGREEMENT

THIS AGREEMENT dated the 21st day of April, 1988, between the CITY OF STANWOOD, party of the first part, and PATRICK A. RIMMER and NANCY J. RIMMER, his wife, parties of the second part, hereby agree as follows:

1. PATRICK A. RIMMER and NANCY J. RIMMER, his wife, reside at 27101 State Road 530, Stanwood, Washington 98292.

2. In consideration of the consent of the CITY OF STANWOOD to move a water line belonging to the CITY OF STANWOOD, PATRICK A. RIMMER and NANCY J. RIMMER, his wife, and their assigns, agree that in the event of an emergency regarding the water line that runs under their residence, at their expense, they will remove the flooring at their ~~residence~~ BUILDING described above so that the City of Stanwood, or its agents, may have access to the water line.

3. PATRICK A. RIMMER and NANCY J. RIMMER, his wife, have signed an easement granting to the City of Stanwood an "Easement for Water Line" dated the 21st day of April, 1988.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have executed this Agreement as of the day and year indicated below.

PATRICK A. RIMMER

NANCY J. RIMMER

CITY OF STANWOOD

By: Donald L. Glancy

8805180326 AR 325

STATE OF WASHINGTON)
:ss
COUNTY OF SNOHOMISH)

I, the undersigned, a notary public in and for the state of Washington, hereby certify that on this 21 day of April, 1988, personally appeared before me PATRICK A. RIMMER and NANCY J. RIMMER, to me known to be the individuals described in and who executed the within instrument and acknowledged that they signed the same as their free and voluntary act and deed for the uses and purposes herein mentioned.

GIVEN under my hand and official seal this 21 day of April, 1988.

Notary Public in and for the state of Washington, residing at Everett. My notary seal expires on 10/24/89.

RECORDED

MAY 18 PM 2:16

STATE OF WASHINGTON)
:ss
COUNTY OF SNOHOMISH)

I, the undersigned, a notary public in and for the state of Washington, hereby certify that on this 22 day of April, 1988, personally appeared before me Donald Glancy to me known to be the Clerk-Treas. of the CITY OF STANWOOD, a municipal corporation of the state of Washington, who executed the foregoing instrument and acknowledged the said instrument to be the free and voluntary act and deed of said corporation, for the uses and purposes therein mentioned, and on oath stated that he is authorized to execute the said instrument, and that the seal affixed is the corporate seal of the corporation.

Notary Public in and for the state of Washington, residing at Camano Island. My notary seal expires on Feb 1992.

8805180324

VOL. 2142 PAGE 0348

City of Stanwood

ORIGINAL

EASEMENT FOR WATER LINE

THIS INDENTURE made and entered into by and between PATRICK A. RIMMER and NANCY J. RIMMER, husband and wife, hereinafter referred to as "Grantors" and CITY OF STANWOOD, a municipal corporation, hereinafter referred to as "Grantee", WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, Grantors grant to Grantee an easement over, under and across a 10-foot strip of land, for the purpose of water line installation and maintenance, whose centerline is more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at the 1/4 corner common to Sections 19 and 30, Township 32 North, Range 4 East, W.M.; thence East along said Section line 674.51 feet; thence North 29°58' West 748.5 feet; thence North 30°03' West 270.26 feet; thence North 81°55' East 123.41 feet; thence South 8°05' East, 5.0 feet to the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING; thence North 81°55' East, 57.0 feet; thence South 30°03' East, 211.0 feet; thence South 59°57' West, 54.0 feet to the termination point.

Situate in the County of Snohomish, state of Washington.

8805180325

NO EXCISE TAX REQUIRED

MAY 13 1988

KIRKE STEVENS, Snohomish County Treasurer
By Dussie Lals Deputy

RECORDED BY SNOHOMISH COUNTY AUDITOR DEAN W. WILLIAMS, COUNTY AUDITOR

RECORDED
88 MAY 18 PM 2:16
DEAN W. WILLIAMS, AUDITOR
SNOHOMISH COUNTY, WASH.
By Deputy

PATRICK A. RIMMER

NANCY J. RIMMER

CITY OF STANWOOD

By: Donald L. Blaney

STATE OF WASHINGTON)
:ss
COUNTY OF SNOHOMISH)

I, the undersigned, a notary public in and for the state of Washington, hereby certify that on this 21 day of April, 1988, personally appeared before me PATRICK A. RIMMER and NANCY J. RIMMER, to me known to be the individuals described in and who executed the within instrument and acknowledged that they signed the same as their free and voluntary act and deed for the uses and purposes herein mentioned.

GIVEN under my hand and official seal this 21st day of April, 1988.

Linda Hernandez
Notary Public in and for the state of Washington, residing at Everett. My notary seal expires on 10/24/89.

STATE OF WASHINGTON)
:ss
COUNTY OF SNOHOMISH)

I, the undersigned, a notary public in and for the state of Washington, hereby certify that on this 22 day of April, 1988, personally appeared before me Donald Blaney to me known to be the Clerk-Treas. of the CITY OF STANWOOD, a municipal corporation of the state of Washington, who executed the foregoing instrument and acknowledged the said instrument to be the free and voluntary act and deed of said corporation, for the uses and purposes therein mentioned, and on oath stated that he is authorized to execute the said instrument, and that the seal affixed is the corporate seal of the corporation.

Nancy L. Quillerton
Notary Public in and for the state of Washington, residing at Camano Island. My notary seal expires on Feb. 1992.

8805180325

VOL 2142 PAGE 0349

City of Stanwood
5 00

ORIGINAL

8805180326

EASEMENT FOR WATER LINE

THIS INDENTURE made and entered into by and between PATRICK A. RIMMER and NANCY J. RIMMER, husband and wife, hereinafter referred to as "Grantors" and CITY OF STANWOOD, a municipal corporation, hereinafter referred to as "Grantee", WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, Grantors grant to Grantee an easement over, under and across a 10-foot strip of land, for the purpose of water line installation and maintenance, whose centerline is more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at the 1/4 corner common to Sections 19 and 30, Township 32 North, Range 4 East, W.M.; thence East along said Section line 674.51 feet; thence North 29° 58' West 748.5 feet; thence North 30° 03' West, 80.0 feet to the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING; thence North 59° 57' East, 165.0 feet to the termination point.

Situate in the County of Snohomish, state of Washington.

NO EXCISE TAX REQUIRED

MAY 13 1988

KIRKE SIEVERS, Snohomish County Treasurer
By Nancy Lalo Deputy

RECORDED

88 MAY 18 PM 2:17

DEAN V. WILLIAMS, AUDITOR
SNOHOMISH COUNTY, WASH.
By Elizabeth Cox

PATRICK A. RIMMER

NANCY J. RIMMER

CITY OF STANWOOD

By: Donald L. Slaney

STATE OF WASHINGTON)
:ss
COUNTY OF SNOHOMISH)

I, the undersigned, a notary public in and for the state of Washington, hereby certify that on this 21 day of April, 1988, personally appeared before me PATRICK A. RIMMER and NANCY J. RIMMER, to me known to be the individuals described in and who executed the within instrument and acknowledged that they signed the same as their free and voluntary act and deed for the uses and purposes herein mentioned.

GIVEN under my hand and official seal this 21st day of April, 1988.

Linda Hernanson
Notary Public in and for the state of Washington, residing at Everett. My notary seal expires on 10/24/89.

STATE OF WASHINGTON)
:ss
COUNTY OF SNOHOMISH)

I, the undersigned, a notary public in and for the state of Washington, hereby certify that on this 22 day of April, 1988, personally appeared before me Donald Clancy to me known to be the Clerk-Treas. of the CITY OF STANWOOD, a municipal corporation of the state of Washington, who executed the foregoing instrument and acknowledged the said instrument to be the free and voluntary act and deed of said corporation, for the uses and purposes therein mentioned, and on oath stated that he is authorized to execute the said instrument, and that the seal affixed is the corporate seal of the corporation.

Nancy L. Pullerton
Notary Public in and for the state of Washington, residing at Camano Island. My notary seal expires on Feb. 1992.

8805180326

VOL. 2142 PAGE 0350

$ City of Stanwood 500

ORIGINAL

EASEMENT FOR SANITARY SEWER LINE

THIS INDENTURE made and entered into by and between PATRICK A. RIMMER and NANCY J. RIMMER, husband and wife, hereinafter referred to as "Grantors" and CITY OF STANWOOD, a municipal corporation, hereinafter referred to as "Grantee", WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, Grantors grant to Grantee an easement over, under and across a 10-foot strip of land, for the purpose of sanitary sewer installation and maintenance, whose centerline is more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at the 1/4 corner common to Sections 19 and 30, Township 32 North, Range 4 East, W.M.; thence East along said Section line 674.51 feet; thence North 29°58' West 748.5 feet; thence North 30°03' West, 47.0 feet to the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING; thence North 59°57' East, 165.0 feet to the termination point.

Situate in the County of Snohomish, state of Washington.

NO EXCISE TAX REQUIRED

MAY 13 1988

KIRKE SIEVERS, Snohomish County Treasurer
By Darcie Lab Deputy

RECORDED

88 MAY 18 PM 2:17

DEAN V. WILLIAMS, AUDITOR
SNOHOMISH COUNTY, WASH.
DEPUTY

PATRICK A. RIMMER

NANCY J. RIMMER

CITY OF STANWOOD

Donald L. Glancy

STATE OF WASHINGTON)
:ss
COUNTY OF SNOHOMISH)

I, the undersigned, a notary public in and for the state of Washington, hereby certify that on this 21 day of april, 1988, personally appeared before me PATRICK A. RIMMER and NANCY J. RIMMER to me known to be the individuals described in and who executed the within instrument and acknowledged that they signed the same as their free and voluntary act and deed for the uses and purposes herein mentioned.

GIVEN under my hand and official seal this 21 day of April, 1988.

Notary Public in and for the state of Washington, residing at Everett
My notary seal expires on 6/28/89

STATE OF WASHINGTON)
:ss
COUNTY OF SNOHOMISH)

I, the undersigned, a notary public in and for the state of Washington, hereby certify that on this 22 day of april, 1988, personally appeared before me Don Glancy to me known to be the Clerk-Treas. of the CITY OF STANWOOD, a municipal corporation of the state of Washington, who executed the foregoing instrument and acknowledged the said instrument to be the free and voluntary act and deed of said corporation, for the uses and purposes therein mentioned, and on oath stated that he is authorized to execute the said instrument, and that the seal affixed is the corporate seal of the corporation.

Notary Public in and for the state of Washington, residing at Camano Island. My notary seal expires on Feb. 1992.

8805180327

8805180327

VOL. 2142 PAGE 0351

RECORDED BY SNOHOMISH COUNTY AUDITOR DEAN V. WILLIAMS, COUNTY AUDITOR

PENNY G. COOK
27026-81st DR. N.W.
STANWOOD, WA. 98292

7

EASEMENT FOR SANITARY SEWER LINE

PATRICK A. RIMMER and NANCY J. RIMMER, husband and wife, for and in consideration of $1.00 and other valuable consideration, do grant and convey to PENNY G. COOK the following perpetual nonexclusive easement for sanitary sewer line for the benefit of the property described on exhibit "A" which is by this reference incorporated herein:

A 10.00 foot wide strip of land lying 5 feet on each side of the following described centerline:
Commencing at the most Southeast corner of the certain tract of land, as shown in Book 26 of Surveys at page 279, under Auditor's File No. 8805065001 records of Snohomish County, Washington; thence North 31°08'42" West, along the East line of said tract, a distance of 73.00 feet to the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING of this centerline; thence South 49°35'23" West, a distance of 160.00 feet; thence North 69°57'31" West, a distance of 19.30 feet to the Easterly margin of State Route 530 and the terminus of this centerline.

Said easement shall include the right of entry to install and make necessary repairs to said sanitary sewer line so long as (1) the Grantee returns said property to the condition it was in prior to commencing repairs and (2) Grantor shall be compensated for any disruption to Grantor's business or loss of income derived therefrom as a result of making such repairs. Said easement shall run with the benefitted property. The Grantee, her heirs, successors and assigns shall have the right to assign easement to the City of Stanwood or other municipal corporation providing sewer for the benefitted property.

DATED this 21st day of June, 1991.

PATRICK A. RIMMER

NANCY J. RIMMER

This document is filed for record by FIRST AMERICAN TITLE INSURANCE CO. as an accomodation only. It has not been examined as to its execution or as to its affect upon the title.

FIRST AMERICAN MISC-38216-3

NO EXCISE TAX REQUIRED
JUN 21 1991
KIRKE SEVERSON Snohomish County Treasurer
By Deputy

FIRST AMERICAN TITLE CO.
RECORDED
JUN 21 P2:
DEAN V. WILLIAMS, AUDITOR
SNOHOMISH COUNTY, WASH.
Deputy

STATE OF WASHINGTON)
) ss.
County of Skagit)

On this day personally appeared before me PATRICK A. RIMMER and NANCY J. RIMMER, to me known to be the individuals described in and who executed the within and foregoing instrument and acknowledged that they signed the same as their free and voluntary act and deed for the uses and purposes therein mentioned.

GIVEN under my hand and official seal this 21st day of June, 1991.

DEBORAH F. TORSETH
NOTARY PUBLIC
COMMISSION EXPIRES 10-15-93
STATE OF WASHINGTON

Deborah F. Torseth
Notary Public in and for the State of Washington, residing at Mount Vernon.
My commission expires: 10-15-93.

VOL 2453 PAGE 2697

RECORDED BY SNOHOMISH COUNTY AUDITOR. DEAN V. WILLIAMS, COUNTY AUDITOR.

9106210514

9106210514

CITY OF STANWOOD
COUNCIL AGENDA STAFF REPORT

ITEM NUMBER:	11c
DATE:	April 12, 2018
SUBJECT:	Resolution 2018-06 Amending Salary and Benefit Schedule
CONTACT PERSON:	David A. Hammond, Finance Director
ATTACHMENTS:	A – Resolution 2018-06

ISSUE

Should council adopt Resolution 2018-06, amending the salary and benefits schedule to provide Long-Term Disability (LTD) insurance to all employees?

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends council adopt Resolution 2018-06, amending the salary and benefits schedule to provide LTD insurance to all employees.

SUMMARY

The city is a member of the Association of Washington Cities and purchases medical, Dental, vision, life and LTD Insurance through the AWC Employee Benefit Trust. The city currently provides LTD insurance to non-represented employees, while the Teamster's believe it should be extended to all city employees. This resolution updates the salary and benefits schedule by extending LTD to all employees.

DISCUSSION

The Teamster's Business Representative requested the city extend LTD insurance coverage to employees represented by Teamster's based on city personnel manual language that benefits shall apply to all employees except or unless they conflict with a rule, law or collective bargaining agreement. The provision of LTD coverage is not covered by the collective bargaining agreement, thus it would not be a conflict to provide to all employees.

The city currently provides the AWC's "standard" LTD coverage, and is charged a low-risk rate. In the event of an eligible disability, beginning after a 90 day waiting period,

the coverage provides 60% of an employee's salary, for a period that would conceptually continue until the employee is eligible for Social Security.

City staff compared coverage available through the Teamster's Trust, which provides medical coverage; however, that policy provides short term disability coverage, thus it does not directly compare to the city's LTD coverage through AWC.

FISCAL IMPACT

Description	Cost
Annual LTD cost currently	$ 2,669
Additional annual cost to cover represented employees	$ 4,159

RECOMMENDED MOTION

I MOVE TO ADOPT RESOLUTION 2018-06 ADOPTING A SALARY AND BENEFIT SCHEDULE FOR CITY EMPLOYEES EFFECTIVE APRIL 1, 2018.

ATTACHMENT A

CITY OF STANWOOD
Stanwood, Washington

RESOLUTION 2018-06

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF STANWOOD, WASHINGTON ADOPTING A SALARY AND BENEFIT SCHEDULE FOR CITY EMPLOYEES EFFECTIVE APRIL 1, 2018.

WHEREAS, it is necessary and appropriate to establish the salary and benefits schedule for employees and elected officials of the City of Stanwood by resolution of the city council; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to RCW 35A.11.020, the City Council has the power to fix the compensation and working conditions of its officers and employees; and

WHEREAS, on November 21, 2016 the City Council approved an agreement by and between City of Stanwood and Teamsters Local Union No. 231 (representing the public works employees) for the period from January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2019; and

WHEREAS, the City Council adopted Resolution 2016-27 establishing pay and benefits for represented and non-represented employees on December 8, 2016; and

WHEREAS, on February 24, 2017 the City Council approved an agreement by and between City of Stanwood and Teamsters Local Union No. 231 (representing the administrative employees) for the period from January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2019; and

WHEREAS, the City Council adopted Resolution 2017-03 amending pay and benefits for represented and non-represented employees on April 27, 2017; and

WHEREAS, the City Council adopted Resolution 2017-15 amending pay and benefits for represented and non-represented employees on September 14, 2017; and

WHEREAS, the City Council adopted Resolution 2017-16 adopting benefits for represented and non-represented employees on November 9, 2017; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has determined that it is appropriate to provide long term disability insurance to all employees;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF STANWOOD, WASHINGTON, AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1: Adopting Pay and Benefits for Teamsters Administrative Employees
That the monthly base compensation for City employees represented by Teamsters Local Union No. 231 (representing the Administrative Employees), subject to adjustments as contained in collective bargaining agreements by the City as set forth below:

	Minimum	Maximum
Accounting Clerk	$ 3,641	$ 4,467
Accounting Specialist	$ 4,502	$ 5,522
Administrative Asst.	$ 4,393	$ 5,388
Associate Planner	$ 4,958	$ 5,776
Deputy City Clerk	$ 4,393	$ 5,388
Permit Specialist	$ 4,152	$ 5,093
Police Records Clerk	$ 4,393	$ 5,388

Other pay and benefits for City Teamsters Administrative Employees are set forth in the current Collective Bargaining Agreement. In addition, the city will provide AWC Standard Long Term Disability insurance, Option 1.

Section 2: Adopting Pay and Benefits for Teamsters Public Works Employees

That the monthly base compensation for City employees represented by Teamsters Local Union No. 231 (representing the Public Works Employees), subject to adjustments as contained in collective bargaining agreements by the City as set forth below:

	Minimum	Maximum
PW Tech 1	$ 3,699	$ 4,779
PW Tech 2	$ 3,928	$ 5,076
PW Lead	$ 4,837	$ 6,251
Water TPO I	$ 3,981	$ 5,147
Water TPO 2	$ 4,233	$ 5,470
WWTPO I	$ 4,222	$ 5,456
WWTPO 2	$ 4,531	$ 5,856
WWTPO Lead	$ 5,031	$ 6,501

Other pay and benefits for City Teamsters Public Works Employees are set forth in the current Collective Bargaining Agreement. In addition, the city will provide AWC Standard Long Term Disability insurance, Option 1.

Section 3: Adopting Pay and Benefits for Non-Represented Employees

That the monthly base compensation for City employees and elected officials who are not represented by a union or bargaining group subject to adjustments as contained herein as set forth below, which represents a 2% cost-of-living adjustment from 2017.

a) Salary Range Adjustments based on Salary Study

The proposed 2018 salary scale for non-represented employees has been adjusted to increase salary ranges identified in 3(b) below average in a comparison utilizing 2016 comparable city data. Adjustments were made to seven of ten non-represented positions. Additionally, the Planner position was adjusted to align with the Senior Planner range.

b) Salary Increases Based on Performance

On January 1st of each year, full-time non-represented employees may, in addition to

the 2% cost-of-living adjustments incorporated in the below salary schedule, receive an annual salary increase based on individual performance as determined by the Mayor. The total amount of performance increases shall not exceed the salary adjustment pool, which is calculated by the finance director and authorized by the City Council as a percentage share of annual full-time, non-represented salaries. For the 2018 budget year, the performance pool will be $33,796, which is based on a 3% performance step increase.

	Minimum	Maximum
City Administrator	$ 10,583	$ 11,912
Public Works Director	$ 9,228	$ 10,387
Community Dev. Director	$ 8,893	$ 10,010
Finance Director/City Clerk	$ 8,893	$ 10,010
Asst. PW Director/City Engineer	$ 8,015	$ 9,803
Building Official	$ 6,992	$ 7,869
Senior Accountant	$ 6,413	$ 7,218
Senior Planner	$ 6,413	$ 7,218
Planner	$ 5,608	$ 6,413
Construction Inspector	$ 5,022	$ 5,822

c) Health Insurance and Retirement Benefits

The City of Stanwood currently provides the following benefits for full-time non-represented employees:

- Medical - The City pays 100% employee cost for medical, dental and vision insurance.
- For spouse and dependent medical benefits, the employee shall share the cost of benefits under Association of Washington Cities (AWC):
    1. Health First 250 Plan based on 91% of the 2018 rates, consistent with represented employees, or
    2. Health First High Deductible Plan, based on 91% of the 2018 rates. In addition, the city will contribute the following to employee HSA accounts for employees opting into the High Deductible plan:
        1. Individual rate: $2,400
        2. Family rate: $4,800
- $10,000 life insurance paid by the city.
- Public Employees Retirement System (PERS). Benefit levels and contributions are set by the State of Washington.
- Deferred Compensation Plan. The City is a member of the State Deferred Compensation Plan which allows employees to make tax deferred deposits up to dollar limits defined by the IRS.
- Delta Dental Plan F
- AWC Standard Long Term Disability insurance, Option 1

d) Other Benefits as Provided in the Personnel Policy Manual

The City of Stanwood provides other benefits to its employees as set forth in the Personnel Policy Manual as approved by City Council.

ADOPTED by the city council and **APPROVED** by the mayor this 12th day of April, 2018.

CITY OF STANWOOD

By_____
Leonard Kelley, Mayor

ATTEST:

By_____
David A. Hammond, City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

BY_____

CITY OF STANWOOD
COUNCIL AGENDA STAFF REPORT

ITEM NUMBER:	11d
DATE:	April 12, 2018
SUBJECT:	Resolution 2018-07 Supporting Funding of New 911 Emergency Radio System
CONTACT PERSON:	Norm Link, Chief of Police
ATTACHMENTS:	A – Resolution 2018-07

ISSUE

Should council adopt Resolution 2018-07 supporting funding of a new 911 emergency radio system?

RECOMMENDATION

Council should adopt Resolution 2018-07 supporting funding of a new 911 emergency radio system.

SUMMARY

The Snohomish County Emergency Radio System (SERS) is the inter-local public safety agency responsible for providing two-way radio, fire station alert paging, radio dispatch console systems and microwave linking systems for Snohomish County police and fire agencies. The City of Stanwood is required per ILA with the Snohomish County Sheriff's Office to maintain an agreement with SERS. The SERS system is nearing the end of its 20-year life span and the system is scheduled to be replaced in 2020. Bids have gone out to replace the system. The system has begun to malfunction intermittently already and Motorola has advised that it will stop making replacement parts for our system by 2020. SERS has already had to purchase old replacement parts on eBay to keep the system running. Ralph Krusey, Chief Administrative Officer with SERS, conducted a presentation at the March 22, 2018 Public Safety Committee meeting detailing the agency's mission, the technology needs, and the proposed timeline.

DISCUSSION

The initial rough estimate for replacing the system is approximately $75 million. The initial plan is to send this out as a countywide ballot measure funded by a $0.01 sales tax. The City of Stanwood is required to maintain an agreement with SERS for the police department radio system. There are no other agencies that provide this service to Snohomish County.

FISCAL IMPACT

The fiscal impact to the City of Stanwood will be based on whether or not the ballot measure passes or fails. If the countywide ballot measure fails, then the City of Stanwood would be required to pay for its share of the project. The City of Stanwood would be required to pay roughly $750,000 if the bid comes in at $75 million.

CITY COUNCIL OPTIONS

1. Adopt Resolution 2018-07 in support of county-wide funding of a new 911 county-wide emergency radio system.

2. Do not adopt Resolution 2018-07 in support of county-wide funding of a new county-wide 911 emergency radio system.

3. Do not adopt Resolution 2018-07 in support of county-wide funding of a new county-wide 911 emergency radio system and direct staff to address specific questions or areas of concern.

RECOMMENDED MOTION

I MOVE TO APPROVE RESOLUTION 2018-07 IN SUPPORT OF COUNTY-WIDE FUNDING OF A NEW COUNTY-WIDE 911 EMERGENCY RADIO SYSTEM.

ATTACHMENT A

CITY OF STANWOOD
Stanwood, Washington

RESOLUTION 2018-07

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF STANWOOD, WASHINGTON, IN SUPPORT OF COUNTY-WIDE FUNDING OF A NEW COUNTY-WIDE 911 EMERGENCY RADIO SYSTEM.

WHEREAS, public safety depends on having reliable and effective emergency 911 radio communications; and

WHEREAS, the Snohomish County Emergency Radio System (SERS), has, since 1999, provided a 911 emergency radio service enabling our first responders to effectively respond to emergency 911 call dispatches in our City's neighborhoods and surrounding community; and

WHEREAS, SERS has two decades of technical expertise in developing, acquiring, installing, operating, maintaining, and managing the countywide emergency radio system; and

WHEREAS, the SERS existing analog, 800 MHz radio system is now outdated and about to reach its end-of-life in 2020; and

WHEREAS, the independent analysis by a national consultant has determined that a new replacement radio system using modern digital technology will substantially enhance future communications capability and provide better audio voice quality for simulcast, two-way interoperable emergency communication; and

WHEREAS, other counties of similar size in our region have already taken action to fund and replace their outdated 800 MHz radio systems with a new P25 digital radio system; and

WHEREAS, SERS, with Snohomish County, is engaging in a competitive bidding procurement process to select a qualified vendor to provide a new, countywide P25 radio system; and

WHEREAS, the preliminary estimated cost of a new radio system is projected at $75 million and exceeds the existing revenue and budget sources of most local governments and agencies within Snohomish County.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF STANWOOD, WASHINGTON AS FOLLOWS:

The City of Stanwood supports taking necessary actions as soon as reasonable to replace the outdated SERS emergency radio system. The City of Stanwood respectfully urges that Snohomish County elected officials approve the resources necessary to purchase, design, and install a new, digital, countywide P25 911 emergency radio system. The City of Stanwood supports the County taking actions necessary to develop a countywide equitable funding source for the new 911 emergency radio system for City Council consideration at a later date.

PASSED AND APPROVED by the City Council of the City of Stanwood this 12th day of April, 2018.

CITY OF STANWOOD

By: _____
Leonard Kelley, Mayor

ATTEST:

By: _____
David A. Hammond, City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

By: _____
Grant K. Weed, City Attorney

CITY OF STANWOOD
COUNCIL AGENDA STAFF REPORT

ITEM NUMBER:	11e
DATE:	April 12, 2018
SUBJECT:	Ordinance 1456 –New Retail Recreational Marijuana Rules – 1st Reading
CONTACT PERSON:	Ryan C. Larsen, City Administrator/Community Development Director
ATTACHMENTS:	A - Ordinance 1456 – Retail Marijuana B - Map of setbacks (2 maps) C - Public Comments

---

ISSUE

The issue before the City Council is to review Ordinance 1456 relating to code changes to allow for retail marijuana sales within Stanwood and to review the Planning Commission's recommendation.

RECOMMENDATION

1. Review and discuss proposed rules for retail recreational marijuana sales and Planning Commission's recommendation.
2. Allow for first reading of Ordinance 1456 making changes to the City of Stanwood Municipal Code.

SUMMARY STATEMENT

The majority of City Council at their first meeting of the year (January 11, 2018) asked staff to draft rules allowing for sale of marijuana. Based on this direction from City Council, staff is providing the following staff report to implement City Council's direction.

Senate Bill 5052 tasked the Washington State Liquor and Cannabis Board (WSLCB) to reopen licensing for retail marijuana businesses. The WSLCB began accepting new applications for retail licenses on October 12, 2015 and to allow retailers to receive a medical marijuana endorsement. The WSLCB understands that some cities may be concerned about density and other issues related to the number of potential retail

marijuana licensees. However, local authorities may choose to make rules or ordinances to address these concerns.

House Bill 2136 allows local authorities to permit the licensing of premises within 1,000 feet but not less than one hundred feet of a restricted entity by enacting an ordinance authorizing such distance reduction. Cities may now choose to adopt buffer zones that are less than one thousand feet from places like parks, transit centers, and childcare facilities. House Bill 2136 provides the following caveats:

- Elementary/secondary schools and playgrounds must remain 1,000 feet from licensed premises.
- City and county distance reductions cannot negatively impact the jurisdiction's civil regulatory enforcement, criminal law enforcement interests, public safety, or public health.
- A local authority ordinance permitting the licensing of a location within 1,000 feet of a restricted entity may require the applicant to notify the restricted entity of the applicant's intent to open.

House Bill 2136 does not address whether or not a city can restrict the retail sales to solely a medical marijuana endorsement. Senate Bill 5052 is the Cannabis Patient Protection Act, and seeks to clarify and reconcile Washington State's medical and recreational marijuana laws. Some highlights are;

- Establishes the liquor control board as the agency to oversee both recreational and medical marijuana and changes the name to Liquor and Cannabis Board.
- Creates a medical marijuana endorsement to be made available to a marijuana retail licensee. Medical marijuana endorsement stores must carry products identified by the Department of Health as beneficial to medical marijuana patients.
- Regulates medical use of marijuana through the same structure as established by Initiative 502 (legalizing recreational marijuana).
- Clarifies what medical conditions qualify for medical use marijuana.

The Commission held a public hearing on March 26, 2018, at which time the Commission recommended moving the proposed language to City Council without a proposed recommendation. The motion from the Commission passed. Also, there were several members of the community in the audience. The majority of them spoke in opposition to the proposed change.

DISCUSSION

Below is a list of issues to discuss for the Community Development Committee on recreational marijuana.

Number of Businesses

There are three types of potential I-502 businesses: Producers, processors and retailers. A retailer cannot be a producer or a processor, and a retail operation cannot

take place in the same location as a producer or processor. However, a producer and a processor may co-locate in the same place.

There is no limit on the number of producers or processors that may choose to locate in a jurisdiction. The actual number in each jurisdiction will depend upon the number of applicants who meet the requirements of the WSLCB and the local jurisdiction.

The state has established a limit on the number of I-502 retail locations in each jurisdiction.

Location

One of the big changes to the rules is the allowance for reduced buffer setbacks. RCW 69.50.331 allows a city to reduce buffers from 1,000-feet to not less than 100-feet for recreation center or facility, child care center, public park, public transit center*, or library, or any game arcade admission to which is not restricted to persons aged twenty-one years or older. Staff has produced a map showing recommended buffers in Attachment B.

* It should be noted the City currently does not have any public transit centers or game arcade admission to which is not restricted to persons aged twenty-one years or older.

Helpful RCW and WAC sections:

RCW 69.50.331
Application for license.

(8)(a) Except as provided in (b) through (d) of this subsection, the state liquor and cannabis board may not issue a license for any premises within one thousand feet of the perimeter of the grounds of any elementary or secondary school, playground, recreation center or facility, child care center, public park, public transit center, or library, or any game arcade admission to which is not restricted to persons aged twenty-one years or older.

(b) A city, county, or town may permit the licensing of premises within one thousand feet but not less than one hundred feet of the facilities described in (a) of this subsection, except elementary schools, secondary schools, and playgrounds, by enacting an ordinance authorizing such distance reduction, provided that such distance reduction will not negatively impact the jurisdiction's civil regulatory enforcement, criminal law enforcement interests, public safety, or public health.

(c) A city, county, or town may permit the licensing of research premises allowed under RCW 69.50.372 within one thousand feet but not less than one hundred feet of the facilities described in (a) of this subsection by enacting an ordinance authorizing such distance reduction, provided that the ordinance will not negatively impact the jurisdiction's civil regulatory enforcement, criminal law enforcement, public safety, or public health.

(d) The state liquor and cannabis board may license premises located in compliance with the distance requirements set in an ordinance adopted under (b) or (c) of this subsection. Before issuing or renewing a research license for premises within one thousand feet but not less than one hundred feet of an elementary school, secondary school, or playground in compliance with an ordinance passed pursuant to (c) of this subsection, the board must ensure that the facility:

WAC 314-55-010

(4) "Child care center" means an entity that regularly provides child day care and early learning services for a group of children for periods of less than twenty-four hours licensed by the Washington state department of early learning under chapter 170-295 WAC.

(11) "Game arcade" means an entertainment venue featuring primarily video games, simulators, and/or other amusement devices where persons under twenty-one years of age are not restricted.

(26) "Public transit center" means a facility located outside of the public right of way that is owned and managed by a transit agency or city, county, state, or federal government for the express purpose of staging people and vehicles where several bus or other transit routes converge. They serve as efficient hubs to allow bus riders from various locations to assemble at a central point to take advantage of express trips or other route to route transfers.

(27) "Recreation center or facility" means a supervised center that provides a broad range of activities and events intended primarily for use by persons under twenty-one years of age, owned and/or managed by a charitable nonprofit organization, city, county, state, or federal government.

City staff created a map representing the 1,000 foot separation distances from such uses and the WSLCB has clarified that “The distance shall be measured as the shortest straight line distance from the property line of the licensed premises to the property line of an elementary or secondary school, playground, recreation center or facility, child care center, public park, public transit center, library or arcade where admission is not restricted to those age 21 and older.” The map indicates that several locations may be viable to locate such facilities within the City.

Potential Impacts to City Services

State rules for I-502 regulate the majority of activities that are related to recreational marijuana businesses. I-502 does not specifically address impacts to City services such as traffic or utilities. However, I-502 prevents waste disposal from entering public utilities and specifically regulates how waste shall be transported from the facility to another location.

For the purposes of water and sewer use, retail businesses would not be required to provide an estimate of water and sewer use. Instead the City would utilize existing Equivalent Residential Unit Calculations for retail businesses to determine the appropriate sanitary sewer General Facilities Fee.

For traffic impacts staff recommends using existing traffic impact fees established for retail business for retail sales of marijuana.

Odor

Marijuana can and does produce an odor during its life cycle. Some cities have taken the approach to regulating this through the new regulations within the proposed recreational marijuana regulations. They have accomplished this by considering the smell to be a nuisance and regulating for the installation of ventilation within the facility.

Other Topics

Another question is where to permit these types of facilities. Staff is suggesting allowing retail use within the Mainstreet Business I and II and in the General Commercial zones. Some cities are allowing for the retail use within industrial type zones and not allowing them within any of their retail zones. Also, we are suggesting to make them an administrative conditional use permit within the zone.

The final area staff would like to draw attention to is that staff is proposing to have all marijuana uses located within a closed facility. This would assist in ensuring greater protection of the facility. The drafted rules only allow for the retail sale of marijuana in the City.

Here are a few highlights from the set of rules affecting retail sales of marijuana:

- Marijuana retailers may not locate within one thousand feet of any parcel containing an elementary or secondary school, playground, recreation center or facility, child care center, public park, public transit center, or library, or any game arcade admission to which is not restricted to persons aged twenty-one years or older.

- Marijuana retailers may not locate within two thousand five hundred feet of any other legally established marijuana retailer whether said marijuana retailer is within the City limits or not.

- Customer parking for marijuana retailers must be on the public street side of the structure.

- Vehicular access to the parking lot for a marijuana retailer shall be from the public street frontage and may not be from an alley

- Marijuana retailers shall not be allowed on any parcel that is contiguous to a parcel containing residential use

- The Community Development Director shall have the authority to require improvements

- The front facade of marijuana retail stores shall consist of storefront window(s), doors, and durable, quality building materials consistent with the design standards of the zone in which the property is located.

- Design standards could apply if located in a zone that does not have them.

- The maximum number of retail marijuana stores allowed in the City of Stanwood shall not exceed two.

The Planning Commission reviewed this issue at their February 26, 2018 meeting. There were several community members in attendance and the majority of speakers spoke in opposition to the change. At the end of the meeting the Commission discussed the proposed language. The Commission seemed to indicate that they only wanted to review the issue of retail sales and not the processing or production of marijuana. Also, the Community Development Committee reviewed the proposed language at their March 8, 2018 and suggested as well to only move forward with retail sales.

The Planning Commission at their public hearing on March 26, 2018 reviewed language for only allowing retail marijuana sales in Stanwood and did not consider any language around processing and/or production of marijuana. Again, at the public hearing a majority of individuals who spoke, spoke in opposition to allowing retail marijuana sales.

One of the biggest topics discussed surrounded a potential location for a retail shop. Many of the speakers expressed concern that the retail shop would end up on mainstreet zoning (MB I or MB II). Some speakers suggested limiting a potential retail shop to the industrial zone(s) rather than downtown if the retail shop was going to be permitted by Council. Also, there were concerns over potential sign size and location as well as potential color of the building. Council could consider listening to the public on this matter then make any suggested edits prior to having first reading of the ordinance. Staff has provided two recommended motions to consider.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

New Legislation Provides Shared Single Excise Tax

The taxation of marijuana was overhauled by the 2015 legislature by passage of 2E2SHB 2136 (hereafter referred to as HB 2136). The original marijuana initiative, I-502, did not provide for any portion of the excise tax on licensed marijuana to be shared with local governments.

Starting in June of 2015 the taxation of marijuana changed from an excise tax of 25% at three different phases (production, processing and retail sale) to a single excise tax of 37% at the time of retail sale – and, significantly, the excise tax will be shared with cities and counties.

Distribution of Tax Revenue

During fiscal years 2016 and 2017, the state will distribute $6 million to cities and counties that have licensed retail marijuana stores within their jurisdiction. In 2018, the amount distributed, pursuant to a distribution formula, will start to increase, but again be limited to those cities and counties that have licensed retail marijuana stores within their borders. Counties will receive 60% and cities will receive 40% of the distribution. Distribution will be based on taxable sales of the jurisdiction. For cities, only jurisdictions with retail sales will receive funding.

Beyond 2017, once the state General Fund has received $25 million in marijuana excise tax revenue, then 30% up to a maximum of $20 million per year will be distributed to cities and counties.

- 30% must be distributed to counties, cities, and towns where licensed marijuana retailers are physically located. Each jurisdiction must receive a share of the revenue distribution under this subsection based on the proportional share of the total revenues generated in the individual jurisdiction from the taxes collected from the licensed marijuana retailers physically located in each jurisdiction.
- Seventy percent must be distributed to counties, cities, and towns ratably on a per capita basis. Counties must receive 60% of the distribution, which must be disbursed based on each county's total proportional population. Funds may be distributed to jurisdictions that do not prohibit the siting of any state licensed marijuana producer, processor, or retailer.
- The total share of marijuana excise tax revenues distributed to counties and cities may not exceed fifteen million dollars in fiscal years 2018 and 2019 and twenty million dollars per fiscal year thereafter.

<u>Retail Sales Tax</u>

Medical Marijuana Exemption

The normal retail sales tax for each jurisdiction has always applied to retail sales of marijuana at licensed stores, and that was not changed, but HB 2136 provides that, starting in July of 2016, the retail sales tax will not be applied to retail sales of medical marijuana to "qualifying patients or designated providers who have been issued recognition cards."

<u>Retail Sales and Tax Revenue Projections</u>

Retail sales at licensed marijuana stores are projected to increase, but any projections of tax revenue to be distributed to cities and counties are merely speculation at this point. However, city staff has contacted several cities and have found a range of approximately $25,000 to $45,000 annually.

Since part of the distribution is based on excise tax collected from retail stores within each jurisdiction, the amount distributed to each city and county will depend upon the volume of sales from retailers within their jurisdiction.

<u>Marijuana excise tax distribution increasing 2018</u>

Cities that receive a distribution from the Liquor and Cannabis Board (LCB) for the sale and distribution of marijuana will see an increase in the distribution. The adopted

supplemental budget increased the maximum amount to be distributed to cities and counties from $6 million per fiscal year to $15 million per fiscal year.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

City staff met with the Council Community Development Committee on Thursday, March 8, 2018 to discuss the proposed edits. The Committee supported the concept of only allowing for retail sales of marijuana at this time. Staff had a follow up with the Committee on April 12, 2018 following the Planning Commission public hearing. The Committee will provide input at the Council meeting on April 12, 2018.

CITY COUNCIL OPTIONS

1. Allow for first reading of Ordinance 1456 making changes to Title 17 affecting retail sale of marijuana in the City of Stanwood Municipal Code.

2. Do not support Ordinance 1456 making changes to Title 17 affecting retail sale of marijuana in the City of Stanwood Municipal Code at this time and direct staff to address specific Council issues or concerns prior to Council reconsidering the ordinance.

4. Do not support first reading of Ordinance 1456 making changes to Title 17 affecting retail sale of marijuana in the City of Stanwood Municipal Code.

RECOMMENDED MOTION

Two Proposed Recommendations

OPTION 1
I MOVE TO APPROVE FIRST READING OF ORDINANCE 1456 AS SET FORTH IN ATTACHMENT "A" BY AMENDING TITLE 17 FOR CHANGES ASSOCIATED WITH THE ALLOWANCE FOR RETAIL MARIJUANA SALES.

OPTION 2
I MOVE TO DIRECT STAFF TO PROVIDE ALTERNATIVE LANGUAGE AS SUGGESTED BY THE COUNCIL AND BRING BACK A REVISED ORDINANCE TO REFLECT THESE CHANGES.

ATTACHMENT A

CITY OF STANWOOD
WASHINGTON

ORDINANCE NO. 1456

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF STANWOOD, WASHINGTON, AMENDING PORTIONS OF STANWOOD MUNICIPAL CODE TITLE 17 ENTITLED ZONING AND RELATING TO DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS BY AMENDING STANWOOD MUNICIPAL CODE (SMC) 17.30.010 ENTITLED "PERMITTED LAND USES AND ESTABLISHED CLASSIFICATION OF USES"; AMENDING SMC 17.30.040 ENTITLED "ZONING USE TABLE"; AMENDING SMC SUBSECTION 17.80.120(11);AMENDING SMC 17.100.045 ENTITLED "CONDITIONS FOR PERMITTING MEDICAL MARIJUANA COLLECTIVE GARDENS"; ESTABLISHING SEVERABILITY AND AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, under the State Growth Management Act (GMA), the City is authorized to adopt zoning code amendments to implement its Comprehensive Plan; and

WHEREAS, amendments to the zoning code are required to ensure consistency with the Comprehensive Plan; and

WHEREAS, the development regulation amendment procedures contained in this ordinance are consistent with the procedural guidelines for amendments to the development regulations of the City; and

WHEREAS, on February 26, 2018 the Stanwood Planning Commission reviewed the amendments set forth in this Ordinance during the amendment process; and

WHEREAS, the City of Stanwood SEPA Responsible Official reviewed the amendments and issued a Determination of Non-significance (DNS) on March 13, 2018; and

WHEREAS, on March 26, 2018, following notice as required by law, a public hearing was held by the Planning Commission and all persons wishing to provide public input concerning the proposed amendments set forth in this Ordinance were heard; and

WHEREAS, public notice of the SEPA DNS and the above-referenced public hearing were provided as required by law; and

WHEREAS, the City Council Community Development Committee reviewed the proposed language and amendments set forth in this Ordinance at their March 8, 2018

meeting and reviewed the Planning Commission's recommendation for the proposed edits to Title 17 relating to marijuana retail sales at their April 12, 2018 meeting; and

WHEREAS, the City Council reviewed the recommendation of the Planning Commission at their April 12, 2018 regular meeting; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to RCW 36.70A.106, the City has notified the Washington State Department of Commerce of the City's intent to adopt the proposed amendments to the zoning code and requested expedited review of the amendments set forth in this Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, the City Council has determined that it is in the best interests of the City and in the interest of the public health, safety and welfare of the City and its citizens to amend Title 17 relating to marijuana retail sales to authorize the retail sale thereof, as further set forth in this Ordinance;

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF STANWOOD, WASHINGTON, DO ORDAIN AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. SMC 17.30.010 is hereby amended to read as follows:

17.30.010 Permitted land uses and established classification of uses.

(1) No building or structure shall be erected, converted, enlarged, reconstructed, or structurally altered, nor shall any building or structure or land be used, designed, or arranged for any purpose other than is permitted pursuant to this section in the district in which the building or structure or land is located; provided, that such regulations shall not prohibit the continuance of an existing use.
(2) Land Use Classifications Established. This section establishes permitted, conditional, accessory and prohibited uses for all properties within the city limits. All uses in a given zone are one of the following five types:

(a) Permitted Use. Land uses allowed outright within a zone. The specific types of permitted uses are set forth in the zoning code chapters for each zoning district.

(b) Conditional Use. Uses with special characteristics that may not generally be appropriate within a zoning district, but may be permitted subject to review by the hearing examiner to establish conditions to protect public health, safety and welfare. The specific types of conditional uses are set forth in SMC 17.30.040, Zoning use table, and/or SMC 17.30.050, Zoning use conditions.

(c) Administrative Conditional Use. Uses with special characteristics that may not be generally appropriate within a zoning district but may be permitted subject to review by the community development director to establish conditions to protect public health, safety and welfare. The specific types of administrative conditional uses are set forth in SMC 17.30.040, Zoning use table, and/or SMC 17.30.050, Zoning use conditions.

(d) Accessory Use. Uses customarily incidental and subordinate to the principal use and located upon the same lot occupied by the principal use. Accessory uses are determined by the community development director or designee on a case-by-case basis.

(e) Prohibited Use. Any use which is not specifically enumerated or interpreted by the city as allowable in that district. Any use not specifically listed as a permitted, conditional, or accessory use is prohibited, except those uses determined to be unclassified and permitted by the community development director pursuant to SMC 17.30.020. Any prohibited use is illegal and is subject to civil or criminal penalties under Chapter 13.01 SMC.

~~(i) Initiative 502 (I-502) attempts to legalize recreational use of marijuana; however, the city of Stanwood prohibits the following under I-502:~~

~~(A) State licensed marijuana processors and producers are prohibited within any zone in the city of Stanwood.~~

~~(B) State licensed marijuana retailers are prohibited within any zone in the city of Stanwood.~~

(f) Unclassified Use. A use which is not a permitted use, a conditional use, or an accessory use, but which is interpreted by the community development director as similar to a permitted, conditionally permitted, or accessory use and not otherwise prohibited, pursuant to SMC 17.30.020. (Ord. 1376 § 6, 2014; Ord. 1294 § 10, 2011).

Section 2. SMC Section 17.30.040 entitled “Zoning Use Table” sections for “Personal Service” and “Retail Trade Establishments” only are hereby amended to read as follows (all other provisions of SMC Section 17.30.040 entitled “Zoning use table” remain in effect and unchanged):

17.30.040 Zoning use table

KEY:

Blank = Not Permitted	AEO = Adult Entertainment Overlay
AC = Accessory Use	HO = Historic Overlay
ADC = Administrative Conditional Use	TO = Transit Overlay
C = Conditional Use	MPO = Master Plan Overlay
P = Permitted Use	MXO = Mixed Use Overlay

Land Use	SR 12.4	SR 9.6	SR 7.0	SR 5.0	MR	TN	MB-I29	MB-II	NB	GC	LI	GI
Personal Services												
Assisted/independent living					C18	C		P11 P18		P18		
Barber shop, beauty shop					P39MXO	P	P	P	P	P, P39 MXO		P37TO
Daycare center			P12	P12	P12	P12	P12	P12	P12	P12, P39 MXO		P37TO
Daycare, family	AC12	AC12	AC12	AC12	P12		P37TO	P	P12	P12, P39 MXO		P37TO
Daycare, mini				P12	P12	P12	P12	P12	P12	P12, P39 MXO		
Dry cleaner					P39MXO	P35	P	P	P	P, P39 MXO	P	P
Equipment rental								P		P, P39 MXO	P	P

Funeral home							P	P		P, P39 MXO	P	
Health/athletic club					P39MXO		P	P		P, P39 MXO	P	
Janitorial service					P39MXO			P			P	P
Laundromat					P39MXO	P35	P	P	P	P, P39 MXO	P	P37TO
Optician					P39MXO	P	P	P	P	P, P39 MXO		P37TO
Massage clinic/center							P	P		P, P39 MXO		
~~Medical marijuana collective gardens~~	-	-	-	-	-	-	~~ADC~~	~~ADC~~	-	~~ADC~~	~~ADC~~	-
Photo processing service					P39MXO		P	P		P, P39 MXO	P	
Photocopy/private mail center							P	P		P, P39 MXO		
Printing and publishing					P39MXO		P	P		P, P39 MXO	P	
Private clubs					P39MXO		P	P		P, P39 MXO		
Tattoo parlors					P39MXO			P				P
Travel agencies					P39MXO	P	P	P				
Video sales and rental					P39MXO	P	P37TO	P	P	P, P39 MXO	P37TO	P37TO
Other uses determined to be consistent with the definition of “personal service” as determined in this code							P51HO					

Land Use	SR 12.4	SR 9.6	SR 7.0	SR 5.0	MR	TN	MB-I29	MB-II	NB	GC	LI	GI

Retail Trade Establishments												
Agricultural produce stand					P39MXO	P		P	P	P		
Antique shop					P39MXO		P37TO	P		P, P37TO, P39MXO		P37TO
Apparel shop					P39MXO	P	P, P37TO	P	P	P, P37TO, P39MXO		P37TO
Artisan and handicraft studio					P39MXO		P30	P30		P50, P39MXO	P	P
Artist and drawing supply					P39MXO		P	P				
Auto parts					P39MXO			P		P, P39MXO	P22	P22
Bakery					P39MXO		P	P		P, P39MXO		
Book store					P39MXO	P	P, P37TO	P	P	P, P37TO, P39MXO		P37TO
Camera store					P39MXO	P	P37TO	P	P			P37TO
Confectionery shop					P39MXO		P, P37TO	P		P, P39MXO		P37TO
Construction and home building supplies					P39MXO						P22	
Dairy products store							P	P		P, P39MXO		
Electrical and electronic goods					P39MXO		P	P	P	P, P39MXO		
Electrical and plumbing supplies					P39MXO					P, P39MXO	P22	
Farmer’s market					P39MXO	P	P	P	P	P	P22	
Feed and farm supply					P39MXO	P		P		P, P39MXO	P	
Flea market					P39MXO							
Florist					P39MXO	P	P37TO	P		P, P39MXO	P37TO	P37TO
Food bank					P39MXO		P34	P34	P34	P34,		

										P39MXO		
Furniture store					P39MXO		P	P	P	P, P39MXO		
Gift shop					P39MXO		P	P		P, P39MXO	P22	
Grocery, convenience					P39MXO	P23		P23	P23	P23	P23	P37TO
Grocery, specialty					P39MXO	P	P	P		P		
Grocery, supermarket					P39MXO			P	P	P		
Home building supplies					P39MXO			P		P, P39MXO		
Household appliances					P39MXO					P, P39MXO	P22	P
Jewelry store					P39MXO		P	P			P22	
Kiosk/vending machine					P39MXO	AC44	AC44	AC44	AC44	AC44	AC44	AC44
Marijuana Retailer							ADC	ADC	-	ADC		
News/magazine stand												
Office furnishings and equipment store							P, P37TO	P		P, P39MXO	P37TO	P37TO
Office supplies					P39MXO			P		P, P39MXO		
Pawnshop					P39MXO		P	P		P, P39MXO		
Pharmacy					P39MXO		P, P37TO	P		P, P39MXO		
Photographic equipment/camera shop					P39MXO		P, P37TO	P		P, P39MXO		
Plant nursery					P39MXO			P		P, P39MXO	P22	
Sporting goods store					P39MXO		P	P		P, P39MXO		
Stationery store					P39MXO			P		P, P39MXO		

Thrift store					P39MXO		P	P		P, P39MXO		
Tobacco shop					P39MXO			P		P, P39MXO		
Other uses determined to be consistent with the definition of “retail trade establishments” as defined in this code							P51HO					

Section 3. SMC 17.80.120(11) is hereby amended to read as follows (All other provisions of SMC Section 17.80.120 entitled "Conditional Use Permit" remain in effect and unchanged):

17.80.120
CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT.

(11) Additional conditions for ~~medical~~ marijuana retailer ~~collective gardens~~ are set forth in SMC 17.100.045. (Ord. 1344 § 5, 2013; Ord. 1294 § 29, 2011; Ord. 1264 § 4, 2010; Ord. 1253 § 19, 2009).

Section 4. SMC 17.100.045 entitled "Conditions for permitting medical marijuana collective gardens" is hereby amended to read as follows:

Chapter 17.100
NONRESIDENTIAL PERFORMANCE STANDARDS

Sections:

17.100.010 Scope.
17.100.020 Categories of use.
17.100.030 Performance standards.
17.100.040 Supplemental standards for drive-through facilities.
17.100.045 Conditions for permitting ~~medical~~ marijuana retailer ~~collective gardens~~
17.100.050 Conditions for permitting ball parks, athletic fields, parks, playgrounds, community centers, houses of worship and meeting halls in residential zones.
17.100.055 Supplemental standards for live entertainment uses.
17.100.060 Conditions for permitting a bed and breakfast in single-family residential zones.
17.100.070 Conditions for permitting schools in single-family residential zones.
17.100.075 Supplemental standards for detached accessory storage.
17.100.080 Temporary uses and structures.

17.100.045 Conditions for permitting ~~medical~~ marijuana retailer ~~collective gardens.~~
An administrative conditional use permit shall be required in order to operate as a marijuana retailer in the City of Stanwood. In order to issue an administrative conditional use permit for a marijuana retailer ~~T~~the ~~c~~Community ~~d~~Development director shall make all of the findings in subsection (1) of this section in addition to all of the findings in SMC 17.80.120(6). ~~prior to issuing an administrative conditional use permit for medical marijuana collective gardens and shall require the~~ In addition, all standards listed in subsection (2) of this section must also be met prior to issuance of an administrative conditional use permit for ~~these uses~~ a marijuana retailer.

(1) Findings.

(a) The proposed use will be effectively contained and screened by means of fencing and/or landscaping or a combination of fencing and landscaping.

(b) The proposed development or use complies with SMC 17.112.030 and 17.112.040.

(c) The proposed use complies with all required additional standards contained within this Title.

(d) Proof that a license to operate as a marijuana retailer has been issued by the State Liquor and Cannabis Board.

~~(2) Additional Standards. Medical marijuana collective garden facilities shall:~~

~~(a) Be fully within a permanent structure compliant with the city building code and constructed under a building permit from the city regardless of the size or configuration of the structure.~~

~~(b) Have no use, production, processing, delivery or display of marijuana visible to the public.~~

~~(i) Blocked windows by means of paper, wood, or other similar materials shall not be allowed.~~

~~(c) Meet all requirements under E2SSB 5073, including but not limited to limitations on number of members, number of plants, amount of usable cannabis on site, maintenance of each member's valid documentation of qualifying patient status.~~

~~(d) Have an installed and operational security system that is monitored 24 hours a day.~~

~~(e) Restrict hours of operation and/or outdoor activities to increase compatibility with surrounding land uses.~~

~~(f) The following separation standards shall be measured in a straight line from property boundary to property boundary.~~

~~(i) No medical marijuana collective garden shall be located closer than 1,000 feet from any school, day care, community centers, or other youth oriented facility nor shall such collective gardens be located closer than 1,000 feet from one another.~~

~~(3) Time Limit and Renewal. If all requirements for approval are satisfied, the conditional use permit may be issued for a period of one year only with the opportunity for annual renewal. If continued operation is desired, renewal of the administrative conditional use permit shall be required on an annual basis. The permit shall be conditioned such that the location of a new use triggering the separation standards in subsection (2)(f) of this section shall not be grounds for denial of any renewal. (Ord. 1344 § 4, 2013).~~

(2) Retailers. Marijuana retailers may operate in the City pursuant to the following conditions and restrictions:

(a) Marijuana retailers must comply with all requirements of state law, Washington State Liquor and Cannabis Board and the city;

(b) Marijuana retailers may not locate within one thousand feet of any parcel containing an elementary or secondary school, playground, recreation center or facility, child care center, public park, public transit center, or library, or any game arcade admission to which is not restricted to persons aged twenty-one years or older;

(c) Marijuana retailers may not locate within two thousand five hundred feet of any other legally established marijuana retailer whether said marijuana retailer is within the City limits or not;

(d) Customer parking for marijuana retailers must be on the public street side of the structure in which the marijuana retailer is located and may not be off of or adjacent to an alley. However, staff parking and business deliveries may occur on the alley side of the structure;

(e) Vehicular access to the parking lot for a marijuana retailer shall be from the public street frontage and may not be from an alley. Any property located on a street from which vehicular access to the site from the street is prohibited shall not be allowed for use as a marijuana retailer;

(f) Marijuana retailers shall be fully contained within a permanent structure compliant with the city building code and constructed under a building permit from the city regardless of the size or configuration of the structure;

(g) Marijuana retailers shall have an installed and operational security system that is monitored 24 hours a day;

(h) Marijuana retailers shall restrict hours of operation to increase compatibility with surrounding land uses from 9:00 am to 9:00 pm daily;

(i) Marijuana retailers shall not be allowed on any parcel that is contiguous to a parcel containing residential use, unless the Community Development Director, finds all of the following:

(i) There is a physical separation between the two uses, such as another commercial building, or a substantial change in topography;

(ii) The marijuana retail use is located in a shopping center as one of multiple tenants with adequate parking for all uses and access as stated above in (2)(d) and (e);

(iii) The building in which the marijuana retail use is located faces the commercial street and the residential use faces a residential street in the opposite direction, without a shared alley between the two;

(iv) The residential use is located at least fifty feet from the common lot line between the two uses;

(j) In reviewing a proposed marijuana retailer use under this section, as a condition of issuance of an administrative conditional use permit, the Community Development Director shall have the authority to require improvements including, but not limited to, fencing and/or landscaping to screen the retail use from the residential use;

(k) The front facade of marijuana retail stores shall consist of storefront window(s), doors, and durable, quality building materials consistent with the design standards of the zone in which the property is located. Transparency requirements for windows shall apply unless in conflict with Washington State Liquor and Cannabis Board regulations. If located in a zone without design standards, at least three of the following shall be provided:

(i) Special treatment of windows and doors, other than standard metal molding/framing details, around all ground floor windows and doors, decorative glazing, or door designs.
(ii) Decorative light fixtures with a diffuse visible light source or unusual fixture.
(iii) Decorative building materials, such as decorative masonry, shingle, brick, or stone.
(iv) Individualized patterns or continuous wood details, decorative moldings, brackets, trim or lattice work, ceramic tile, stone, glass block, or similar materials.
(v) Use of a landscaping treatment as part of the building's design, such as planters or wall trellises.
(vi) Decorative or special railings, grill work, or landscape guards.
(vii) Landscaped trellises, canopies, or weather protection.
(viii) Sculptural or hand-crafted signs.
(ix) Special building elements, such as pilasters, entablatures, wainscots, canopies, or marquees that exhibit nonstandard designs.
(x) Other similar features or treatment that satisfies the intent of the guidelines as approved by the city.

(3) Additional Restrictions/Limitations. In addition to the requirements and limitations set forth in subsections (1) and (2) above, marijuana retailers shall be subject to the following:

(a) The maximum number of retail marijuana stores allowed in the City of Stanwood shall not exceed two.
(b) Measurements. Distances provided under this section shall be measured as the shortest distance between the perimeters of the parcels at issue.
(c) Compliance. Marijuana retailers are required to acquire all necessary business licenses and are required to comply with municipal tax regulations and all other applicable city ordinances and regulations.
(d) Establishment. For purposes of the two-thousand-five-hundred-foot setback between marijuana retailers, marijuana retailers shall be considered to be legally established in the order in which they are issued a City of Stanwood business license. The city will not accept a business license application for a recreational marijuana business prior to the applicant providing the city a copy of a letter from the Washington State Liquor and Cannabis Board indicating that the applicant has been approved for a state-issued recreational marijuana license. The city will process business license applications for recreational marijuana businesses in the order in which they are accepted.
(e) Inspection. An inspection of the proposed marijuana related use by the City shall be required prior to opening such a use. Such inspection shall occur after the premises are ready for operation, but prior to the stocking of the business with any marijuana product, and prior to the opening of the business. The inspection is to verify that the business facilities are constructed and can be operated in accordance with the application submitted and the applicable requirements of the code and any other applicable law, rule or regulation.

(f) Enforcement. Any violation of this section is subject to enforcement under the provisions of Chapter 13.01 SMC or through action of the city attorney seeking injunctive or other civil relief in any court of competent jurisdiction. The violator will be responsible for costs, including reasonable attorney fees.

(4) Any and all permits for the use or construction of facilities to produce, process or sell marijuana in the City of Stanwood shall bear the following warning:

> "The production, processing, sale and use of marijuana are a criminal activity under federal law and may subject any person engaging in such conduct to prosecution under federal law. The issuance of this permit by the City of Stanwood carries out a Washington State regulatory scheme, but does not affect the application of federal law to the permittee."

Section 5. Severability. The various parts, sections and clauses of this ordinance are hereby declared to be severable. If any part, sentence, paragraph, section or clause is adjudged unconstitutional or invalid by a court of competent jurisdiction, the remainder of the Ordinance shall not be affected thereby.

Section 6. Effective Date. This Ordinance shall take effect from and after five (5) days after its passage and publication as required by law.

PASSED AND APPROVED by the Stanwood City Council this ____ day of _____ 2018.

CITY OF STANWOOD

_____
Leonard Kelley, Mayor

ATTEST:

By: _____
David A. Hammond, City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

By: _____
Grant Weed, City Attorney

Date of Publication: _____
Effective Date: _____

ATTACHMENT B



Sources: City of Stanwood, Snohomish County, Washington Department of Natural Resources, US Fish & Wildlife Service, US Geological Survey and the US Environmental Protection Agnecy.

Date: May 5, 2016 Author: D.Tokizawa

ATTACHMENT B



Sources: City of Stanwood, Snohomish County, Washington Department of Natural Resources, US Fish & Wildlife Service, US Geological Survey and the US Environmental Protection Agnecy.

Date: May 5, 2016 Author: D.Tokizawa

Ryan C. Larsen

From: Erica Schorn
Sent: Thursday, February 22, 2018 9:48 AM
To: Ryan C. Larsen
Subject: FW: I stand opposed to marijuana shops in Stanwood

From: Nancy H [mailto:nancyswandahansen@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 21, 2018 11:28 AM
To: Erica Schorn <erica.schorn@ci.stanwood.wa.us>; Clerk, City <City.Clerk@ci.stanwood.wa.us>; Leonard Kelley <Leonard.Kelley@ci.stanwood.wa.us>
Subject: I stand opposed to marijuana shops in Stanwood

Attn: Deputy Clerk and/or Mayor Kelley, please forward my e-mail to the city council members and the city planning commission that meets on Monday Feb. 26th before this Thursday.

Hello,

I am a citizen of Stanwood who lives in the Copper Station neighborhood with my husband and four children ages 9, 11, 13 and 15. We love this town and we have chose to live here for the past 12 years because of its small town feel, family-friendly focus, safety and beauty. It is a wonderful place to raise a family! My husband works from home for an international tech company and we could live anywhere in Washington, but we have chosen to live here because it is so desirable! We LOVE Stanwood and we do not want the character of the town to change as it grows and provides a wonderful place for people to find and enjoy rich community.

I am opposed to marijuana shops in Stanwood and I ask that you vote NO on any initiatives put forth to allow Marijuana shops in our town.

I attended the city council meetings on this issue two years ago and was disappointed that the community was not made aware prior to the council meetings about what was on the table. My daughter and I were the only people at the first meeting in opposition, along with the many out of town marijuana lobbyists one of which, yelled at me, "You should be ashamed of raising your child to think this way", after my 14 year old shared her reasonable opinions of opposition. I would like to request that people who do not live in our town or own a business in our town, that they would be asked to speak after community members, if at all. I'd also like to request that additional officers come to the meetings on this issue and escort those who are in opposition to their cars following the meeting. My daughter and I had drug users follow us to our car, yelling at us last time. It was also uncomfortable to have to state our address at the meeting. I would like to request that addresses can be written on paper and handed to the one who is typing up the minutes. Many community members spoke up in opposition at the following meeting once they were made aware of the issue. Please refer to the meeting minutes from the three meetings on 6/23/16, 6/9/16 and 7/14/16 to see the names and comments of community members who asked if this issue came up again, that you would remember their request that you oppose it.

I understand that many people use medical marijuana for pain control, but I do not think the drive to Camano or Conway is a burden. People have to drive at least that far to go to Wal-Mart or Costco. If the reason for adding shops to our town is simply for revenue, that saddens me. I think that the bright people on our planning committee and council can come up with better opportunities that retain our town's character and charm.

In the January 4, 2018 issue of the NY Times it stated that "the Trump administration freed prosecutors to more aggressively enforce federal laws against the drug in states that have decriminalized its production and sale...". The president was quoted in this article stating that marijuana is, "...a dangerous drug and that marijuana activity is a serious crime." By federal law, marijuana is still illegal.

It will be difficult to ask my 11 year old, Boy Scout son, to partner with a city council who thinks its a good idea to bring drug sales to the area of town he would ride his bike through to attend school on a sunny day in the spring. The boy scouts just made posters for their badges about why drugs are bad. Please model for my son the courage & integrity I hope for him to possess as an adult by voting NO on this issue. I would feel it would not be as safe for him to be downtown alone and considering that we have so few officers, who are often busy with legitimate concerns, having a store near the police station does not add comfort for me.

I am also concerned about our town's name being listed on the WA state marijuana tourism directory/site. I do not want people from others states and countries coming to our town to pursue recreational use.

Additional concerns I have include; children having more access to people willing to buy pot for them locally, increased impaired driving by recreational users, increased 2nd hand pot smoke effecting children, increased use of 911 services for children who accidentally ingest pot edibles, increased cost of additional law enforcement needed (which potentially will meet or outweigh any revenue gained) which we are already short on, and the overall decreased reputation of Stanwood as viewed by potential businesses and families considering a move to our area.

For all these reasons, please vote NO on allowing stores in Stanwood which would sell Marijuana.

Sincerely,
Nancy Hansen

Ryan C. Larsen

From: Erica Schorn
Sent: Thursday, February 22, 2018 9:48 AM
To: Ryan C. Larsen
Subject: FW: City Council Meeting Question

Are you able to answer these questions? She also sent an email that I will forward to you with her comments. I forwarded it to councilmembers.

Erica

-----Original Message-----
From: Nancy H [mailto:nancyswandahansen@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 21, 2018 10:06 AM
To: Leonard Kelley <Leonard.Kelley@ci.stanwood.wa.us>; Erica Schorn <erica.schorn@ci.stanwood.wa.us>
Subject: City Council Meeting Question

Hello!

I have heard that the city is considering allowing marijuana shops in Stanwood.

Will this be discussed at the meeting this Thursday? I did not see it on the agenda.

What meeting happens on Feb. 26th? Is this the meeting where this issue will be discussed? Where would I find the agenda for this meeting? Can community members speak at this meeting or only at the city council meeting?

I was told the mayor has invited pro-marijuana advocates to come speak. Is this true? When & where will these people be speaking?

I'd like to understand what is going on with this issue and learn how I and other Stanwood citizens can share our opinions & be informed.

Thank you,
Nancy Hansen

Sent from my iPhone

Ryan C. Larsen

From: Erica Schorn
Sent: Thursday, February 22, 2018 9:50 AM
To: Ryan C. Larsen
Subject: FW: PLEASE VOTE NO MARIJUANA IN STANWOOD

Another one that I forwarded to councilmembers.

From: Peggy Kitting [mailto:peggypooh321@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 21, 2018 1:18 PM
To: Erica Schorn <erica.schorn@ci.stanwood.wa.us>; Leonard Kelley <Leonard.Kelley@ci.stanwood.wa.us>
Subject: PLEASE VOTE NO MARIJUANA IN STANWOOD

Please forward this message to the city council and the planning commission before Thursday's meeting.

In regards to the upcoming Planning Commission agenda to revisit the retail marijuana in Stanwood, my voice is in opposition to permitting retail marijuana sales.

1. The city is growing, the tax base is growing with it:
People are moving here, want to move here.
New housing permits are on the rise, new homes are being constructed, new development has been announced for many more homes off of Cedarhome drive.
Businesses have been coming and will continue to come.
2. Stanwood has a reputation of being a family-friendly location with affordable housing, good schools, and lower crime rates.

3. Marijuana sales have a history linked with increase in crime rates. See studies from U of W, state of Colorado. The shops become an attractant for clientele who as a generalized grouping are participants in more at-risk activities.

4. The tax revenue from marijuana sales may be offset by increases in public services associated with the users of marijuana -- police, fire, EMT, social services costs.

5. The tax revenue from marijuana sales may be offset by either the lack of businesses maintaining operations near the store or from new businesses setting up operations elsewhere.

For a city which is attractive for the reasons as stated in point #2, permitting marijuana sales within the city limits will bring an unnecessary and unappealing element to our great town. I ask that retail sales not be allowed.

Peggy Kitting
7229 286th PL NW
Stanwood, WA 98282

Ryan C. Larsen

From: Clerk, City
Sent: Thursday, February 22, 2018 9:52 AM
To: Devin M Sola
Cc: Ryan C. Larsen
Subject: FW: Retail Marijauna, Planning Commission agenda, 22 Feb 2018

From: matthew daniels [mailto:piecekeeper73@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 21, 2018 10:26 AM
To: Clerk, City <City.Clerk@ci.stanwood.wa.us>
Subject: Retail Marijauna, Planning Commission agenda, 22 Feb 2018

Please forward this message to the city council and the planning commission before Thursday's meeting.

In regards to the upcoming Planning Commission agenda to revisit the retail marijuana in Stanwood, my voice is in opposition to permitting retail marijuana sales.

1. The city is growing, the tax base is growing with it:

- People are moving here, want to move here.
- New housing permits are on the rise, new homes are being constructed, new development has been announced for many more homes off of Cedarhome drive.
- Businesses have been coming and will continue to come.

2. Stanwood has a reputation of being a family-friendly location with affordable housing, good schools, and lower crime rates.

3. Marijuana sales have a history linked with increase in crime rates. See studies from U of W, state of Colorado. The shops become an attractant for clientele who as a generalized grouping are participants in more at-risk activities.

4. The tax revenue from marijuana sales may be offset by increases in public services associated with the users of marijuana -- police, fire, EMT, social services costs.

5. The tax revenue from marijuana sales may be offset by either the lack of businesses maintaining operations near the store or from new businesses setting up operations elsewhere.

For a city which is attractive for the reasons as stated in point #2, permitting marijuana sales within the city limits will bring an unnecessary and unappealing element to our great town. I ask that retail sales not be allowed.

Matt Daniels
7918 263rd PL NW
Stanwood, WA 98282

Ryan C. Larsen

From: Clerk, City
Sent: Thursday, February 22, 2018 9:53 AM
To: Devin M Sola
Cc: Ryan C. Larsen
Subject: FW: Vote No on legalizing marijuana sales within Stanwood city limits

From: Aleph Fackenthall [mailto:aleph.fackenthall@gmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 21, 2018 8:54 AM
To: Clerk, City <City.Clerk@ci.stanwood.wa.us>; Aleph Joy Fackenthall <aleph.fackenthall@gmail.com>
Subject: Vote No on legalizing marijuana sales within Stanwood city limits

Hello city clerk,
Please forward this email to the city council and the city planning commission.

Almost 2 years ago I was in this same room. Listening to the same thing. Reasoned, well educated and prepared concerned citizens of Stanwood sharing the logic of not allowing Marijuana sales within city limits. As already shared tonight and in previous meetings, the concerns were the risk of Marijuana as a gateway drug, negative impact on our community, and additional under the influence accidents. Questions rose as to the rational for shops down the street from the middle school (where influence of drugs is already known)...and why the urgency of bringing sales to the center of our small town when the Bud Hut is only a few minutes drive away. Mayor Kelley inferred at the meeting in June 2016 that some town mayors reported they did have problems when sales were allowed.

I am not against marijuana, particularly for the majority of the proponents who have shared how medical Marijuana was instrumental in normalizing their life from the pain of illness or accident But none assured that a few minutes more of a drive to the Bud Hut would be a hardship.

Those who were in favor were mainly spouting convenience of proximity for marijuana users and the $ this brings into the city. As Mr McKay stated in June 2016, the city will get about $50K in tax revenue. Money and Convenience.

I have loved calling Stanwood my home for nearly 2 decades...I know I am considered a youngster by many who have lived here their whole lives. Our town has grown considerably over those decades because it is such a great place to be, because it is known for that wholesome, healthy, safe, community with great schools. Folks want to live here because they want what is best for their family. I have known those who have moved from Stanwood away from Marysville and Arlington because of our small town charm.

What will the legacy of this council be? Will they be known for Money from taxes on marijuana and Convenience for those who want immediate access to it, OR for standing firm against the negative impact of stores so close to the children and families represented in this town.

Thanks,
Aleph Fackenthall

6104 284th st nw

Ryan C. Larsen

From: Erica Schorn
Sent: Thursday, February 22, 2018 10:02 AM
To: Devin M Sola
Cc: Ryan C. Larsen
Subject: FW:

From: Sarah Cookston [mailto:sfcookston@hotmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, February 22, 2018 9:57 AM
To: Erica Schorn <erica.schorn@ci.stanwood.wa.us>; Leonard Kelley <Leonard.Kelley@ci.stanwood.wa.us>
Subject:

Attn: Deputy Clerk and/or Mayor Kelley, please forward my e-mail to the city council members and the city planning commission that meets on Monday Feb. 26th before this Thursday.

Please do not let a marijuana shop open its doors in Stanwood. We don't want one.

Sincerely,

Sarah Cookston
Stanwood resident

Ryan C. Larsen

From: Erica Schorn
Sent: Tuesday, February 27, 2018 7:37 AM
To: Ryan C. Larsen; Devin M Sola
Subject: FW: Marijuana Sales in stanwood

-----Original Message-----
From: Rachael McQuery [mailto:timandrachael@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, February 27, 2018 7:35 AM
To: Erica Schorn <erica.schorn@ci.stanwood.wa.us>; Leonard Kelley <Leonard.Kelley@ci.stanwood.wa.us>
Subject: Marijuana Sales in stanwood

Please forward my email to all city council members & the city planning committee.

My name is Rachael McQuery & my husband Tim & our family have lived in the stanwood/Camano area for 11+ Years. We have really enjoyed the small town & family oriented feel of the stanwood area. That is the reason we moved to this area, to raise our family in a safe area. We have gone into to stanwood every day (sometimes several) to shop, play & live our lives. If you put a pot shop in stanwood, we feel it would greatly take away from the wonderful family atmosphere stanwood has. Businesses would start being broken into, the emergency services would be used a great deal more & we wouldn't feel safe anymore. When the Camano bud hut went in, the community wasn't notified at all. It went in quickly and it is a shame the community has no say at all. If there are some in stanwood that use marijuana for medicinal purposes, it's a 15 min drive to Camano. I grew up with pot being sold in my high school. It was only a gateway drug to very much harder, more addictive deadly drugs. Most of the friends I have in high school have not been able to shake their drug habit & are still drug addicts. It has been devastating to them, their family & the community. They are lost from the person they once where. If this shop is to generate commerce, we are in a sad state of human existence. The businesses in town are so wonderful, why can't we come up with an alternative to generate money that doesn't leave are children at prey to addiction??? We are opposed to the opening of a marijuana shop in stanwood because of the loss of human life, morals & money because of use of ems services.

Tim & Rachael McQuery
227 Baker View LN
Camano Island, WA
98282
Sent from my iPhone

Ryan C. Larsen

From: Erica Schorn
Sent: Tuesday, February 27, 2018 9:16 AM
To: Ryan C. Larsen; Devin M Sola
Subject: FW: Personal Point of View

From: Rachael McQuery [mailto:timandrachael@hotmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, February 27, 2018 9:10 AM
To: Erica Schorn <erica.schorn@ci.stanwood.wa.us>; Leonard Kelley <Leonard.Kelley@ci.stanwood.wa.us>
Subject: Personal Point of View

Please forward my email to all city
council members & the city planning
committee.

Our other letter was written from a family point of view, this letter is from
personal experience.
My little brother was killed in a car
accident where his friend was driving
who was a pot dealer. Now, I realize that's not what your proposing but
the point is, recreational pot use, is a
life style. My little brother was only 20 years old and was very goal oriented. He had stocks & bonds. He never missed a day of work. He believed in his friends. Even this particular friend, who would always reach out, because he knew Michael would help him. My brother made a mistake on his birthday 15 years ago to get into that car. He knew better. This person had a past record of wrapping his car around trees on three separate occasions. Michael had his whole life ahead of him. But instead, this person took 3 lives that night. He was able to continue to live his life. I don't know that I can accurately describe the loss to our family. My little brother never got to experience being a husband or father.
The US Department of Transportation (DOT) reported in its Fact Sheet on "Cannabis / Marijuana," published on the DOT website (accessed Dec. 30, 2005):
"Marijuana has been shown to impair performance on driving simulator tasks and on open and closed driving courses for up to approximately 3 hours. Decreased car handling performance, increased reaction times, impaired time and distance estimation, inability to maintain headway, lateral travel, subjective sleepiness, motor incoordination, and impaired sustained vigilance have all been reported. "
I know it is illegal to operate a vehicle while under the influence. The point I'm trying to make is marijuana use was also illegal at this time. So is driving under the influence of alcohol, it still happens all.the.time.
Let's not make it easier to lose life by opening a marijuana shop in our family oriented city of stanwood.
We are very opposed to this shop opening.

Rachael McQuery
227 Baker View LN
Camano Island, WA
98282

Sent from my iPhone

Ryan C. Larsen

From: Erica Schorn
Sent: Tuesday, February 27, 2018 4:22 PM
To: Ryan C. Larsen; Devin M Sola
Subject: FW: Marijuana shops in Stanwood

From: Gary Williams [mailto:ganawilliams72@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, February 27, 2018 4:08 PM
To: Erica Schorn <erica.schorn@ci.stanwood.wa.us>; Leonard Kelley <Leonard.Kelley@ci.stanwood.wa.us>
Subject: Marijuana shops in Stanwood

We are writing you to ask you to voice our **opposition** to Marijuana shops in the community of Stanwood. We moved to Stanwood from California October, 2016. So many things drew us to this community. Please do not tarnish our community with allowing these shops to open. We realize that some people say that they have a "medical need" for marijuana - obtain it BUT not in our community. Please forward our email to all of the city council members as well as the city planning committee.

Thank you,

Gary and Nancy Williams

Ryan C. Larsen

From: Erica Schorn
Sent: Friday, March 2, 2018 7:38 AM
To: Ryan C. Larsen; Leonard Kelley; Devin M Sola
Cc: Amy M Rusko
Subject: FW: Marijuana Store in Stanwood Washington

Another comment on marijuana. FYI, I'm printing these out and putting them in a folder on my desk. Also saving them here:

S:\Clerk\Public Comments-Complaints\2018\Marijuana

From: Gold Detective [mailto:brochalm@gmail.com]
Sent: Thursday, March 01, 2018 10:33 PM
To: Erica Schorn <erica.schorn@ci.stanwood.wa.us>
Subject: Marijuana Store in Stanwood Washington

I have some personal insight regarding the use of marijuana. Please hear me out.

I grew up in Hawaii. You might say wow lucky me. The truth of the matter is it is a mega spawn of pot growers and world wide sales!

I can easily state with full fact it is akin to waging a war in Vietnam!
Every year the state of Hawaii and police force and national guards would perform what is called green harvest. Tons and tons of Pot gets confiscated. Not mere hundred pounds but 80000 pound loads are confiscated and destroyed weekly, some times daily during this push.

Local growers would place their plants in forest's, trails, hill sides where it is off the beaten path so to speak. Traps set, dangerous ones! People get hurt unknowingly, kids injured along with animal's, why because people get the fever of making big money growing pot.

It is even put in sugar cane fields, where the growers warn the cane growers if they mess with their pot they will burn their entire sugar cane crops down!
It is brutal, do not be fooled folks.

Now you are sitting there saying that this is just a mom and pop sales, it's legal! It is so much more. It has tangible sides to this situation that you don't ever want to get involved with.
I have seen people using pot, and be in a state where they are not be able to be in control of vehicles, bikes, walking, talking etc. So if you are saying that one can maintain control, you are not fooling me. Been there done that it is a lie!

We have families that move about daily here, add another shop selling this legal drug and the increase of potential harm escalates.

From my own witnessed accounts, a vast majority of pot users go on to harder drugs, most times with the pot laced by someone else, then they get hooked on it. You can figure out the rest.
It creates a carefree attitude and lack of respect for others and their families and property.

So here is my entire premise. There is documented proof that pot is not the best alternative medicine, yes it has helped some people. Let's keep it at the medical side of things and not follow suit of Amsterdam, where pot and other not so family related activities reign.

What I am saying is not only are there better type of businesses that would benefit the city better but would also increase the desirability of residency as the town grows.

I for one would rather see a business that develops a wholesome presentation rather than a let's smoke and get laid atmosphere. We should be cultivating a city of higher standards where our children and families feel free to walk around and have the opportunity for growth in a positive light. Instead don't go there the type of people may kidnap you. Yep it's extreme, you get my point.

It makes no sense that this law was ever passed allowing pot to be recreational, it is smoke being introduced into your lungs! Same ideology as tobacco, which is deadly! Proven, etc

Please accept this testimony from me weighing in on the side of prohibit any marijuana sales in Stanwood!

Sincerely

Lawrence Brocha

Hello, my name is Katie Shrock Owner of One A-Chord Academy in Stanwood. I would first like to address my first statement request to the City Administrator and Community Development Director Ryan Larson.

As City Administrator and the Community Development Director you are the person to make a decision for me. I am asking for a variance to the sign rules. To allow me to put a monument sign up on the corner of 94th and 271st. As well as an A-Board sign to be there till the monument sign can be made and installed. I am asking this to be approved immediately. Before any of the other sign laws are changed. You are capable of signing the form you have in the city office. I would like to pick up this signed variance by Wednesday of this week.

I would also like to ask that the sign laws be re-evaluated and/or re-written to better serve the businesses that are already open and operating in Stanwood. As well as enforcing the sign rules you currently have in place. I was told that the city doesn't have the resources to enforce every sign violation in town. That you are only enforcing the violations on complaint base only. I would suggest that the sign laws are or are not. Only enforcing those that you choose is discrimination. When I inquired about Viking Village and why the town doesn't enforce the signage rules to them. I was told they are attorney's and it would cost the city a lot of money. So because I am not and attorney and you assume I don't have the same resources. You are requiring me to follow all rules. That is discrimination. Viking Village isn't the only location that signage rules are in violation. Since you say they are by complaint only, this is my official complaint about sign violations. Against Viking Village, Alfy's, Snow goose books, and tru-view glass. I will continue to make complaints as needed.

2. It was recently brought to my attention that the city council is wanting to put a Marijuana shop in downtown Stanwood. I have strong opinions about this that I will go over but first I am displeased about the special attention the Marijuana shop is getting. I had come with a simple request to adjust the sign laws and to allow me to put additional signage up. I was told "It will be added to the list of topics for the year". It was placed as number 2 on the list. After the Marijuana Shop. I had a private meeting with you Ryan Larson and a witness. You said the list order did not indicate the order of importance. That is not true. The council is now focused on the Marijuana Shop before addressing the signage issue I had brought up.

My number one complaint is you are focusing on a business that is not even open in town, let alone federally legal. Yet businesses that are federally legal and have been open and operating as business in town are getting pushed to the bottom of the list. I implore you to take care of the businesses you already have before addressing new business.

3. I would like to go on record that I am against opening a Marijuana shop in Stanwood. As a business owner on the Main Street and right across from the Stanwood Middle School. The last thing this town needs is to have a marijuana presences so visible. But I'm not going to appease to your morals. I know the city is focused on income. Making money. You want a marijuana shop because of the financial benefits you believe it will bring in. I urge you to look at this long

term. Recreational and medical marijuana use is still illegal nationally under the Controlled Substances Act and it is listed under the Schedule 1 list of drugs, along with heroin and LSD.

On January 4th 2018, Attorney General Jeff Sessions rescinded guidances from former President Barack Obama's administration that allowed states to legalize marijuana with minimal federal interference. In a statement, Sessions said that the move will allow federal prosecutors "to use previously established prosecutorial principles that provide them all the necessary tools to disrupt criminal organizations, tackle the growing drug crisis, and thwart violent crime across our country."
In effect, this will let federal prosecutors use their own discretion to crack down on marijuana businesses in states where pot is legal for recreational purposes.

Why waste our cities resources and time pursuing a business that may not be allowed in a year or 5 yrs time? Are we willing to risk being federally prosecuted? Instead I propose you focus on all the legal businesses you already have in town and help them become more profitable.

Thank you,
Katie Shrock
26930 94th Dr NW
Stanwood, Wa 98292

Ryan C. Larsen

From: Aleph Fackenthall <aleph.fackenthall@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 12, 2018 10:53 PM
To: Ryan C. Larsen; Devin M Sola
Subject: HB 2574 - 2017-18 Permitting marijuana delivery to medical marijuana patients.
Attachments: 2574 HBA COG 18.pdf

Hello Devin,
Can you please forward my comments below as well as the attachment to the Planning Committee?

Hello Planning Committee,
Thank you for the opportunity to share my thoughts this evening. I appreciated the open dialog and discussion, particularly about the hardships of those who are sick and who have difficulty traveling to the Bud Hut.

I has asked if anyone knew about medical marijuana delivery options. As it turns out, the state is working on a bill that would provide for medical marijuana delivery.

I think that we would all agree that if there was a way to provide for the transportation needs of the immobile who use medical marijuana for their quality of life throughout their sickness, a lot of people could be helped. Maybe then there would be less urgency to make sales legal and we could spend antiquate time educating the public and creating ordinances that are truly robust and which can be enforced.

Below are the summary of HB 2574 - 2017-18 Permitting marijuana delivery to medical marijuana patients.

Thanks,
Aleph Fackenthall

Brief Summary of Bill

- Establishes a medical marijuana delivery endorsement to the marijuana retailer's license that authorizes the holder to provide marijuana home delivery services to qualifying medical marijuana patients age 21 or over, or to designated providers.
- Authorizes qualifying medical marijuana patients to place delivery orders for marijuana products with a qualifying retailer over the telephone or by Internet.
- Requires that medical marijuana deliveries be made to the same qualifying adult that placed the order and that the delivery be made only to a private residence, hotel, motel, or other lodging business.
- Requires that delivery persons be trained regarding age and identity verification, as well as verification that the patient is registered in the medical marijuana database.
- Grants broad rule-making authority to the Liquor and Cannabis Board to implement and administer the program.
- Authorizes tribal compacts pertaining to medical marijuana delivery services by tribal marijuana retailers, subject to the requirement that tribal regulations governing medical marijuana deliveries be substantially the same as those applicable to marijuana delivery services by state-licensed marijuana retailers with a delivery endorsement.

HB 2574 - 2017-18

Permitting marijuana delivery to medical marijuana patients.

Sponsors: **Kloba, Sawyer, Condotta, Slatter, Robinson, Kirby, Stanford, Vick, Pollet, Wylie, Tharinger, Macri**

Bill Status-at-a-Glance ⓘ
See **Bill History** for complete details on the bill

As of Monday, March 12, 2018 10:26 PM

Current Version: Substitute - SHB 2574

Current Status: HRules R

Where is it in the process?

	Introduced	In Committee	On Floor Calendar	Passed Chamber
In the House:	●	○	○	○
In the Senate:	○	○	○	○
After Passage:	○	○	○	○
	Passed Legislature	On Governor's Desk	Governor Acted	Session Law

Go to documents...
Go to videos...

Comment on this bill
Get Email Notifications
RSS Notifications

Bill History

2018 REGULAR SESSION

Jan 10	First reading, referred to Commerce & Gaming (Not Officially read and referred until adoption of Introduction report). (View Original Bill)
Jan 15	Public hearing in the House Committee on Commerce & Gaming at 1:30 PM. (Committee Materials)
Jan 16	Executive session scheduled, but no action was taken in the House Committee on Commerce & Gaming at 1:30 PM. (Committee Materials)
Jan 18	Executive session scheduled, but no action was taken in the House Committee on Commerce & Gaming at 9:00 AM. (Committee Materials)
Jan 22	Executive session scheduled, but no action was taken in the House Committee on Commerce & Gaming at 1:30 PM. (Committee Materials)
Jan 23	Executive session scheduled, but no action was taken in the House Committee on Commerce & Gaming at 1:30 PM. (Committee Materials)
Jan 29	Executive action taken in the House Committee on Commerce & Gaming at 1:30 PM. (Committee Materials) **COG - Majority; 1st substitute bill be substituted, do pass.** (View 1st Substitute) (Majority Report)
Jan 31	Referred to Rules 2 Review.

http://apps2.leg.wa.gov/billsummary?BillNumber=2574&Year=2017&BillNumber=2574&Year=2017

AN ACT Relating to establishing an endorsement to the marijuana retailer's license authorizing delivery services to qualified medical marijuana patients; creating a new section; and providing an expiration date.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

NEW SECTION. **Sec. 1.** (1) The state liquor and cannabis board must conduct a study of regulatory options and issues related to the creation of a system for the home delivery of medical marijuana products to qualifying medical marijuana patients by licensed medical marijuana retailers. The goal of the study is to examine the legal and regulatory issues related to the creation of a medical marijuana home delivery system and to provide the legislature with recommendations for the establishment of a regulatory scheme that provides for the safe home delivery of marijuana products for medical use, while at the same time ensuring the effective monitoring of the delivery process in order to minimize the likelihood of illicit activity.

(2) In conducting the study, the state liquor and cannabis board must consult with the state department of health, industry representatives, local government officials, law enforcement officials, and any other person or entity deemed necessary to properly complete the study.

(3) The issues that must be considered by the state liquor and cannabis board during the course of the study include, but are not limited to, the following:

(a) Eligibility requirements for marijuana retailers applying for a medical marijuana delivery endorsement;

(b) Verification procedures regarding age, identity, and registration in the medical marijuana authorization database with respect to the medical marijuana patient receiving delivery;

(c) Qualifications for, and the training of, persons delivering medical marijuana products on behalf of the medical marijuana retailer;

(d) Methods of ordering and payment;

(e) Maintaining the integrity of the marijuana traceability system during the course of the delivery process;

(f) Safe and secure transportation of marijuana products from the retailer to the purchaser, including delivery vehicle requirements;

(g) Methods of ensuring that a retailer's delivery employees and delivery system are in compliance with regulatory requirements;

(h) Medical marijuana deliveries by retailers operating out of Indian country; and

(i) Civil penalties and administrative actions for regulatory violations by a retailer holding a medical marijuana delivery endorsement.

(4) By December 1, 2018, the state liquor and cannabis board must provide the appropriate committees of the legislature with findings and recommendations regarding the implementation of a medical marijuana home delivery system.

(5) The study, findings, and recommendations required under this section must be done through the use of the existing resources of the state liquor and cannabis board.

(6) This section expires January 1, 2019.

Washington State
House of Representatives
Office of Program Research

BILL ANALYSIS

Commerce & Gaming Committee

HB 2574

Brief Description: Permitting marijuana delivery to medical marijuana patients.

Sponsors: Representatives Kloba, Sawyer, Condotta, Slatter, Robinson, Kirby, Stanford, Vick, Pollet, Wylie, Tharinger and Macri.

Brief Summary of Bill

- Establishes a medical marijuana delivery endorsement to the marijuana retailer's license that authorizes the holder to provide marijuana home delivery services to qualifying medical marijuana patients age 21 or over, or to designated providers.
- Authorizes qualifying medical marijuana patients to place delivery orders for marijuana products with a qualifying retailer over the telephone or by Internet.
- Requires that medical marijuana deliveries be made to the same qualifying adult that placed the order and that the delivery be made only to a private residence, hotel, motel, or other lodging business.
- Requires that delivery persons be trained regarding age and identity verification, as well as verification that the patient is registered in the medical marijuana database.
- Grants broad rule-making authority to the Liquor and Cannabis Board to implement and administer the program.
- Authorizes tribal compacts pertaining to medical marijuana delivery services by tribal marijuana retailers, subject to the requirement that tribal regulations governing medical marijuana deliveries be substantially the same as those applicable to marijuana delivery services by state-licensed marijuana retailers with a delivery endorsement.

Hearing Date: 1/15/18

Staff: Thamas Osborn (786-7129).

This analysis was prepared by non-partisan legislative staff for the use of legislative members in their deliberations. This analysis is not a part of the legislation nor does it constitute a statement of legislative intent.

Background:

The Liquor and Cannabis Board (LCB) issues a marijuana retailer license that authorizes the holder to sell marijuana, useable marijuana, marijuana-infused products, and marijuana concentrates to adults age 21 and over. Marijuana retailers with a medical marijuana endorsement may also sell marijuana products to qualifying patients age 18 and over who have a medical marijuana authorization or are entered into the Medical Marijuana Authorization Database. Qualifying medical marijuana patients are exempt from paying sales tax on retail purchases of marijuana products. All sales of marijuana products must be conducted in person at the premises of the marijuana retail outlet and outside deliveries are prohibited.

The Governor may enter into agreements with federally recognized Indian tribes concerning matters pertaining to marijuana commerce. Such marijuana agreements may address any marijuana-related issue that involves both state and tribal interests or otherwise has an impact on tribal-state relations.

Summary of Bill:

Medical Marijuana Delivery Endorsement.
A medical marijuana delivery endorsement to a marijuana retail license is established that authorizes a qualifying retailer to deliver marijuana for medical use to a qualifying medical marijuana patient or a designated provider. The delivery endorsement may be issued only to licensed marijuana retailers holding a medical marijuana endorsement. The holder of the delivery endorsement may deliver marijuana products to a qualifying medical marijuana patient or a designated provider, at a private residence, hotel, motel, boarding house, resort, hostel, trailer camp, or similar lodging business. A retailer may charge a delivery service fee to the customer for any delivery made in accordance with applicable statutory requirements and administrative rules.

The medical marijuana delivery endorsement authorizes a qualifying retailer to:

- receive an order over the telephone or Internet, by a qualifying medical marijuana patient or designated provider for the purchase and delivery of marijuana products; and
- deliver marijuana products to the same person who placed the order for the marijuana products over the telephone or internet.

The delivery person must be an owner or employee of the licensee holding the medical marijuana delivery endorsement and must have undergone training regarding verification of age and identity, as well as verification of registration in the medical marijuana authorization database. At the time of delivery, the delivery person must confirm the validity of the recipient's identification and medical marijuana recognition card through the use of an electronic device or web-based application as required by the LCB.

If the retailer applying for a medical marijuana delivery endorsement holds more than one marijuana retailer's license, a separate delivery endorsement is required for each retail outlet from which the license holder wishes to conduct the delivery of marijuana products to qualified medical marijuana patients.

Rule-Making by the LCB.

The Liquor and Cannabis Board may adopt rules necessary to implement this section and must adopt rules that include the following:

- eligibility requirements for the issuance of a medical marijuana delivery endorsement to a marijuana retailer;
- training requirements for persons involved in the delivery of marijuana products;
- civil penalties that may be imposed for delivery of marijuana products to a person under 21 years of age or is not registered in the medical marijuana authorization database;
- procedures for age verification and verification of registration in the medical marijuana authorization database;
- delivery vehicle requirements, including the maximum number of delivery vehicles that may be used by a marijuana retailer per work shift;
- limits on the amounts of marijuana products and money that may be carried in a delivery vehicle; and
- penalties for violations of applicable statutes or rules adopted to implement this section.

State-Tribal Agreements Regarding Medical Marijuana Delivery Practices.
The agreements that the Governor may enter into with federally recognized Indian tribes related to marijuana commerce may include provisions regarding delivery sales of medical marijuana products by tribes, tribal enterprises, or tribal member-owned businesses that are retailers of marijuana. Such agreements must meet specified requirements, including the requirement that tribal delivery sale regulations for medical marijuana products are the same, or substantially the same, as delivery sale regulations that apply to state-licensed marijuana retailers with a medical marijuana delivery endorsement.

Appropriation: None.

Fiscal Note: Available.

Effective Date: The bill takes effect 90 days after adjournment of the session in which the bill is passed.

March 12, 2018

Dear Editor,

I have recently been following the story in the paper and online chatter about bringing another Marijuana shop to Stanwood. I normally keep to myself about political views but in this matter it has struck close to home and I feel a strong urge to speak up and be heard. My words may fall on deaf ears as it seems reading between the lines in the articles in the newspaper the matter is heading for approval no matter what the townspeople think or want. It seems to me to be strongly revenue based for our little community.

For many that are for bringing it to our area because of medical issues. The Bud Hut on Camano is doing quite well and not far from town and it has a bus stop right in front of the building so easily accessible for all. If you are in a wheelchair you can get para transit to assist in a ride as well. The surrounding areas Conway, Mt. Vernon and Arlington all have easily accessible marijuana shops.

Please here me out, my family was completely changed back on December 27th, 2009 by marijuana. My son was staying the night at his friend's house in Stanwood his friend was supposed to bring him to work the next day. Unfortunately, Ryan had to rely on another boy in the home to get him to work. He did not know his friend was high and had been smoking marijuana and he got in a car with him that fateful day. You see marijuana may not kill by overdose but it does kill and it does impact those left holding the pieces. The driver was killed that day he was a mere 19 years old, in the military. My son was left in a coma with a traumatic brain injury and quadriplegia. His friends were pleading with him in the car to stop and to slow down but the THC was in his brain and he is no longer with us. Since that fateful day our lives have been turned upside down. He was not impaired in any way that day, but because he got in the car with a driver that was smoking marijuana his life is changed forever as is mine. It costs the taxpayers over $200,000 per year for my son. Over $2.5 million total, personally over a few hundred thousand.

I do not support impaired driving in any fashion. Bringing a marijuana shop to Stanwood would only create more of these types of accidents in the future, is this something the Council and Planning Commission want on their hands if they vote to make this legal in our city? I feel it is in the people's best interest to put it to a vote, let us decide if this is what we want as a community. Please, an issue as controversial as this has been to Stanwood since I502 passed shouldn't be left up to the few votes of the City Council.

Caprice deGuzman

Stanwood Marijuana Survey Summary

The point of this survey was to try to determine what the majority of Stanwoodites really think about marijuana sales in town. Though the majority voted to legalize marijuana, it isn't clear they were also approving a recreational store on main street.

The pole was put together hastily last week when it was learned that there would soon be another vote on this issue. It was not created by a professional unbiased party by any means and the content, grammar and wording make this evident. In the interest of full disclosure, the introduction states that the survey was created by opponents. Though questions try to address the concerns often voiced in this debate, it is expected that a poll sponsored by opponents will not have much credibility with supporters. To give the survey more credibility, only results from those who share their name and address were included.

The survey was sent to opponents, supporters and as many unrelated parties as possible. It has taken on a life of it's own as it has been shared and liked through Facebook hundreds of times.

When the survey results were initially shared with me, they were strongly in opposition to retail sales as we all had hoped. However as time progressed and as more supporters "liked" and "shared," the tide was turned. What stood out was the over 77 percent of those polled said this question should be put to the vote of the citizens.

It was of interest to us to look at the opinions of those who wanted to see a ballot vote on this issue. We were disappointed. Of those who wanted this issue taken to the polls, only 45% thought a retail store would have a negative effect on current or future businesses and on Stanwood as a whole.

I think it showed prudence that, when facing a similar decision, the Snohomish City Council opted for an advisory vote of its citizens. The Planning Commission should consider giving a similar recommendation to our City Council.

The process of reading the comments of folks who took the survey was enlightening and has helped me change my view on MJ dramatically. However, even with my new outlook on marijuana, I still feel like the City Council is trying to sneak this past the citizens and that feels wrong on many levels. This is such a controversial issue, for the health of our city and the honor and reputation of our city officials, this issue should be a vote of the people. Please consider recommending this to the City Council.

Regards,

Aleph Fackenthall

Q13 Other comments or concerns to be shared with the Stanwood Planning Commission?

Answered: 109 Skipped: 79

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	This survey is completely biased in their questions. Don't take this survey into account.	3/11/2018 12:12 AM
2	support Vote yes!!!	3/10/2018 6:06 PM
3	oppose It effects more than just in town residents. Those of us living out side of town but in the Stanwood school district should have a say before this important of an issue be allowed . We send our children to the schools, pay taxes to the schools and are directly effected by this type of discussion.	3/10/2018 4:48 AM
4	oppose Pedestrian deaths up over 10% in states with open marijuana laws. More DUI as well. Dealers are already selling drugs to KIDS in Haggen parking lots. Don't need more.	3/9/2018 1:37 PM
5	support I recognize the concerns around a marijuana shop. It doesn't help that the state requires them to operate in a cash only basis. But there are few negative impacts and a good deal of positive. It will bring more business to downtown, and it will allow people to access their drug of choice (let's face it -- the pharmacy next door, the grocery store selling alcohol, and the smoke shop selling vapes all offer a drug of choice! Leave the puritanical sensibilities out of this, read real information and existing studies on the non-addictive and positive benefits of marijuana, and make this a reality for Stanwood residents.	3/9/2018 12:30 PM
6	None	3/9/2018 9:56 AM
7	support I'd work on shutting down bars and making stricter alcohol laws. Alcohol is a much more devastating and harmful substance. Lost more than one friend to alcohol never lost one to weed growing up.	3/9/2018 7:23 AM
8	support Stanwood residence are buying their pot on Camano and other places. Youth don't buy at pot shops. They buy it off the street or get it from their parents. This will not change. They don't drink more because alcohol can be bought in stores. They wont smoke more if their is a pot shop in Stanwood	3/9/2018 6:12 AM
9	oppose I do not use Marijuana.	3/9/2018 6:03 AM
10	oppose Please do not allow a recreational marijuana store within Stanwood. I have worked and participated at events in a local town for the past 10yrs, who's higher standard of citizens, character, and life has been on a decline due to the increased presence of marijuana. The tax money is definitely a plus, but at what cost? A gateway drug for youth? Another avenue for locals to spend money on something just to forget the rough parts of their day while the money could be invested into more profitable things (family, home, personally, etc)? While I believe marijuana has a great medical quality when given by prescription, I believe it will only benefit thoes with a leaning towards drug use and addiction, and will negativity affect Stanwood eventually overall. Thanks.	3/9/2018 6:01 AM
11	oppose This is a very bad idea.	3/9/2018 1:04 AM
12	support I believe it could bring in extra city revenue with no danger to our youth. A licensed marijuana dispensary sells only to to adults 21 years of age or older and would curb the demand for marijuana from illegal sources that might also sell to kids. I would rather see marijuana sold in a controlled environment that keeps it out of the reach of our children.	3/8/2018 11:51 PM
13	support It's a good way to help business in Stanwood	3/8/2018 11:40 PM
14	oppose Stanwood does not need to bring this into our community! There is already one store on Camano and multiple in Mount Vernon. Let's bring more positive businesses that provide revenue into our town, not marijuana!	3/8/2018 7:57 PM
15	oppose I can't believe this is legal. Our whole government is so screwed up, it's not even funny.	3/8/2018 7:10 PM
16	N/A	3/8/2018 2:54 PM
17	None	3/8/2018 2:33 PM

18	support Maybe opening a store will give people jobs and that is always a good thing	3/8/2018 8:45 AM
19	support It should be out to a vote	3/8/2018 7:44 AM
20	support As with every other LEGAL product allowed to be sold within city limits, this product should as well. Alcohol IS legal AND sold within city limits. We already had prohibition with that, let's not have it with legal or medical marijuana.	3/8/2018 4:52 AM
21	support I think it might be one business that could stay open. I get tired of seeing stores open to only shutdown it's sad.	3/8/2018 3:13 AM
22	N/A	3/8/2018 12:37 AM
23	oppose Stanwood is a family friendly community, with strong schools, strong families and good neighbors. Bringing marijuana sales into the community would NOT be a drawing card for people to visit, live or move here.	3/7/2018 9:07 PM
24	Nothing at this time.	3/7/2018 8:10 PM
25	support Not just the revenue will help our community but with so many medical, and mental issues it would be an asset to our community	3/7/2018 7:32 PM
26	support Nothing bad can come of a marijuana store inside city limits	3/7/2018 7:17 PM
27	oppose We moved out of Seattle in part to get away from all the pot. I love that Stanwood doesn't have a pot shop.	3/7/2018 1:43 PM
28	support So many positives to bringing it to Stanwood.	3/7/2018 11:44 AM
29	support Although I am for marijuana, I strongly believe it to be an issue that underaged individuals are finding it so easily accessible. We definitely need to resolve this problem!	3/7/2018 9:53 AM
30	support I used to smoke when I was a teenager/early 20s, I don't smoke anymore. But it could benefit others who have health conditions that need it to function.	3/7/2018 9:11 AM
31	support This can only benefit stanwood. Without, we would be behind our neighboring communities.	3/7/2018 8:25 AM
32	Na	3/7/2018 7:57 AM
33	oppose I have done some research on marijuana and what happens to people who use it. There are controversial studies that show people who use mind altering drugs recreationally are at greater risk of psychosis. I have seen this happen on a personal level.	3/7/2018 6:26 AM
34	support these shops would increase revenue in those shops as well as eateries and other shops. kids will get what they want from anywhere like they always have. pot shops do not sell to minors	3/7/2018 5:24 AM
35	oppose We do not want marijuana tourism, it brings unwanted people. There should only be a vote-if without one-it would be allowed anyway. If there must be a marijuana store, it would be a good idea to keep it away from other businesses, like the "Bud Hut" on Camano.	3/6/2018 10:44 PM
36	oppose If smoking tobacco is bad for your health, how is smoking Marijuana is good.	3/6/2018 10:33 PM

37	oppose I think (personally) that Marijuana has ruined, torn up and destroyed families, both inside and out. I can relate to this because members of my family have had issues with drugs and it has impacted all of us in different ways. I don't want Stanwood to suffer as my family relationship has and I am strongly against the legalization of marijuana in all states and countries. I can especially see a cripple in reputation status of Stanwood. Because weed has different effects on everyone, people may be turned off by the idea that Stanwood may be a town full of stoners, which is what it could be, if we subccumb to the idea of letting weed ruin our glorious town. Myself being an 8th grader at Stanwood Middle school, I overhear many conversations, talking about how easy it is to get weed, so technically we already have a weed problem. I have an acquaintance that is in rehab because of weed and other drugs that they probably got in, around or during high school. A few other people in my family that are in high school say that it is easy to get a hold of drugs. What really makes me scratch my head is that every single morning I ride the bus down to the high school as part of my ride to school and home, I see police officers and sheriffs patrolling the grounds and I think "what good is putting guards around the school when ever we have kids smuggling drugs around like its a game of telephone?" And I can also see a problem economically because I see tons of hardworking teens in our small establishments that are very effective and work for their money and if weed was introduced into our area it could make these hardworking and very proud teens lazy, boring and useless, but I'm sure that the person reading this was a teen at some time in their life and (not saying everyone) even maybe have had a close up with drugs and what they can do to people if used incorrectly, they can render people violent, lazy, unsociable, and almost useless and MAYBE even kill the person if they OD on something. Anyways I hope that this helps explain some of the problems associated with the legalization of weed and also shows you the drug problems that are going on right under our noses. - William James Blake Longdon 3/6/18	3/6/2018 10:14 PM
38	oppose Really bad idea. Nobody wants a pot shop in Stanwood, detracts from our small town charisma. Please focus your planning and development efforts in areas that truly benefit this community and those that call it home.	3/6/2018 9:57 PM
39	oppose If you honestly look at crime in other near by city's that have this kind of business look at the break ins that are going on there I moved here to get my kids away from that kind of stuff going on	3/6/2018 8:58 PM
40	support No concerns. Legal shops operate under strict rules and regulations. It's harder to buy because photo identification is deeply scrutinized and must pass a black light testing for many.	3/6/2018 6:58 PM
41	support Stanwood needs to get with the future	3/6/2018 5:17 PM
42	support Vote YES	3/6/2018 5:07 PM
43	support i work in smokey point where there are 2 retaul pot shops...there are no kids under 21 hanging around the stores, the stores owners keep the place neater than other retailers and i believe that other businesses would benefit from the additional consumers coming to the area	3/6/2018 5:06 PM
44	support I believe that the presence of professional Marijuana retail stores actually helps to demystify "pot" which helps to reduce the allure it has for teenagers.	3/6/2018 3:16 PM
45	support I'm a recovering alcoholic/addict with 31 years of sobriety but I'm also a strong supporter of legalizing marijuana.	3/6/2018 3:02 PM
46	oppose Many bring up the case of alcohol vs marijuana. True, no one has ever OD'd on marijuana. But due to the fact that people take marijuana usage and availability so lightly, it gives it the impression that it is no more harmful than Tylenol. This leads people to ignore usage warnings on things such as edibles and consume amounts way beyond safe, as well as consume products that may be laced with other products they got from somewhere else due to marijuana being "safe."	3/6/2018 1:11 PM
47	support The prospects of the revenue that would come in thanks to the shop absolutely make me feel like it's worth it for our small town. When we had the medical shop and the recreational shop things were just fine. I don't see how this would make anything worse.	3/6/2018 1:04 PM
48	No	3/6/2018 12:45 PM
49	No	3/6/2018 11:09 AM
50	None	3/6/2018 9:14 AM
51	support This could bring a lot of business back to downtown. People here are already buying marijuana, it may as well benefit our city.	3/6/2018 9:08 AM

52	oppose I see little reason not to allow a marijuana dispensary in stanwood. the added revenue and more traffic through all our little shops nearby the store would be very good for the community. I am having a baby and I'd like to raise her in a city that doesnt beleive marijuana is some evil drug, when really its a plant that can help many people, I've used it now and then for headaches, bouts of insomnia and muscle fatigue. i dont see it as a drug but as Gods medicine. please consider allowing dispenseries to open in stanwood.	3/6/2018 8:44 AM
53	support It's already been opened up and the city even took money from someone to open a shop and then denied them. I don't know why the city of Stanwood feels that they have a right to stop small business in Stanwood but they like to try. It's one of the hardest cities I've ever owned and operated business in! I would think the benefits of Revenue would far outweigh the personal judgement. It's not the cities job two parent it's the city's job to produce Tax and Revenue for our community. It's a product that's readily available in other cities and people will gladly go to those cities or to Camano Island so at this point it's only a matter of Revenue. In the city of Stanwood is doing a disservice to its community by not allowing small businesses in taxes based on personal bias.	3/6/2018 8:32 AM
54	support People are going to buy it anyway. Why not get some tax revenue. Plus, it would be easier for the elderly and disabled to get to it if it were in town.	3/6/2018 8:26 AM
55	support If the city does all this right we can provide safer schools, help the poeple out that need help like housing, clothes, food, job training and so much more that our community needs. The kids are our future and if they come struggling family trying to make it to provide food on the table, clean clothes and a place call home. Making our schools safer would be great also. If there was active shooter at any of our schools it would be to late for the law to come in. There's needs to be more done to secure the safety of our community specially the kids. With the tax revenue from having marijuana store in our town can big help to us.	3/6/2018 8:07 AM
56	support If we're opposed to the revenue why are we so gladly accepting of the tax revenue from alcohol and tobacco that have no medicinal purpose and are highly districtive and addictive.	3/6/2018 7:59 AM
57	support People that want MJ will get it, wether they buy in city limits or pickup from somewhere else locally. Might as well collect the tax revenue. How many other small cities do not allow sales of MJ? As far as I know, none. I know it's a change for small town, but we need to get with the times. I own a commercial bundling in downtown Stanwood, and would welcome a lot shop as a tenant.	3/6/2018 7:49 AM
58	support Anyone opposed to this is painfully misinformed. Coca-Cola is worse for you, welcome to the 21st century...	3/6/2018 7:49 AM
59	support This creates jobs and revenue for this sleepy little town! Stop being afraid of change in this community and move forward.	3/6/2018 7:43 AM
60	support I think commercial marijuana is overpriced for youth consumption. If they are going to buy weed, they're gonna get it from their sources who provide lower quality products for cheaper.	3/6/2018 7:38 AM
61	oppose Review how other cities have been impacted by 420 shops. The ones that I have seen, seems to make the area a little trashy and run down.	3/6/2018 7:37 AM
62	support Make sure that people for and against marijuana know correct information. And that the people against marijuana don't think that 1. Marijuana leads you to harder drugs (it doesn't) 2. Marijuana is addictive (proven that it is not physically addicting. It can be emotionally addictive but LITERALY anything can be emotionally addictive and says more about the individual than about the object of addiction.) states/cities that have legalized marijuana and allowed it within city limits have enjoyed a downward trend in violent and general crime. As well as an increase in city tax revenue which goes a long way in a small town like Stanwood. Looking around at other cities, the allowance of medical or recreational marijuana shops within city limits does not inhibit buisnesses from moving into town.	3/6/2018 7:36 AM
63	support With our current problems with drugs in Stanwood, how can we put money ahead of our kids? I believe a shop would discourage our older citizens and families from shopping in Stanwood. Just look at the increase in crime involving pot shops. Not in our town! Take a stand!	3/6/2018 7:23 AM
64	oppose Please do not allow marijuana to be sold in this city.	3/6/2018 7:15 AM
65	oppose Humm let's see..a little bit of extra cash vs possible road 2 addicts for the rest of their lives?? No way. Why make it so easy for our youth. Wake up and be the first 2 say NO, not in our town.	3/6/2018 7:14 AM

66	oppose I would say this is a decision that should not be taken lightly. I can tell you from personal experience with all of the marijuana shops opening it is not something I feel the need to have to explain to my children ages range from 5 to 14. If the law is changed the name of the store should not be like most of the other names that are currently in business. For example the one that is on the island by the lumber yard is always something my youngest asks me about when we drive into the island.	3/6/2018 7:04 AM
67	oppose Why would we want in a business that does not have a positive effect on the citizens of this community?	3/6/2018 7:00 AM
68	support I travel a lot and Marijuana shops have only had positive impacts on communities it takes Marijuana off the streets and keeps it safely put away in homes	3/6/2018 6:58 AM
69	oppose please do not add to the growing drug problem in our community by allowing the sale of Marijuana. We should be decreasing the availability of drugs, not making them easier to access.	3/6/2018 6:46 AM
70	None	3/6/2018 6:44 AM
71	oppose Please make choices that consider what working families go through, and not just your tax revenues. Teens in Stanwood need better community support and investment. Not a green light on drug culture.	3/5/2018 10:54 PM
72	support I believe marijuana is a close comparison to alcohol. It can be used responsibly by most folks. It can also be abused. It should not be provided to minors.	3/5/2018 10:34 PM
73	support If alcohol is allowed to be sold within the city limits, then marijuana should be no different. It should be treated as any other business.	3/5/2018 10:31 PM
74	oppose It doesn't make sense to make something like marijuana so easily accessible to children who's minds are so young. It effects their brains long term.	3/5/2018 10:00 PM
75	support It would be good for the folks who can buy it so they don't have to commute long distances. It'll also help the city by contributing as it says in the question 10-20k per year which is always good right ?	3/5/2018 9:18 PM
76	oppose I would not feel safe shopping at the other local stores surrounding a "pot shop". Please keep drug use and the crime it brings out of our city.	3/5/2018 9:14 PM
77	support I love Stanwood I've lived in the same house for over 30 years and I believe that people are smart and intelligent enough to make decisions for themselves and the fact that this town could use the revenue from marijuana it definitely should be a least put on the ballot to open it up	3/5/2018 8:57 PM
78	None	3/5/2018 8:34 PM
79	oppose Adding a retail outlet to Stanwood, a city that has Regional and National recognition as an area with a meth problem is not in anyone's best interest.	3/5/2018 8:32 PM
80	support It would bring needed new business into our community...	3/5/2018 8:18 PM
81	No	3/5/2018 8:12 PM
82	oppose Please don't place a Marijuana shop in our sweet little town.	3/5/2018 3:52 PM
83	support People can get it on the island or in conway.	3/5/2018 1:36 PM
84	oppose Legalized marijuana for recreational use has been linked to an increase in pedestrian deaths. Please don't buy into the falsehood that what people do in private has no public consequences. We don't want recreational marijuana in our town. Thank you.	3/5/2018 1:08 PM
85	oppose I believe Nothing good can come from allowing a marijuana store in the City of Stanwood.	3/4/2018 10:56 PM
86	support It would help other business grow and the tax incentive will help the city improve	3/4/2018 1:24 PM
87	support How about concentrating on getting the sale of heroin policed and prosecuted along with understanding and acting to reduce suicide in school age kids. Those two actions would positively increase our population and tax base.	3/4/2018 11:11 AM
88	You should also allow a target or Fred Myer to come in.	3/4/2018 10:05 AM
89	support Revenue...MJ has come a long ways from where it used to be. Alcohol is far more dangerous than cannibis.	3/4/2018 9:46 AM

90	oppose Focus on making Stanwood a clean, middle class community. Pot stores do not have a positive image on a community and, in my opinion, make our community feel trashy. Stanwood did not want Walmart here for the same reason. Keep Stanwood as a symbol of class.	3/4/2018 6:55 AM
91	oppose The tax revenue of marijuana sales would not compensate for the loss of Stanwood's family friendliness	3/4/2018 6:37 AM
92	oppose With the pot shop on Camano I see no reason to have a shop in downtown Stanwood.	3/3/2018 4:11 PM
93	support I strongly believe that accepting the marijuana industry is a benefit to the social and economic development of stanwood	3/3/2018 4:00 PM
94	support This could be so beneficial for our community. There's nothing to fear from a damn plant. It does more good than not.	3/3/2018 11:51 AM
95	oppose I would be very disappointed to see Stanwood allow a recreational marijuana facility in his town. It would be a huge problem with our youth. Think of our future generations.	3/2/2018 12:41 PM
96	oppose I believe that allowing a marijuana shop in Stanwood would degrade the vision we have and long to keep for Stawood. May we generate taxes in a less destructive way. Thank you for all you do to keep Stanwood a safe and wonderful place to live and work.	3/2/2018 9:15 AM
97	oppose We love our little downtown. Adding a marijuana store would give that area a very different feel. As a Stanwood resident, I realize that the income would benefit my family, but I'd rather that our city offer less services and be a place where marijuana isn't upheld as a good option for recreation.	3/1/2018 8:53 PM
98	oppose Stanwood is a family town and would not be good to have this type of shop in our area.	3/1/2018 7:00 PM
99	support We use marijuana for pain relief. It's a hardship to go out of town to find it.	3/1/2018 4:51 PM
100	No no no!!	3/1/2018 4:24 PM
101	oppose Please don't allow this in our town. We need to bring business that are family friendly to our town. We want to create a welcoming beautiful city. Look at Viking Village for example... do you think the current Smoke Shop adds to our city? It looks like trash city!! Is that what you want more of? Please Please keep it classy Stanwood. Our kids deserve better.	3/1/2018 1:27 PM
102	oppose Please weigh the benefits of gaining tax revenue with the reality of Stanwood being a small, relatively isolate city with little recreation options. Marijuana could pose a greater risk for youth in this location that it might elsewhere, especially given the existing high risk of drug use by students. Thank you for allowing the community to weigh in on this important issue.	3/1/2018 12:28 PM
103	oppose I would be less concerned about a MJ shop going into Stanwood if it was less visable. If the city is determined to have a pot shop at least hide it away and not locate it in main street. What is this going to do to 'trick or treat main street" or June's car show or other street fairs held on main street. Stanwood is such a family friendly town and a normalize pot use and locate it next to family friendly restaurants and shops is an extremely bad idea.	3/1/2018 11:45 AM
104	oppose Many years ago I used marijuana and it is highly addictive; can easily be a gateway to stronger drugs; it is not "safe"!	3/1/2018 11:37 AM
105	oppose Please don't ruin our beautiful community for the sake of perceived financial gain (which won't be gain at all).	3/1/2018 11:33 AM
106	oppose Keep drugs out of our community! Keep it country and local! Please listen to your citizens. Larger towns like Marysville and Snohomish do not allow marijuana.	3/1/2018 11:04 AM
107	oppose The City of Stanwood Ordinance 1376 which banned marijuana processors, producers, and retailers in the City of Stanwood includes some of the best arguments why marijuana is bad for our town. The city's own document passed by the City Council and signed by Mayor Kelley less than 4 years ago is 12 pages of arguments against the very thing the Planning Commission and City Council are now considering and even promoting. When risk-reward is considered, legalizing marijuana sales in Stanwood is very high risk with very low reward. Marijuana has been well proven to have serious negative effects on society in general and individuals and families. Even if the city stood to gain a lot of revenue this would be a bad - but the fact that the potential revenue to the city is so small makes this terrible and even reckless.	3/1/2018 10:39 AM

108	oppose I listen to families express their love for this Small Town Community and the reason they moved here was to get away from the drugs and other issues in other cities. Do we allow something that will cause families to move away? Or do we keep families here by providing a Safe Healthy Friendly enviorment to raise their children? We can not risk our children or the health of our city for some silver coins.	3/1/2018 10:31 AM
109	oppose No marijuana in Stanwood!!!	3/1/2018 8:42 AM

Q1 What overall impact would a marijuana retail store have on Stanwood?

Answered: 188 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Significant negative impact	42.55%	80
Slight negative impact	5.32%	10
No impact	8.51%	16
Slight positive impact	12.23%	23
Significant positive impact	31.38%	59
TOTAL		188

Q2 What impact would a downtown marijuana retail store have on surrounding businesses?

Answered: 188 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Significant negative impact	38.30%	72
Slight negative impact	7.98%	15
No impact	17.55%	33
Slight positive impact	13.83%	26
Significant positive impact	22.34%	42
TOTAL		188

Q3 How would a marijuana retail store affect future businesses decisions to move into downtown Stanwood?

Answered: 188 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Significantly discourage	33.51%	63
Somewhat discourage	14.36%	27
No affect	23.94%	45
Somewhat encourage	14.36%	27
Significantly encourage	13.83%	26
TOTAL		188

Q10 How long have you lived in Stanwood?

Answered: 188 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
0 - 5 years	21.28%	40
6 - 10 years	15.43%	29
11 - 15 years	18.62%	35
More than 16 years	44.68%	84
I don't live in Stanwood	0.00%	0
TOTAL		188

Advisory Measure Regarding Marijuana-Related Business within the Stanwood City Limits

Should the Stanwood City Council enact an ordinance allowing producer, processor and retail marijuana-related businesses within the City limits of Stanwood and repeal Stanwood Municipal Code Sections which currently prohibit such businesses?

Yes
No

Explanatory Statement

Under Stanwood Municipal Code , the City of Stanwood currently prohibits marijuana-related businesses in the city limits. This ballot proposition is a non-binding advisory vote that allows residents to inform the Stanwood City Council whether they are for or against allowing marijuana-related businesses (including producers, processors and retailers) within the City of Stanwood. A "Yes" vote means the voter is in favor of marijuana-related businesses locating within the City of Stanwood A "No" vote means the voter is against marijuana-related businesses locating within the City of Stanwood The outcome of this advisory vote will provide input to the Stanwood City Council in its decision-making process to evaluate whether to modify the City's existing law, which prohibits marijuana-related businesses.

Argument For

n 2011, after almost a century of a failed drug policy, 54% of Washington voters said Enough!" and legalized, state regulated recreational cannabis for adults. We have he opportunity to welcome a stable local industry that will bring convenience to those vho can't drive out of town for cannabis. Statewide, this year will net over $400 nillion in excise tax and over a billion dollars in retail sales, and all of Stanwood portion is going to towns that surround us, instead of making Stanwood a better lace.

Cannabis is far safer than alcohol, and in states and countries where it is legal, use of opioids and other drugs have gone down significantly, including among teens. Every lollar that goes into legal cannabis, denies some portion to the cartels - who would happily sell heroin or meth to our kids.

On one of the busiest corners in Stanwood sits a storefront that was empty for years Nothing lowers property values ke empty store fronts! Help fight the opioid problem, capture tax revenue that is loing to other cities, and give us another reason to be proud of our beautiful town. Vote YES to allow local retail cannabis!

Argument Against

Stanwood currently has a ban on marijuana businesses. Over 70 cities in Washington including Mill Creek, Marysville, and Lynnwood do not feel marijuana operations would be an asset to their communities and have bans in place. We all li in Snohomish for the same reasons. Our city's reputation is built on our history, small-town charm, and tourism. Stanwood is a unique "gem" in this region and we work hard to preserve its character.

Upholding this ban does not limit access to legal marijuana. According to the Association of Washington Cities, 90% of the population live within 10 miles of a marijuana retailer. Shops already exist on our borders.

The ban in no way affects the rights of adults to use marijuana in their own homes. The ban does not keep medical marijuana cardholders from receiving tax exemptior or growing for personal use.

Lifting the ban would have negative social and economic consequences on both families and tourism. Washington and Colorado HIDTA Marijuana Impact Studies outline upward trends in many categories including teen use and marijuana-related traffic accidents and fatalities.

Lifting the ban could put a shop in your neighborhood. Stanwood has never developed interim zoning regulations for review of recreational marijuana license applications. Cities can actually reduce zoning buffers to as little as 100 feet around parks, libraries, recreational facilities and daycare centers.
Stanwood values its small-town character, excellent school district, and family-friendly neighborhoods. We do not experiment here. Vote No to pot shops.

City of Snohomish Advisory Proposition No. 1

Advisory Measure Regarding Marijuana-Related Business within the Snohomish City Limits

Should the Snohomish City Council enact an ordinance allowing producer, processor and retail marijuana-related businesses within the City limits of Snohomish and repeal Snohomish Municipal Code Sections which currently prohibit such businesses?

Yes
No

Explanatory Statement

Under Snohomish Municipal Code 14.207.065, the City of Snohomish currently prohibits marijuana-related businesses in the city limits. This ballot proposition is a non-binding advisory vote that allows residents to inform the Snohomish City Council whether they are for or against allowing marijuana-related businesses (including producers, processors and retailers) within the City of Snohomish. A "Yes" vote means the voter is in favor of marijuana-related businesses locating within the City of Snohomish. A "No" vote means the voter is against marijuana-related businesses locating within the City of Snohomish. The outcome of this advisory vote will provide input to the Snohomish City Council in its decision-making process to evaluate whether to modify the City's existing law, which prohibits marijuana-related businesses.

Argument For

In 2011, after almost a century of a failed drug policy, 54% of Washington voters said "Enough!" and legalized, state regulated recreational cannabis for adults. We have the opportunity to welcome a stable local industry that will bring convenience to those who can't drive out of town for cannabis. Statewide, this year will net over $400 million in excise tax and over a billion dollars in retail sales, and all of Snohomish's portion is going to towns that surround us, instead of making Snohomish a better place.

Cannabis is far safer than alcohol, and in states and countries where it is legal, use of opioids and other drugs have gone down significantly, including among teens. Every dollar that goes into legal cannabis, denies some portion to the cartels - who would happily sell heroin or meth to our kids.

On one of the busiest corners in Snohomish, sits a storefront that was empty for years before the current proposed retailer signed a lease. Nothing lowers property values like empty store fronts! Help fight the opioid problem, capture tax revenue that is going to other cities, and give us another reason to be proud of our beautiful town. Vote YES to allow local retail cannabis!

Statement prepared by Christian Funk, Rick Walker, and Christopher Bingham

Argument Against

Snohomish currently has a ban on marijuana businesses. Over 70 cities in Washington including Mill Creek, Marysville, and Lynnwood do not feel marijuana operations would be an asset to their communities and have bans in place. We all live in Snohomish for the same reasons. Our city's reputation is built on our history, small-town charm, and tourism. Snohomish is a unique "gem" in this region and we work hard to preserve its character.

Upholding this ban does not limit access to legal marijuana. According to the Association of Washington Cities, 90% of the population live within 10 miles of a marijuana retailer. Shops already exist on our borders.

The ban in no way affects the rights of adults to use marijuana in their own homes. The ban does not keep medical marijuana cardholders from receiving tax exemptions or growing for personal use.

Lifting the ban would have negative social and economic consequences on both families and tourism. Washington and Colorado HIDTA Marijuana Impact Studies outline upward trends in many categories including teen use and marijuana-related traffic accidents and fatalities.

Lifting the ban could put a shop in your neighborhood. Snohomish has never developed interim zoning regulations for review of recreational marijuana license applications. Cities can actually reduce zoning buffers to as little as 100 feet around parks, libraries, recreational facilities and daycare centers.
Snohomish values its small-town character, excellent school district, and family-friendly neighborhoods. We do not experiment here. Vote No to pot shops.

Statement prepared by Susan Bjorling, Mitch Cornelison, and Angela Shattuck

Rebuttal to Argument For

Retail Marijuana is still an experiment that does not fit the character or values of Snohomish. A neighborhood marijuana shop will never increase your homes' property value, draw tourism to the Historic District, or solve the Opioid Epidemic. Cities receive minimal revenue, not even enough to cover enforcement demands. Convenience is not an issue as it is already just outside our boundaries.

In whose eyes would a pot shop make for a more beautiful Snohomish?

Statement prepared by Susan Bjorling, Mitch Cornelison, and Angela Shattuck

Rebuttal to Argument Against

"Small town character" should mean we are as proud of our cannabis retailers as we are of our restaurants, antique shops and local breweries. We should not force our neighbors, many immobile or disabled, to shop out of town because some fear the sky falling. Countries with decades of research and experience regulating legal cannabis, prove it's positive effect on tourism, culture and economy. Vote YES to lift the ban on cannabis retailers in Snohomish.

Statement prepared by Christian Funk, Rick Walker, and Christopher Bingham

Q14 INFO: Only survey results with full name and address will analyzed and submitted to the Planning Committee

Answered: 188 Skipped: 0

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
First and Last Name	100.00%	188
Company	0.00%	0
Address	100.00%	188
Address 2	0.00%	0
City/Town	100.00%	188
State/Province	0.00%	0
ZIP/Postal Code	0.00%	0
Country	0.00%	0
Email Address	3.72%	7
Phone Number	0.00%	0

#	FIRST AND LAST NAME	DATE
1	Carrie Miller	3/11/2018 12:12 AM
2	Crystal Dunn	3/10/2018 7:34 PM
3	Matt Kallicott	3/10/2018 6:06 PM
4	Steve Bradshaw	3/10/2018 4:48 AM
5	Justin Burns	3/9/2018 7:27 PM
6	David Chamberlain	3/9/2018 1:37 PM
7	Joy Yosting	3/9/2018 12:51 PM
8	Ellen Hiatt	3/9/2018 12:30 PM
9	Jenn barstad	3/9/2018 9:56 AM
10	Brent Garner	3/9/2018 7:36 AM
11	Nathanial king	3/9/2018 7:23 AM
12	Annie Stein	3/9/2018 6:12 AM
13	Robert Marion	3/9/2018 6:03 AM
14	Nathaniel Hinman	3/9/2018 6:01 AM
15	jerry mcgrath	3/9/2018 4:03 AM
16	Steven Whittlesey	3/9/2018 1:04 AM
17	Ron Oman	3/8/2018 11:51 PM
18	James Mailloux	3/8/2018 11:40 PM
19	Catherine	3/8/2018 8:53 PM
20	Roma Mugleston	3/8/2018 7:57 PM
21	Kris johnson	3/8/2018 7:48 PM

22	Ian White	3/8/2018 7:33 PM
23	Brenda Frank	3/8/2018 7:10 PM
24	Darby Hepper	3/8/2018 2:54 PM
25	George Zeigen	3/8/2018 2:33 PM
26	Lori morsk	3/8/2018 10:10 AM
27	Maggiy fox	3/8/2018 8:45 AM
28	Ann peterson	3/8/2018 7:44 AM
29	Joelle klesick	3/8/2018 7:02 AM
30	Derril Burdge	3/8/2018 4:52 AM
31	Stuart Westercamp	3/8/2018 3:13 AM
32	Chandler Guitron	3/8/2018 12:37 AM
33	Donna Timm	3/7/2018 9:07 PM
34	Stella Hutson	3/7/2018 8:10 PM
35	Rachael Wesley	3/7/2018 7:37 PM
36	Tricia Winston	3/7/2018 7:32 PM
37	Seth Strong	3/7/2018 7:17 PM
38	Peggy Costley	3/7/2018 3:24 PM
39	Shalome Wilson	3/7/2018 1:43 PM
40	Christy moody	3/7/2018 12:58 PM
41	Leti Robledo	3/7/2018 12:40 PM
42	Katherine Stoddard	3/7/2018 11:45 AM
43	kt	3/7/2018 11:44 AM
44	Laura Polnasek	3/7/2018 10:46 AM
45	Imari Curry	3/7/2018 9:53 AM
46	Chrissa Berggren	3/7/2018 9:11 AM
47	Timm Beeman	3/7/2018 8:25 AM
48	Stephanie Barker	3/7/2018 7:57 AM
49	Marilynn Myers	3/7/2018 6:26 AM
50	Chris nerland	3/7/2018 5:24 AM
51	STEVEN ELLIS	3/7/2018 12:31 AM
52	David Zogg	3/6/2018 10:44 PM
53	Greg Smith	3/6/2018 10:33 PM
54	William Longdon	3/6/2018 10:14 PM
55	Sam Nicholas	3/6/2018 9:57 PM
56	Martha Lopez	3/6/2018 8:58 PM
57	Mrs. VanLier	3/6/2018 7:00 PM
58	Kyle Eldridge	3/6/2018 6:59 PM
59	S Hurd	3/6/2018 6:58 PM
60	Grennell	3/6/2018 6:40 PM
61	Zach langdalen	3/6/2018 5:17 PM
62	Autumn	3/6/2018 5:07 PM

63	Jodi Ronning	3/6/2018 5:06 PM
64	Sarah LeMaster	3/6/2018 3:16 PM
65	Megan Dascher Watkins	3/6/2018 3:02 PM
66	Christy Buhr	3/6/2018 3:01 PM
67	Braeden Crain	3/6/2018 1:55 PM
68	Raechel Morera	3/6/2018 1:13 PM
69	Breanne Desmarais	3/6/2018 1:11 PM
70	Nikol Varela	3/6/2018 1:04 PM
71	Krista McNees	3/6/2018 12:45 PM
72	Robert Gamble	3/6/2018 11:09 AM
73	Vickie Nelson	3/6/2018 11:07 AM
74	Candis Zinicola	3/6/2018 10:17 AM
75	Sherl McKnight	3/6/2018 9:58 AM
76	Chelsey Caskey	3/6/2018 9:58 AM
77	Jazemine Lee	3/6/2018 9:46 AM
78	Angelia Boyer	3/6/2018 9:14 AM
79	Ashley Miller	3/6/2018 9:08 AM
80	Carol Covert	3/6/2018 8:44 AM
81	Melissa Bork	3/6/2018 8:44 AM
82	Christa Long	3/6/2018 8:32 AM
83	Summer myers	3/6/2018 8:29 AM
84	Kristine M Harris	3/6/2018 8:26 AM
85	Les	3/6/2018 8:08 AM
86	Jessica	3/6/2018 8:07 AM
87	Devin Payne	3/6/2018 7:59 AM
88	S Morris	3/6/2018 7:58 AM
89	Chelsea Livingston	3/6/2018 7:55 AM
90	Marna Steinborn	3/6/2018 7:52 AM
91	Chantel Keller	3/6/2018 7:49 AM
92	Jason Wallawine	3/6/2018 7:49 AM
93	Kay Norman	3/6/2018 7:48 AM
94	Tanya dobbelaar	3/6/2018 7:43 AM
95	Morgan Browning	3/6/2018 7:38 AM
96	Eric Warnat	3/6/2018 7:37 AM
97	Chris Gomez	3/6/2018 7:36 AM
98	Angie D	3/6/2018 7:34 AM
99	Gwen Jordan	3/6/2018 7:27 AM
100	Deborah Hepper	3/6/2018 7:23 AM
101	Jennifer Cook	3/6/2018 7:15 AM
102	Carol Martinez	3/6/2018 7:14 AM
103	Nate Wit	3/6/2018 7:04 AM

104	Verona Montgomery	3/6/2018 7:00 AM
105	anon ymous	3/6/2018 6:58 AM
106	Staci Jones	3/6/2018 6:56 AM
107	Adrianna McDowell	3/6/2018 6:46 AM
108	Shannon Gronning	3/6/2018 6:44 AM
109	Jeffrey Hendrickson	3/6/2018 5:22 AM
110	Carrie martin	3/6/2018 3:27 AM
111	Kristen Boggs	3/6/2018 2:01 AM
112	Julie Schultz	3/6/2018 12:33 AM
113	Amanda Munson	3/5/2018 10:54 PM
114	Supposed 2b Anonymous?	3/5/2018 10:34 PM
115	Jeremy Miller	3/5/2018 10:31 PM
116	Laura Howe	3/5/2018 10:00 PM
117	Jessica Berglund	3/5/2018 9:47 PM
118	Kimberly Acuff	3/5/2018 9:46 PM
119	Mina Bertels	3/5/2018 9:46 PM
120	Alexander Avalos	3/5/2018 9:18 PM
121	Victoria Morris	3/5/2018 9:14 PM
122	Seth feingold	3/5/2018 8:57 PM
123	Lara Stephens	3/5/2018 8:51 PM
124	Garret jewell	3/5/2018 8:41 PM
125	Amanda Anderson	3/5/2018 8:34 PM
126	Adam Stevens	3/5/2018 8:32 PM
127	Rico Trifone	3/5/2018 8:18 PM
128	Evan Shaw	3/5/2018 8:12 PM
129	Jordan Shuckerow	3/5/2018 8:04 PM
130	Courtney	3/5/2018 7:28 PM
131	Peggy Kitting	3/5/2018 3:52 PM
132	julie pearce	3/5/2018 1:36 PM
133	Jeffrey D. Pearce	3/5/2018 1:08 PM
134	Emily weasner	3/5/2018 7:12 AM
135	Heather Lawler	3/5/2018 5:03 AM
136	Barbara McNaughton	3/4/2018 10:56 PM
137	Cheyenne Allen-Hinds	3/4/2018 9:31 PM
138	Chelsie	3/4/2018 7:13 PM
139	Alec stensaa	3/4/2018 5:47 PM
140	Charlene Cairus	3/4/2018 2:51 PM
141	Nate	3/4/2018 2:41 PM
142	Spencer stump	3/4/2018 1:24 PM
143	Harvey W. Stackhouse	3/4/2018 11:11 AM
144	Sara Price	3/4/2018 10:05 AM

145	Stephen Shows	3/4/2018 9:46 AM
146	Phil Johnson	3/4/2018 6:55 AM
147	Eleanor Guderian	3/4/2018 6:37 AM
148	Naomi	3/3/2018 9:40 PM
149	Jeremy Weaver	3/3/2018 6:48 PM
150	Sarah D	3/3/2018 5:58 PM
151	Kellson	3/3/2018 5:12 PM
152	Jennifer Meekins	3/3/2018 4:11 PM
153	Michael Roberts	3/3/2018 4:00 PM
154	Khodey dayphis	3/3/2018 3:48 PM
155	Anonymous	3/3/2018 11:51 AM
156	Sean Ferrill	3/3/2018 8:07 AM
157	Lisa Bates	3/2/2018 2:14 PM
158	Jennifer F	3/2/2018 12:41 PM
159	Margaret DuPree	3/2/2018 9:15 AM
160	Irene Lyford	3/2/2018 8:02 AM
161	Joy Fackenthall	3/2/2018 7:52 AM
162	Wade Grant	3/2/2018 7:46 AM
163	Abby Thompson	3/2/2018 7:30 AM
164	Tim Poetzl	3/2/2018 5:30 AM
165	Annie and Chris Maier	3/1/2018 11:41 PM
166	Abigail Nelson	3/1/2018 8:53 PM
167	Sarah Cookston	3/1/2018 8:43 PM
168	Leslie hill	3/1/2018 7:20 PM
169	Lisa Self	3/1/2018 7:00 PM
170	David Herrera	3/1/2018 6:22 PM
171	Melissa Girard	3/1/2018 5:19 PM
172	Sharon Bartlett	3/1/2018 4:51 PM
173	Dan Mattson	3/1/2018 4:24 PM
174	Annie Bowden	3/1/2018 3:06 PM
175	Linda Schmitt	3/1/2018 1:52 PM
176	Claire Hansen	3/1/2018 1:30 PM
177	Marybeth Sande	3/1/2018 1:27 PM
178	Ryan Ovenell	3/1/2018 1:11 PM
179	Matt Daniels	3/1/2018 1:10 PM
180	Siri M.	3/1/2018 12:28 PM
181	Alicia Stadler	3/1/2018 11:57 AM
182	A concerned citizen	3/1/2018 11:45 AM
183	Kim Johnson	3/1/2018 11:37 AM
184	Mike Satterfield	3/1/2018 11:33 AM
185	Dave Hall	3/1/2018 11:04 AM

186	Cliff Grant	3/1/2018 10:39 AM
187	Dottie Gorsuch	3/1/2018 10:31 AM
188	Nancy Hansen	3/1/2018 8:42 AM

#	COMPANY	DATE
	There are no responses.	

#	ADDRESS	DATE
1	17311 w lake Goodwin rd	3/11/2018 12:12 AM
2	8304 272nd St. NW	3/10/2018 7:34 PM
3	3310 158th PL NW	3/10/2018 6:06 PM
4	6014 183rd St NW	3/10/2018 4:48 AM
5	9511 Dettling Rd.	3/9/2018 7:27 PM
6	6931 281st 'll nw	3/9/2018 1:37 PM
7	31525 Brandstrom Road	3/9/2018 12:51 PM
8	7006 179th PL NW	3/9/2018 12:30 PM
9	27710 69th Ave Nw	3/9/2018 9:56 AM
10	7006 179th place nw	3/9/2018 7:36 AM
11	9739 272nd pl nw	3/9/2018 7:23 AM
12	P>O> box 782	3/9/2018 6:12 AM
13	7927 263rd Place NW	3/9/2018 6:03 AM
14	8430 Thomle Rd	3/9/2018 6:01 AM
15	7232 happy hollow road	3/9/2018 4:03 AM
16	16915 74th are nw	3/9/2018 1:04 AM
17	5627 182nd St NW	3/8/2018 11:51 PM
18	19024 Clarence Ave	3/8/2018 11:40 PM
19	Fletcher	3/8/2018 8:53 PM
20	2207 254th St NW	3/8/2018 7:57 PM
21	7237 262 nd St nw	3/8/2018 7:48 PM
22	9827 270th st Nw	3/8/2018 7:33 PM
23	P.O. box 1200	3/8/2018 7:10 PM
24	8733 176th St. NW	3/8/2018 2:54 PM
25	26327 64th ave.n.w.	3/8/2018 2:33 PM
26	9218 176 th st	3/8/2018 10:10 AM
27	26031 72th. Nw	3/8/2018 8:45 AM
28	6720 happy hollow road	3/8/2018 7:44 AM
29	24101 Miller road	3/8/2018 7:02 AM
30	26031 72nd AVE NW. J-143	3/8/2018 4:52 AM
31	8127 300th St Nw	3/8/2018 3:13 AM
32	20806 Marine dr	3/8/2018 12:37 AM
33	20505 Marine Drive #48	3/7/2018 9:07 PM
34	27131 104th Dr NW	3/7/2018 8:10 PM
35	Po box 1234	3/7/2018 7:37 PM

36	6810 174th st. NW	3/7/2018 7:32 PM
37	27302 83rd Ave NW	3/7/2018 7:17 PM
38	14309 44th Dr NW	3/7/2018 3:24 PM
39	31511 64th Ave NW	3/7/2018 1:43 PM
40	20906 frank waters rd	3/7/2018 12:58 PM
41	6932 281st Pl NW	3/7/2018 12:40 PM
42	Po Box 1057	3/7/2018 11:45 AM
43	Stanwood	3/7/2018 11:44 AM
44	28510 75th Dr NW	3/7/2018 10:46 AM
45	26031 72nd Ave #D217	3/7/2018 9:53 AM
46	6001 319th St NW	3/7/2018 9:11 AM
47	Marine Drive	3/7/2018 8:25 AM
48	29620 old 99 n	3/7/2018 7:57 AM
49	27420 Churchcreek Loop N.W.	3/7/2018 6:26 AM
50	2515 286th st nw	3/7/2018 5:24 AM
51	29025 3rd Ave NE	3/7/2018 12:31 AM
52	123 300th Street	3/6/2018 10:44 PM
53	PO Box 1630	3/6/2018 10:33 PM
54	30808 52nd Ave. NW	3/6/2018 10:14 PM
55	PO Box 580	3/6/2018 9:57 PM
56	27232 100th AVE NW	3/6/2018 8:58 PM
57	32215 76th Ave NW	3/6/2018 7:00 PM
58	28819 3rd AVE NE	3/6/2018 6:59 PM
59	P.O. Box 993	3/6/2018 6:58 PM
60	29101 68th Ave nw	3/6/2018 6:40 PM
61	18402 40 th ave nw	3/6/2018 5:17 PM
62	Schley	3/6/2018 5:07 PM
63	14300 Frans Dr.	3/6/2018 5:06 PM
64	7238 286th PL NW	3/6/2018 3:16 PM
65	2424 Pioneer highway	3/6/2018 3:02 PM
66	28625 75th Dr NW	3/6/2018 3:01 PM
67	27202 Manor Pl. NW	3/6/2018 1:55 PM
68	9320 Thomle Rd.	3/6/2018 1:13 PM
69	P.o. box 1196	3/6/2018 1:11 PM
70	PO Box 1210	3/6/2018 1:04 PM
71	8629 154th Pl NW	3/6/2018 12:45 PM
72	29 248th pl sw	3/6/2018 11:09 AM
73	15405 w lake goodwin rd	3/6/2018 11:07 AM
74	25917 36th ave nw	3/6/2018 10:17 AM
75	4424 268th St NW	3/6/2018 9:58 AM
76	6908 283rd Pl NW	3/6/2018 9:58 AM

77	27500 Country Place	3/6/2018 9:46 AM
78	30019 36th Avenue NW	3/6/2018 9:14 AM
79	27301 104th Dr N	3/6/2018 9:08 AM
80	27832 85th Dr nw	3/6/2018 8:44 AM
81	8020 274th ST NW	3/6/2018 8:44 AM
82	26901 old hwy 99	3/6/2018 8:32 AM
83	P O box 2590	3/6/2018 8:29 AM
84	10025 271st St. Nw	3/6/2018 8:26 AM
85	Anderson	3/6/2018 8:08 AM
86	Landsiedel	3/6/2018 8:07 AM
87	15831 30th ave nw	3/6/2018 7:59 AM
88	8317 Park Drive	3/6/2018 7:58 AM
89	26619 pioneer hwy	3/6/2018 7:55 AM
90	7109 277th pl nw	3/6/2018 7:52 AM
91	8715 271st Street nw	3/6/2018 7:49 AM
92	8311 188th St NW	3/6/2018 7:49 AM
93	28525 70th Drive NW	3/6/2018 7:48 AM
94	8222 319th Street nw	3/6/2018 7:43 AM
95	7524 208th pl nw	3/6/2018 7:38 AM
96	28116 67th Ave NW	3/6/2018 7:37 AM
97	7647 274th st nw	3/6/2018 7:36 AM
98	27218 Woodland rd	3/6/2018 7:34 AM
99	27807 73rd Dr NW	3/6/2018 7:27 AM
100	8733 176 th st nw	3/6/2018 7:23 AM
101	7803 265th ST NW	3/6/2018 7:15 AM
102	Po box 1672	3/6/2018 7:14 AM
103	26031 72nd Ave NW	3/6/2018 7:04 AM
104	28336 71st Dr N W	3/6/2018 7:00 AM
105	1234 anonymous	3/6/2018 6:58 AM
106	5528a Lakewood rd	3/6/2018 6:56 AM
107	18927 Soundview Dr NW	3/6/2018 6:46 AM
108	3305 280th St NW	3/6/2018 6:44 AM
109	Church Creek estates	3/6/2018 5:22 AM
110	9724 272nd pl nw	3/6/2018 3:27 AM
111	7917 276th st nw	3/6/2018 2:01 AM
112	27209 102nd Dr NW	3/6/2018 12:33 AM
113	28603 74th DrNW	3/5/2018 10:54 PM
114	123 No Thanks	3/5/2018 10:34 PM
115	3615 Ceaderhome Dr	3/5/2018 10:31 PM
116	27625 73rd Ave nw	3/5/2018 10:00 PM
117	15212 70th AVE NW	3/5/2018 9:47 PM

118	30011 76th AVE NW	3/5/2018 9:46 PM
119	32602 44th Ave NW	3/5/2018 9:46 PM
120	31332 68th Ave NW	3/5/2018 9:18 PM
121	7318 Centerville Ct Unit 303	3/5/2018 9:14 PM
122	30222 68th Ave. NW.	3/5/2018 8:57 PM
123	7720 Stauffer	3/5/2018 8:51 PM
124	16229 89th ave nw	3/5/2018 8:41 PM
125	28018 Old Pacific Hwy	3/5/2018 8:34 PM
126	19313 22ave nw	3/5/2018 8:32 PM
127	27011 81st dr nw	3/5/2018 8:18 PM
128	28010 82nd Dr NW	3/5/2018 8:12 PM
129	5124 300th NW	3/5/2018 8:04 PM
130	Kelley	3/5/2018 7:28 PM
131	7229 286th PL NW	3/5/2018 3:52 PM
132	29203 64th ave nw	3/5/2018 1:36 PM
133	PO Box 602	3/5/2018 1:08 PM
134	8021 Olympic view place	3/5/2018 7:12 AM
135	19121 40th Ave NW	3/5/2018 5:03 AM
136	PO Box 696	3/4/2018 10:56 PM
137	26031 72nd ave nw	3/4/2018 9:31 PM
138	27309 104th dr	3/4/2018 7:13 PM
139	27429 102nd ave nw	3/4/2018 5:47 PM
140	Old 99 n	3/4/2018 2:51 PM
141	Harrigan	3/4/2018 2:41 PM
142	7216 286th Pl Nw	3/4/2018 1:24 PM
143	P O Box 398	3/4/2018 11:11 AM
144	27512 76th dr N.W	3/4/2018 10:05 AM
145	281st pl nw	3/4/2018 9:46 AM
146	8332 198th street NW	3/4/2018 6:55 AM
147	6104 284th St NW	3/4/2018 6:37 AM
148	8304 272nd St NW	3/3/2018 9:40 PM
149	2316 255th st NW	3/3/2018 6:48 PM
150	18804 75th Ave Nw	3/3/2018 5:58 PM
151	Noneya	3/3/2018 5:12 PM
152	28515 75th Dr NW	3/3/2018 4:11 PM
153	27003 103rd DR NW	3/3/2018 4:00 PM
154	Church creek	3/3/2018 3:48 PM
155	PO Box	3/3/2018 11:51 AM
156	2814 152nd Pl NW	3/3/2018 8:07 AM
157	9315 184th St, NW	3/2/2018 2:14 PM
158	Po box 966	3/2/2018 12:41 PM

159	28324 68th Ave NW	3/2/2018 9:15 AM
160	27928 80th Ave NW	3/2/2018 8:02 AM
161	6019 Jensen Rd	3/2/2018 7:52 AM
162	8201 283rd ST. NW	3/2/2018 7:46 AM
163	7802 Port Susan Place	3/2/2018 7:30 AM
164	28448 71st dr nw	3/2/2018 5:30 AM
165	5805 Jensen rd	3/1/2018 11:41 PM
166	6824 278th ST NW	3/1/2018 8:53 PM
167	28420 Nordic way	3/1/2018 8:43 PM
168	6909 287th pl.	3/1/2018 7:20 PM
169	29300 68th ave nw	3/1/2018 7:00 PM
170	27608 73rd Ave NW	3/1/2018 6:22 PM
171	7141 288th St NW	3/1/2018 5:19 PM
172	26925 81st Dr NW	3/1/2018 4:51 PM
173	27903 69th ave nw	3/1/2018 4:24 PM
174	29017 64th AVE NW	3/1/2018 3:06 PM
175	P.O. Box 303	3/1/2018 1:52 PM
176	28714 75th dr NW	3/1/2018 1:30 PM
177	9205 201st Street NW	3/1/2018 1:27 PM
178	27920 44th Ave. NW	3/1/2018 1:11 PM
179	7918 263rd Place NW	3/1/2018 1:10 PM
180	Jensen RD.	3/1/2018 12:28 PM
181	3204 256th Street NW	3/1/2018 11:57 AM
182	Klyie Park neighborhood	3/1/2018 11:45 AM
183	32417 80th DR NW	3/1/2018 11:37 AM
184	30400 15th Avenue Ne	3/1/2018 11:33 AM
185	18702 98th Ave Nw	3/1/2018 11:04 AM
186	PO Box 2573	3/1/2018 10:39 AM
187	27519 80th DR NW	3/1/2018 10:31 AM
188	28714 75th Dr NW	3/1/2018 8:42 AM

#	ADDRESS 2	DATE
	There are no responses.	

#	CITY/TOWN	DATE
1	Stanwood	3/11/2018 12:12 AM
2	Stanwood	3/10/2018 7:34 PM
3	Stanwood	3/10/2018 6:06 PM
4	Stanwood	3/10/2018 4:48 AM
5	Stanwood	3/9/2018 7:27 PM
6	Stanwood	3/9/2018 1:37 PM
7	Stanwood	3/9/2018 12:51 PM
8	Stanwood	3/9/2018 12:30 PM

March 13, 2018

To: Stanwood Community Development and Economic Development Committee

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments and thank you for providing an open and fair process to determine what's best for the whole of our community. I have lived in Stanwood with my wife and three sons for the past 14 years.

I have a comment regarding zoning for retail marijuana shops in Stanwood.

The March 8, 2018 Community Development Staff Report on "New Recreational Marijuana Rules" included the following under Other Topics:

> "Staff is suggesting... to allow retail use within the Mainstreet Business I and II and in the General Commercial zones."

Additionally, it was noted by staff that:

> "some cities are allowing for the retail use within industrial zones and NOT allowing them within any of their retail zones."

I didn't search every city, but I could find only one nearby Washington city (Everett) that allows retail marijuana shops in downtown retail zones. It appears that the vast majority of Washington cities limit retail shops to industrial and commercial zones and do NOT allow them in downtown retail zones.

A few examples of nearby cities that do NOT allow retail marijuana shops in downtown retail zones include:

Mount Vernon	Limited to: Commercial & Limited Industrial
Burlington	Limited to: Industrial
Anacortes	Limited to: Light Manufacturing
Arlington	Limited to: General Commercial & Highway Commercial
Lake Stevens	Limited to: Light Industrial, General Industrial, & Commercial
Monroe	Limited to: General Commercial & Service Commercial
Oak Harbor	Limited to: Commercial & Industrial
Bellingham	Limited to: Commercial & Industrial

The one exception I could find is Everett, which has many different zones and allows retail marijuana shops in some retail zones. Although, there are many parks, schools, etc. that keep them out of many retail areas for that reason:

Everett	Limited to: Community Business, Central Business District, Broadway Mixed Use, Commercial, Evergreen Way, Heavy Manufacturing

Also, we should all keep in mind that opposing retail marijuana sales in our city is not an extreme point of view. Currently 77 Washington cities (about 1/3) do not allow any marijuana retail shops. These include: Marysville, Snohomish, Lynnwood, Mill Creek, Woodinville, Poulsbo, Lynden, Kent, SeaTac, Federal Way, Gig Harbor, Bonney Lake, Puyallup, Lakewood, Richland, Pasco, Kennewick, Leavenworth, Chelan, and many others.

I would like to propose that if retail marijuana shops are allowed in Stanwood, then we limit those shops to only General Commercial (GC) and General Industrial (GI) and NOT allow retail shops in Mainstreet Business I (MB-I) or in Mainstreet Business II (MB-II).

I think it would be obvious to almost everyone that the reasoning for the 1,000-ft buffer between marijuana shops and schools, playgrounds, recreation centers, child care centers, public parks, public transit centers, libraries, and arcades is that marijuana sales should not be happening in areas that are frequented by children. The state doesn't include downtown retail areas in the buffer zone list, but it's clear that many cities believe that those areas should also be restricted. It just makes sense – if marijuana shops shouldn't be next-door to a library or park, then they shouldn't be next-door to a downtown cupcake shop. Downtown Stanwood is a hub and gathering place for our city – we have parades, events, farmers market, glass quest, car shows, art festivals, holiday decorations and activities, shopping, restaurants, cafes – downtown attracts people of all ages!

Limiting marijuana retail shops to General Commercial and General Industrial provides the following advantages:

1) It is more consistent with Stanwood's Comprehensive Plan and Downtown Plan.
2) It is more consistent with Stanwood keeping its "small town charm."
3) It addresses the concerns about marijuana being "normalized" among children and youth.
4) It better honors the spirit of the 1,000-foot buffer zones to keep marijuana sales far away from areas frequented by children and youth.
5) It would still provide local access to medical marijuana.
6) It would still provide local access to recreational marijuana.
7) It would still provide the same tax revenue to the city.

I realize there are strong feelings on both sides of this issue, but I believe there can be a compromise that addresses the concerns of both sides. This is a great opportunity to come together and agree where we can agree – and do what's best for the whole of our community.

Respectfully submitted.

Cliff Grant
8201 283rd St NW
Stanwood, WA

Retail Marijuana Options for Stanwood	1 Retail marijuana limited to Commercial & Industrial	2 Retail marijuana limited to Mainstreet & Commercial	3 Retail marijuana NOT allowed
Consistent with Stanwood's Comprehensive Plan	◖		●
Consistent with Stanwood keeping its "small town charm"	◖		●
Addresses concerns about marijuana being "normalized" among children and youth	◖		●
Honors the spirit of the 1,000-ft buffer zones to keep marijuana sales far away from areas frequented by children and youth	◖		●
Consistent with other WA cities that allow retail marijuana	●		
Provides local access to medical marijuana	●	●	
Provides local access to recreational marijuana	●	●	
Provides revenue source to Stanwood	●	●	

Sources:

Municipal Research and Services Center (MRSC)
http://mrsc.org/Home/Explore-Topics/Legal/Regulation/Marijuana-Regulation-in-Washington-State.aspx#local-zoning-approaches

Mount Vernon
Commercial-Limited Industrial
http://mrsc.org/getmedia/11850ec8-99b3-4bf3-8ec6-80d34998e6dd/m68o3689.aspx

Burlington
M1-Industrial
http://mrsc.org/getmedia/2deba4ce-6b94-4017-937c-8f32e3b537c5/b87o1788.aspx

Anacortes
Light Manufacturing
http://mrsc.org/getmedia/12f10685-ca76-4113-ac45-6f121b2d9602/a5o2925.aspx

Arlington
GC-General Commercial, HC-Highway Commercial
http://mrsc.org/getmedia/b17b5e07-ad34-41c1-abb3-e44d00ee284b/a7o2014-015.pdf.aspx

Lake Stevens
Light Industrial, General Industrial, Commercial
http://mrsc.org/getmedia/6d1fc0ae-6b9d-4932-8578-fdbfcd08a1e0/l36o908.pdf.aspx

Monroe
General Commercial, Service Commercial
http://mrsc.org/getmedia/9000d22b-886c-4e1f-b02b-6cdfea836f1a/m61o009-2014.aspx

Vancouver
General Commercial, Community Commercial
http://www.cityofvancouver.us/sites/default/files/fileattachments/vmc/titles_chapters/20.884.pdf

Oak Harbor
Commercial, Industrial
http://mrsc.org/getmedia/f22b582e-e40b-4b3a-a070-2d78e6b98a8d/o24o1773.aspx

Bellingham
Industrial, Commercial
http://mrsc.org/getmedia/395ac3ad-543d-4635-bd5e-52b8123cbbcf/b45o2016-08-025.aspx

Everett
Community Business, Central Business District, Broadway Mixed Use, Commercial, Evergreen Way, Heavy Manufacturing
http://mrsc.org/getmedia/fdef2f03-2a8d-40df-9489-128b3239e31a/e9o3443.pdf.aspx

Ryan C. Larsen

From: Aleph Fackenthall <aleph.fackenthall@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 13, 2018 2:28 PM
To: Ryan C. Larsen; Devin M Sola
Subject: Letter I read yesterday evening.

Hello Ryan,
Can you forward this letter to the Planning Committee in case they had any questions about what I read yesterday evening.
Thanks,
aleph

First I wanted to say that I appreciate all of the effort that Ryan and team has put into crafting the proposed marijuana ordinances. It is clear that the city wants to have good control over where and how a shop could be operated, unlike Arlington.

After the last meeting, the opponents left feeling as if the council was going to try sneak this vote past the Stanwood Citizens, just weeks after being sworn in. We felt that the majority of Stanwood citizens had similar views to our own and that the City Council was doing this process on the down low so as to pass this before the public had a chance to react. We decided to put an online survey together to see if our community had similar views about marijuana sales. In the first week over 600 took the pole. Of those, nearly 200 were unique individuals, had valid Stanwood addresses and wanted to be on the record.

When the survey results were initially shared with me, they were strongly in opposition to retail sales as we had hoped. However as time progressed and as more supporters "liked" and "shared," the tide was turned. What stands out is over 77 percent of those polled said this question should be put to the vote of the citizens.

It was of interest to us to look at the opinions of the nearly 200 who wanted to see a ballot vote on this issue. Of those who wanted this issue taken to the polls, 45% thought a retail store would have a negative effect on current or future businesses and on Stanwood as a whole. It is impressive that in such a short time 95 people, on the record, would publicly oppose this change. Political races in Stanwood have been won (or lost) by far fewer votes.

It feels like the Council is trying to sneak this past the citizens and that feels wrong on many levels. This is a controversial issue -- for the health of our city and the honor and reputation of our council members -- this issue should be a vote of the people.

I recently went to the SHS drivers-ed presentation where we heard from a SHS alumni Ryan Otero. He spoke to us from his wheelchair and explained how, unbeknownst to him, the driver of the car he was in had been smoking marijuana. He crashed. The driver was killed and Ryan was in a coma for 8 days and is now paralyzed for life. In the same presentation Ryan's mom said that medical bills have topped $2.5 million since the accident happened. In another incident this week three more were killed in Seattle by someone high on marijuana.

Retail sales of marijuana is not a necessity for Stanwood and provides a point of entry for a controlled substance that carries many added costs which can easily neutralize the expected tax revenue benefits. It's unfortunate that another tragedy like Ryan's will likely happen again somewhere in our state of Washington. But why let it originate here in our town? If it were to happen because of a sale from Stanwood, let alone to one of Stanwood's own, the opponents would be all over the council for changing the law against their perceived will of the citizens.

It isn't a rational argument but it could cause council members some stigma and have potential future political ramifications. I think it showed prudence, and possibly self preservation, that, when facing a similar decision, the Snohomish City Council opted for an advisory vote of its citizens. I think the Planning Commission should consider giving a similar recommendation to our City Council.

Reading the survey results and their comments was impactful. You have a copy of the nearly 100 comments of opponents and supporters left over the last week, as well as the names and addresses of those who wanted to go on the record both for and against sales. There is also a copy of the Advisory Vote that the City of Snohomish put to the people. If you were curious about the survey results I would be glad to try to answer questions that you may have.

Ryan C. Larsen

From: Erica Schorn
Sent: Thursday, March 15, 2018 10:31 AM
Subject: FW: Oppose Retail Marijuana shop downtown area

From: Peggy Kitting [mailto:peggypooh321@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, March 15, 2018 10:24 AM
To: Amy M Rusko <Amy.Rusko@ci.stanwood.wa.us>
Subject: Oppose Retail Marijuana shop downtown area

To Stanwood City Council,

My family **opposes** a retail marijuana shop in downtown Stanwood.

We heard the City of Stanwood will be deciding whether to put a retail marijuana shop at the old glass shop at 8808 271st St NW. Front and center in our little downtown area.

If marijuana shops should not be next door to a school or library or park, then why are you putting this shop across the street
from a cupcake shop?

Please share with us why you would place a marijuana shop there?

Don't you know in the minds of our children it normalizes it? It makes kids think that its safe!

Rather than looking at the potential revenue and income, you should be looking at the moral character of our community.

Please reconsider your plans of taking part to rip the innocence from our little town.

Thank you for your consideration,

Peggy Kitting
7229 286th PL NW
Stanwood, WA 98292
408 220-4673

Ryan C. Larsen

From: Erica Schorn
Sent: Friday, March 16, 2018 9:51 AM
Subject: FW: Opposes Retail Marijuana shop downtown area

From: ergepilk2006@aol.com [mailto:ergepilk2006@aol.com]
Sent: Friday, March 16, 2018 9:44 AM
To: Amy M Rusko <Amy.Rusko@ci.stanwood.wa.us>
Subject: Opposes Retail Marijuana shop downtown area

To Stanwood City Council,

My family **opposes** a retail marijuana shop in downtown Stanwood.

We heard the City of Stanwood will be deciding whether to put a retail marijuana shop at the old glass shop at 8808 271st St NW. Front and center in our little downtown area.

If marijuana shops should not be next door to a school or library or park, then why are you putting this shop across the street from a cupcake shop where our kids go?

Please share with us why you would place a marijuana shop there? We have enought problems in our small community without adding another headache to deal with.

Don't you know in the minds of our children it normalizes it? It makes kids think that its safe! You can also bet, that our younger kids will get it, if its made easier to get too by someone else buying it for them. Don't kid your self as kids now days are getting a lot smarter then we and you included.

Rather than looking at the potential revenue and income, you should be looking at the moral character of our community and not a lot of pot heads walking around.

Please reconsider your plans of taking part to rip the innocence from our little ones and our town. We love our little community and our neighbors without bringing in junkies to live on the street and setting up small encampments like Seattle and else where.

Thank you for your consideration,

Eric & Georgia Pilkington
7102 278th ST. NW
Stanwood, WA 98292

Ryan C. Larsen

From: Erica Schorn
Sent: Friday, March 16, 2018 2:27 PM
Subject: FW: Ordiance 1376

From: Nancy Williams [mailto:ganawilliams72@comcast.net]
Sent: Friday, March 16, 2018 2:23 PM
To: Amy M Rusko <Amy.Rusko@ci.stanwood.wa.us>
Subject: Ordiance 1376

TO: Stanwood City Council

On July 24, 2014, the Stanwood City Council passed Ordinance 1376 which prohibits retail sales of marijuana within Stanwood. This ordinance was passed and approved by the City Council and was signed by Mayor Leonard Kelley. Ordinance 1376 includes the reasons the City Council decided against marijuana. Those reasons are summarized below:

1) marijuana is a federal Schedule 1 drug with high potential for abuse.

2) likely local effects including increased theft, burglary, robbery.

3) likely impacts including negative health, safety, learning and life outcomes for residents of Stanwood.

4) marijuana use and abuse is increasing across all age and gender groups.

5) increased access and availability of supply will increase the use in Stanwood with particular concern about youth.

6) increased use will lead to increases in adolescent addiction, increased emergency room admissions, increased addictions, increased number of teens needing drug treatment, reduction in IQ, increase in mental illness, poor learning outcomes, increased cases of lung damage, increased motor vehicle crashes due to impaired drivers.

7) restricting marijuana use helps to promote public health.

8) effects of marijuana could unnecessarily place Stanwood residents and others in danger of bodily harm, increase police enforcement risks and costs, and generally create undesirable liability exposure for the city.

9) Stanwood is primarily residential in character, with a focus on creating pedestrian and child friendly areas in which businesses locate, therefore it is not in the best interest of the city to allow marijuana businesses that could potentially disrupt the city's character and serve as a nuisance to city residents.

Source:
http://www.ci.stanwood.wa.us/sites/default/files/fileattachments/ordinance/1496/ord_1376_prohibit_rec_marijuana.pdf

The majority of the current City Council apparently now believes that all of those reasons have either gone away or just don't matter anymore. Stanwood either deserves an international award for overcoming all negative effects of marijuana in less than four years, or we need to be extra cautious about jumping into a grand experiment on our children and youth.

Do all of you who are proposing a retail marijuana shop in our historic town realize the effects, especially when you combine marijuana and alcohol, how much more impaired that makes you? We cannot predict the future, but we can clearly picture from other cities that are doing this, what they are going through right now. Years later regretting making this bad decision. Do you truly have a clear picture of teen marijuana consumption? I will guarantee, it will rise! Please look above at what the past council members said and did above. The council that is before us today has had a change of heart. This council is looking at the potential revenue and income instead of the hearts and minds of our children. Please reconsider. We moved here from California about a year and half ago. We chose Stanwood Community for many reasons - one of which was the "community atmosphere"/lack of crime, etc. . do NOT allow this in our community!!

Sincerely,

Gary and Nancy Williams

27832 72nd Avenue, NW

Stanwood, WA 98292

Ryan C. Larsen

From: pbn3yellow <pbn3yellow@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, March 17, 2018 1:02 PM
To: Ryan C. Larsen
Subject: No Marijuana Store

Hi Ryan,

Definitely do not want a marijuana store in our town.

Barbara Mazzio
28118 73rd Av Me
Stanwood, We 98292

Thanks for hearing our petitions!

Sent via the Samsung Galaxy S7 edge, an AT&T 4G LTE smartphone

Ryan C. Larsen

From: Laurie Caniglia <lcanig@icloud.com>
Sent: Monday, March 19, 2018 10:59 AM
To: Ryan C. Larsen
Subject: Marijuana Shop

To whom it may concern:

I write the above " to whom it may concern" with the thought that this concerns many many people, both knowing and unwittingly.

Our charming community is growing and though I live on Camano, I shop, spend time with friends, have one Child in school in Stanwood and soon to be all of mine as they enter middle school and high school. Having marijuana so accessible for not only my kids but the community is a huge negative in my perspective.

A large draw to our community is that though there are many people and more more services provided it is still a little bit of a throwback in a good way. I'd like to see the community maintained as a growing community that cares for its citizens. This is not caring for the heart, soul, or mental health of individuals who have a propensity towards addiction, or preserving the "innocence" of children within our community.

Having worked with teenagers for over five years at a therapeutic residential program, I know firsthand the problems that come with the usage of marijuana. Some of these kids did more than marijuana, but most of them started with marijuana and I have read research speaking to the adverse effects of marijuana, and I have seen it dissolve relationships and more.

Why make this so accessible? There are plenty of other places that folks can get their pot if they'd like. They can hop on over to the island or they can go north.

I strongly oppose having a local pot shop. Thank you for hearing my voice amongst all of the others that are chiming in the same day.

Laurie Caniglia
3605408057

Sent from my iPhone

Ryan C. Larsen

From: Nancy H <nancyswandahansen@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 19, 2018 11:50 AM
To: Ryan C. Larsen; Erica Schorn; Leonard Kelley
Subject: Please let Stanwood vote on marijuana

Hi there-

Please forward this email to all of the city council & the city planning commission.

This is the info from Garth Fell I sought out on having an advisory vote this coming fall, see photos of his email to me on election costs.

I ask that you please let the city vote on the issue of marijuana.
Stanwood has ALWAYS voted NO on this issue, even when factored from the state election on decriminalizing the drug.
We voted NO.
(Please include 3 attached photos)

Thank you.
Nancy Hansen

Nancy,

It is important to understand that what I can provide is an estimated costs based on what I know now about the 2018 November General Election. The City, if it were to place an issue on the ballot, will be responsible for their portion of the actual total cost of the election. The actual costs is heavily impacted by voter turnout. The City's portion is heavily impacted by what other jurisdictions decide to place a measure on the ballot.

With all that said, I would estimate that if the city placed an issue on the 2018 November General Election, the costs would be roughly $2,500.

Please let me know if you have any other questions.

Garth Fell

Garth Fell
Elections and Recording Manager

Snohomish County Auditor's Office
3000 Rockefeller Avenue, M/S 505
Everett, WA 98201-4046
425-388-3625 | garth.fell@snoco.org
| www.snoco.org

Notice: All emails and attachments sent to and from Snohomish County are public records and may be subject to disclosure pursuant to the Public Records Act (RCW 42.56).

SNOHOMISH COUNTY
G_11062012_ELEC
11/27/2012
Statement of Vote
Initiative to the Legislature 502

	Registered	Turnout	Percent	YES	NO
STANWOOD 1	361	231	63.99%	125	99
STANWOOD 2	538	411	76.39%	199	205
STANWOOD 3	499	407	81.56%	186	212
STANWOOD 4	682	602	88.27%	278	311
STANWOOD 5	729	614	84.22%	300	300
STANWOOD 6	347	277	79.83%	130	144
STANWOOD 7	385	290	75.32%	155	130
Total:	3,541	2,832		1,373	1,401
				49.50%	50.50%
				YES	NO

Source: http://www.snoco.org/elections/results/PastElectionResu
http://www.snoco.org/elections/results/1106sov/1112Ca
http://www.snoco.org/elections/results/1106sov/47.htm

Sent from my iPhone

Ryan C. Larsen

From: Irene Lyford <imlyford2@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 19, 2018 11:51 AM
To: Ryan C. Larsen
Subject: Opposition to the sale of marijuana in Stanwood

Dear Mr. Larsen,

I am writing to let you and the City Counsel know that I strongly oppose the sale of marijuana in Stanwood. I know that I am not alone in this opposition and it is disheartening to know that the decision to allow this in our city is in the hands of just a few people.

My husband and I have lived here for 20 years and our 3 children have been, and still are being raised in this community. We have seen positive changes with new business coming to town and still maintaining our small town charm. I think that to allow a marijuana retail store to operate right in the middle of our downtown where we hold parades and other community gatherings is detrimental to the charm, and possibly safety, that now exists.

I believe that whatever financial gain there is for this, will be far outweighed by the negative possibilities - including increased crime, negative health impacts, and stigma this part of town may receive which could affect other downtown businesses.

Even though marijuana is legal, it doesn't make it a good thing. It's hard enough to teach our children right from wrong and how to make good, healthy choices. And it makes it more challenging when a business exists just a few miles from where we live, and is just blocks from our church, that encourages the use of a drug that is a gateway drug and can be addictive as well. It helps 'normalize' it's use which is dangerous.

I encourage the Counsel to vote against this. And, or, if possible, bring this to a vote of the citizens of Stanwood.

Thank you for your time and consideration in this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Irene Lyford
27928 80th Ave NW
Stanwood, WA 98292

Ryan C. Larsen

From: Peggy Kitting <peggypooh321@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, March 19, 2018 12:00 PM
To: Ryan C. Larsen
Subject: Oppose Retail Marijuana Shop in Stanwood

Ryan Larsen, Interim City Administrator
10220 270th Street NW
Stanwood, WA 98292

Dear Mr. Larsen,
Please make me a party of record re: Retail Marijuana Sales in Stanwood, stating my opposition to the operation of such a business in our community.

When cities decide to have a Retail marijuana shop, it normalizes it. It makes kids think it is normal and safe. Do you truly have a clear picture of teen marijuana consumption? I will guarantee, it will rise!

The city council members who are proposing a retail marijuana shop in our historic town realize the effects, especially when you combine marijuana and alcohol, how much more impaired that makes you? We cannot predict the future, but we can clearly picture from other cities that are doing this, what they are going through right now. Years later regretting making this bad decision.

Thank you for your consideration on this very important matter.
Peggy Kitting
7229 286th PL NW
Stanwood, WA 98292
408 220-4673

Ryan C. Larsen

From: Peggy Kitting <peggypooh321@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, March 19, 2018 12:04 PM
To: Ryan C. Larsen
Subject: OPPOSE Retail Marijuana Shop in Stanwood

Ryan Larsen, Interim City Administrator
10220 270th Street NW
Stanwood, WA 98292

Dear Mr. Larsen,
Please make me a party of record re: Retail Marijuana Sales in Stanwood, stating my opposition to the operation of such a business in our community.

On July 24, 2014, the Stanwood City Council passed Ordinance 1376 which prohibits retail sales of marijuana within Stanwood. This ordinance was passed and approved by the City Council and was signed by Mayor Leonard Kelley. Ordinance 1376 includes the reasons the City Council decided against marijuana. Those reasons are summarized below:

1) marijuana is a federal Schedule 1 drug with high potential for abuse.

2) likely local effects including increased theft, burglary, robbery.

3) likely impacts including negative health, safety, learning and life outcomes for residents of Stanwood.

4) marijuana use and abuse is increasing across all age and gender groups.

5) increased access and availability of supply will increase the use in Stanwood with particular concern about youth.

6) increased use will lead to increases in adolescent addiction, increased emergency room admissions, increased addictions, increased number of teens needing drug treatment, reduction in IQ, increase in mental illness, poor learning outcomes, increased cases of lung damage, increased motor vehicle crashes due to impaired drivers.

7) restricting marijuana use helps to promote public health.

8) effects of marijuana could unnecessarily place Stanwood residents and others in danger of bodily harm, increase police enforcement risks and costs, and generally create undesirable liability exposure for the city.

9) Stanwood is primarily residential in character, with a focus on creating pedestrian and child friendly areas in which businesses locate, therefore it is not in the best interest of the city to allow marijuana businesses that could potentially disrupt the city's character and serve as a nuisance to city residents.

Source:
http://www.ci.stanwood.wa.us/sites/default/files/fileattachments/ordinance/1496/ord_1376_prohibit_rec_marijuana.pdf

The majority of the current City Council apparently now believes that all of those reasons have either gone away or just don't matter anymore. Stanwood either deserves an international award for overcoming all negative effects of marijuana in less than four years, or we need to be extra cautious about jumping into a grand experiment on our children and youth.

Thank you for your consideration on this very important matter.

Peggy Kitting
7229 286th PL NW
Stanwood, WA 98292
408 220-4673

Ryan C. Larsen

From: Peggy Kitting <peggypooh321@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, March 19, 2018 12:10 PM
To: Ryan C. Larsen
Subject: Opposition of Retail Marijuana Shop in Stanwood

Ryan Larsen, Interim City Administrator
10220 270th Street NW
Stanwood, WA 98292

Dear Mr. Larsen,
Please make me a party of record re: Retail Marijuana Sales in Stanwood, stating my opposition to the operation of such a business in our community.

Stanwood City Council is trying to change city law to allow marijuana sales in the Mainstreet Business zone (the "east downtown" area). This "acceptable" zone was determined because it's all that's left on the zoning map once you carve out residential areas and draw the state-mandated 1,000 foot buffer-zones around schools, playgrounds, recreation centers, child care centers, public parks, transit centers, and the library. The logic of the buffer-zone is that marijuana sales should not be happening in areas that are frequented by children.

The state doesn't include downtown areas in the buffer zone list, but it's clear that many people believe that those areas should also be restricted. The vast majority of Washington cities (including Mount Vernon, Burlington, Anacortes, Arlington, Lake Stevens, Monroe, Oak Harbor, and Bellingham) limit retail shops to industrial and commercial zones and do NOT allow them in downtown zones. Also, about 1/3 of Washington cities do not allow retail marijuana sales anywhere in their city.

Source: http://mrsc.org/Home/Explore-Topics/Legal/Regulation/Marijuana-Regulation-in-Washington-State.aspx#local-zoning-approaches

It just makes sense – if marijuana shops shouldn't be next-door to a school or library or park, then they shouldn't be next-door to a downtown cupcake shop. Downtown Stanwood is a hub and gathering place for our city – we have parades, farmers markets, glass quest, car shows, art festivals, holiday decorations and activities, shopping, restaurants – downtown attracts people of all ages!

Rather than looking at the potential revenue and income, we should look at the moral character of the community.
Thank you for your consideration on this very important matter.
Peggy Kitting
7229 286th PL NW
Stanwood, WA 98292
408 220-4673

Ryan C. Larsen

From: Irene Lyford <imlyford2@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 19, 2018 12:21 PM
To: Ryan C. Larsen
Subject: Fwd: Opposition to the sale of marijuana in Stanwood

Mr. Larsen,

I am re-sending this email to specify that I want it on file as a party of record for the March 26th public hearing.

Thank you,
Irene Lyford
27928 80th Ave NW
Stanwood, WA. 98292
---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: "Irene Lyford" <imlyford2@gmail.com>
Date: Mar 19, 2018 11:51 AM
Subject: Opposition to the sale of marijuana in Stanwood
To: <ryan.larsen@ci.stanwood.wa.us>
Cc:

Dear Mr. Larsen,

I am writing to let you and the City Counsel know that I strongly oppose the sale of marijuana in Stanwood. I know that I am not alone in this opposition and it is disheartening to know that the decision to allow this in our city is in the hands of just a few people.

My husband and I have lived here for 20 years and our 3 children have been, and still are being raised in this community. We have seen positive changes with new business coming to town and still maintaining our small town charm. I think that to allow a marijuana retail store to operate right in the middle of our downtown where we hold parades and other community gatherings is detrimental to the charm, and possibly safety, that now exists.

I believe that whatever financial gain there is for this, will be far outweighed by the negative possibilities - including increased crime, negative health impacts, and stigma this part of town may receive which could affect other downtown businesses.

Even though marijuana is legal, it doesn't make it a good thing. It's hard enough to teach our children right from wrong and how to make good, healthy choices. And it makes it more challenging when a business exists just a few miles from where we live, and is just blocks from our church, that encourages the use of a drug that is a gateway drug and can be addictive as well. It helps 'normalize' it's use which is dangerous.

I encourage the Counsel to vote against this. And, or, if possible, bring this to a vote of the citizens of Stanwood.

Thank you for your time and consideration in this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Irene Lyford
27928 80th Ave NW
Stanwood, WA 98292

Ryan C. Larsen

From: Nancy Williams <ganawilliams72@comcast.net>
Sent: Monday, March 19, 2018 2:51 PM
To: Ryan C. Larsen
Subject: Marijuana shops in Stanwood

Ryan Larsen, Interim City Administrator

10220 270th Street NW

Stanwood, WA 98292

Dear Mr. Larsen,

Please make us a party of record re: Retail Marijuana Sales in Stanwood, stating **our opposition** to the operation of such a business in our community.

If marijuana shops should not be next door to a school or library or park, then why are you putting this shop across the street from a cupcake shop? Please share with us why you would place a marijuana shop there?

Don't you know in the minds of our children it normalizes it? It makes kids think that its safe! Rather than looking at the potential revenue and income, you should be looking at the moral character of our community. Money is NOT the answer to every situation!!

Please reconsider your plans of taking part to rip the innocence from our little town.

Thank you for your consideration on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Gary and Nancy Williams

27832 72nd Avenue, NW

Stanwood, WA 98292

Ryan C. Larsen

From: Matt Daniels <piecekeeper73@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 19, 2018 9:30 PM
To: Ryan C. Larsen
Subject: Party of Record: Opposition to marijuana shops

Mr. Larsen,

Please make me a part of record, for the March 26th public hearing, stating my opposition to the operation of retail marijuana shop(s) in Stanwood, WA.

My reasons for opposition:

1. Stanwood has a reputation of being a family-friendly location with affordable housing, good schools, and lower crime rates.

2. The city is growing, and the tax base is growing with it:

   - People are moving here, want to move here.
   - New housing permits are on the rise, new homes are being constructed, new development has been announced for many more homes.
   - With an increase in residents, businesses follow and they want a place which is surrounded by other businesses that draw in a complementary customer base.

3. Stanwood's 'main street' is becoming something special and draws people together. A marijuana shop on this area of community businesses becomes a reason to avoid the immediate area.

4. Marijuana sales have a history linked with increase in crime rates. See studies from U of W, state of Colorado. The shops become an attractant for clientele who, as a generalized grouping, are participants in more at-risk activities.

5. The estimated tax revenue of $10,000 is questionable at best and the more likely scenario is that it would be less than this once the offset by increases in public services associated with the users of marijuana is taken into account. Tax revenue from marijuana sales have shown to be offset by increases in public services of enforcement, police, fire, EMT, and social services costs.

6. The tax revenue from marijuana sales may also be offset by either the lack of businesses maintaining operations near the store or from new businesses setting up operations in another town.

For a city which is attractive and on the up-and-up, permitting marijuana sales within the city limits will bring an unnecessary and unappealing element to our great town. I ask that retail sales not be allowed. The estimated tax revenue of $10,000 will be easily gained by the influx of new residents and the businesses which cater to them.

Matt Daniels
7918 263rd PL NW
Stanwood, WA 98282

Ryan C. Larsen

From: Kathy Grant <kgrantwa@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 20, 2018 8:30 AM
To: Ryan C. Larsen
Cc: Kathy Grant
Subject: Party of Record comments retail marijuana store

Hello,

I oppose the operation of a retail marijuana store in Stanwood and write to ask that my comments be made part of the public record.

These are my concerns:

1. Marijuana is considered a Schedule 1 Drug, meaning that it is highly addictive. I believe marijuana is a gateway drug to harder drug use. Only a few years ago (2015), law enforcement spoke about the heroin epidemic in the area, as reported by the Stanwood Camano News. I don't want to see a resurgence of this. https://www.scnews.com/news/article_219bea84-e841-11e4-8909-83168c60ba21.html

2. Under age recipients would have greater access to pot with a store in town. If it becomes more normalized in our culture, younger people will try it. The risk of addiction is higher among teens (17%) than adults, as noted by the Snohomish Regional Drug Task Force. http://www.srdtf.org/articles/marijuana-facts-teens

3. Since its legalization, data is being collected showing that marijuana usage among teens has increased, the number of impaired driving accidents has increased, and hospital visits due to marijuana-related poisonings have increased. https://www.idahopress.com/members/colorado-shows-negative-impacts-of-legalizing-marijuana/article_6d2ab5fb-7af3-5532-a7d1-8bddae92f4aa.amp.html

http://www.ci.stanwood.wa.us/sites/default/files/fileattachments/ordinance/1044/ord_1368_extend_marijuana_moratorium.pdf

4. The proposed location, on Main Street in downtown Stanwood, is terrible. Since there are several buffer zones preventing marijuana from being sold near places where children frequent, it seems contradictory to house one in the middle of town, a site for parades, fun runs, the car show and other family-friendly events.

Thank you for your consideration of my opinion on this matter.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Grant

8201 283rd St., NW

Stanwood, WA 98292

Virus-free. www.avast.com

Ryan C. Larsen

From: Bruce Kitting <bkitting1@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 20, 2018 1:17 PM
To: Ryan C. Larsen
Subject: Retail Marijuana Shop

Ryan Larsen, Interim City Administrator
10220 270th Street NW
Stanwood, WA 98292

Dear Mr. Larsen,
Please make me a party of record re: Retail Marijuana Sales in Stanwood, stating my opposition to the operation of such a business in our community.

I am asking the Stanwood City Council to give this more thought. Please test out the theories to please get a clear picture of teen marijuana consumption. It will be on the rise! Rather than looking at the potential revenue and income, we should look at the moral character of our community.

One of the council members that our community members spoke to recently stated he thought the location of the retail marijuana shop was going to be behind the police station. I am disappointed this council member did not take this serious enough. He did not know the location, but yet approves of this shop? We elect our city council people to make decisions for us, but not this way.

Thank you for your consideration on this very important matter.

Sincerely,
Bruce R. Kitting
7229 286th PL NW
Stanwood, WA 98292

Ryan C. Larsen

From: Aleph Fackenthall <aleph.fackenthall@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 20, 2018 10:18 PM
To: Ryan C. Larsen; Erica Schorn
Subject: Affect of Marijuana Sales in Stanwood Survey results (unofficial)
Attachments: _Data_ResponseSummary_Q13_180311.pdf; Data_Addresses_Q14_180311.pdf; Data_PeopleToVote_Q5_180319.pdf; SurveyMonkey_SurveyQuestions_131366721.pdf; _Data_All_180311.pdf

Hello Ryan & Erica,

Could you please make copies of this email and the attached documents and put them in the City Council members mail boxes so they have it available for the next meeting?
Thanks
aleph

The attached files are the results of the unofficial survey that was taken over the last 2 weeks.
This survey was not requested by the Planning Committee or the City Council but by concerned citizens who wanted to take the pulse of the citizens of Stanwood. The survey was primarily spread via Facebook or email.

Data_PeopleToVote_Q5_180319.pdf is the data from March 1st to March 19th and shows that, of the 265 Stanwood Citizens who took the survey (and left addresses), **very few**, (**only 17.5%**
46/265) said that this issue SHOULDN'T be put to the vote of the people.

_Data_All_180311.pdf are the results from the survey. Of the 188 surveyed between March 1st and March 11th, almost 48% think that there would be a **negative** impact to current and future business and to the town as a whole. This data includes the folks that want to see this issue sent to the people for a vote.
_Data_ResponseSummary_Q13_180311.pdf shows 109 individual comments that citizens left about this issue.

Data_Addresses_Q14_180311.pdf are the full names and addresses of the citizens. Only folks who put their name and address were included in the results. Many of the addresses were confirmed and a sampling of the IP address of the service provider was also taken to confirm they originated in Stanwood.

SurveyMonkey_SurveyQuestions_131366721.pdf are the survey questions themselves.

It is pretty clear that the **vast majority of Stanwood Citizens think this issue should be decided with an advisory vote,** not the City Council alone. Between March 1st and March 19th, **670** people have take the survey. Of those, only 265 wanted to go on the record and leave their name and addresses. When including the anonymous votes, a similar few, (only **20%) of the 670 surveyed said that this SHOULDN'T** be put the the vote.

Unfortunately, it is likely that the suggestion for an advisory vote of the people will be ignored. However, at some point in the future the makeup of the council will switch again. At that point a vote of the people can be taken and, if the vote is against marijuana sales, then the ordinance can be revoked.

Regards,

Aleph Fackenthall
425-330-3196
aleph.fackenthall@gmail.com

Q14 INFO: Only survey results with full name and address will analyzed and submitted to the Planning Committee

Answered: 188 Skipped: 0

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
First and Last Name	100.00%	188
Company	0.00%	0
Address	100.00%	188
Address 2	0.00%	0
City/Town	100.00%	188
State/Province	0.00%	0
ZIP/Postal Code	0.00%	0
Country	0.00%	0
Email Address	3.72%	7
Phone Number	0.00%	0

#	FIRST AND LAST NAME	DATE
1	Carrie Miller	3/11/2018 12:12 AM
2	Crystal Dunn	3/10/2018 7:34 PM
3	Matt Kallicott	3/10/2018 6:06 PM
4	Steve Bradshaw	3/10/2018 4:48 AM
5	Justin Burns	3/9/2018 7:27 PM
6	David Chamberlain	3/9/2018 1:37 PM
7	Joy Yosting	3/9/2018 12:51 PM
8	Ellen Hiatt	3/9/2018 12:30 PM
9	Jenn barstad	3/9/2018 9:56 AM
10	Brent Garner	3/9/2018 7:36 AM
11	Nathanial king	3/9/2018 7:23 AM
12	Annie Stein	3/9/2018 6:12 AM
13	Robert Marion	3/9/2018 6:03 AM
14	Nathaniel Hinman	3/9/2018 6:01 AM
15	jerry mcgrath	3/9/2018 4:03 AM
16	Steven Whittlesey	3/9/2018 1:04 AM
17	Ron Oman	3/8/2018 11:51 PM
18	James Mailloux	3/8/2018 11:40 PM
19	Catherine	3/8/2018 8:53 PM
20	Roma Mugleston	3/8/2018 7:57 PM
21	Kris johnson	3/8/2018 7:48 PM

22	Ian White	3/8/2018 7:33 PM
23	Brenda Frank	3/8/2018 7:10 PM
24	Darby Hepper	3/8/2018 2:54 PM
25	George Zeigen	3/8/2018 2:33 PM
26	Lori morsk	3/8/2018 10:10 AM
27	Maggiy fox	3/8/2018 8:45 AM
28	Ann peterson	3/8/2018 7:44 AM
29	Joelle klesick	3/8/2018 7:02 AM
30	Derril Burdge	3/8/2018 4:52 AM
31	Stuart Westercamp	3/8/2018 3:13 AM
32	Chandler Guitron	3/8/2018 12:37 AM
33	Donna Timm	3/7/2018 9:07 PM
34	Stella Hutson	3/7/2018 8:10 PM
35	Rachael Wesley	3/7/2018 7:37 PM
36	Tricia Winston	3/7/2018 7:32 PM
37	Seth Strong	3/7/2018 7:17 PM
38	Peggy Costley	3/7/2018 3:24 PM
39	Shalome Wilson	3/7/2018 1:43 PM
40	Christy moody	3/7/2018 12:58 PM
41	Leti Robledo	3/7/2018 12:40 PM
42	Katherine Stoddard	3/7/2018 11:45 AM
43	kt	3/7/2018 11:44 AM
44	Laura Polnasek	3/7/2018 10:46 AM
45	Imari Curry	3/7/2018 9:53 AM
46	Chrissa Berggren	3/7/2018 9:11 AM
47	Timm Beeman	3/7/2018 8:25 AM
48	Stephanie Barker	3/7/2018 7:57 AM
49	Marilynn Myers	3/7/2018 6:26 AM
50	Chris nerland	3/7/2018 5:24 AM
51	STEVEN ELLIS	3/7/2018 12:31 AM
52	David Zogg	3/6/2018 10:44 PM
53	Greg Smith	3/6/2018 10:33 PM
54	William Longdon	3/6/2018 10:14 PM
55	Sam Nicholas	3/6/2018 9:57 PM
56	Martha Lopez	3/6/2018 8:58 PM
57	Mrs. VanLier	3/6/2018 7:00 PM
58	Kyle Eldridge	3/6/2018 6:59 PM
59	S Hurd	3/6/2018 6:58 PM
60	Grennell	3/6/2018 6:40 PM
61	Zach langdalen	3/6/2018 5:17 PM
62	Autumn	3/6/2018 5:07 PM

63	Jodi Ronning	3/6/2018 5:06 PM
64	Sarah LeMaster	3/6/2018 3:16 PM
65	Megan Dascher Watkins	3/6/2018 3:02 PM
66	Christy Buhr	3/6/2018 3:01 PM
67	Braeden Crain	3/6/2018 1:55 PM
68	Raechel Morera	3/6/2018 1:13 PM
69	Breanne Desmarais	3/6/2018 1:11 PM
70	Nikol Varela	3/6/2018 1:04 PM
71	Krista McNees	3/6/2018 12:45 PM
72	Robert Gamble	3/6/2018 11:09 AM
73	Vickie Nelson	3/6/2018 11:07 AM
74	Candis Zinicola	3/6/2018 10:17 AM
75	Sheri McKnight	3/6/2018 9:58 AM
76	Chelsey Caskey	3/6/2018 9:58 AM
77	Jazemine Lee	3/6/2018 9:46 AM
78	Angelia Boyer	3/6/2018 9:14 AM
79	Ashley Miller	3/6/2018 9:08 AM
80	Carol Covert	3/6/2018 8:44 AM
81	Melissa Bork	3/6/2018 8:44 AM
82	Christa Long	3/6/2018 8:32 AM
83	Summer myers	3/6/2018 8:29 AM
84	Kristine M Harris	3/6/2018 8:26 AM
85	Les	3/6/2018 8:08 AM
86	Jessica	3/6/2018 8:07 AM
87	Devin Payne	3/6/2018 7:59 AM
88	S Morris	3/6/2018 7:58 AM
89	Chelsea Livingston	3/6/2018 7:55 AM
90	Marna Steinborn	3/6/2018 7:52 AM
91	Chantel Keller	3/6/2018 7:49 AM
92	Jason Wallawine	3/6/2018 7:49 AM
93	Kay Norman	3/6/2018 7:48 AM
94	Tanya dobbelaar	3/6/2018 7:43 AM
95	Morgan Browning	3/6/2018 7:38 AM
96	Eric Warnat	3/6/2018 7:37 AM
97	Chris Gomez	3/6/2018 7:36 AM
98	Angie D	3/6/2018 7:34 AM
99	Gwen Jordan	3/6/2018 7:27 AM
100	Deborah Hepper	3/6/2018 7:23 AM
101	Jennifer Cook	3/6/2018 7:15 AM
102	Carol Martinez	3/6/2018 7:14 AM
103	Nate Wit	3/6/2018 7:04 AM

104	Verona Montgomery	3/6/2018 7:00 AM
105	anon ymous	3/6/2018 6:58 AM
106	Staci Jones	3/6/2018 6:56 AM
107	Adrianna McDowell	3/6/2018 6:46 AM
108	Shannon Gronning	3/6/2018 6:44 AM
109	Jeffrey Hendrickson	3/6/2018 5:22 AM
110	Carrie martin	3/6/2018 3:27 AM
111	Kristen Boggs	3/6/2018 2:01 AM
112	Julie Schultz	3/6/2018 12:33 AM
113	Amanda Munson	3/5/2018 10:54 PM
114	Supposed 2b Anonymous?	3/5/2018 10:34 PM
115	Jeremy Miller	3/5/2018 10:31 PM
116	Laura Howe	3/5/2018 10:00 PM
117	Jessica Berglund	3/5/2018 9:47 PM
118	Kimberly Acuff	3/5/2018 9:46 PM
119	Mina Bertels	3/5/2018 9:46 PM
120	Alexander Avalos	3/5/2018 9:18 PM
121	Victoria Morris	3/5/2018 9:14 PM
122	Seth feingold	3/5/2018 8:57 PM
123	Lara Stephens	3/5/2018 8:51 PM
124	Garret jewell	3/5/2018 8:41 PM
125	Amanda Anderson	3/5/2018 8:34 PM
126	Adam Stevens	3/5/2018 8:32 PM
127	Rico Trifone	3/5/2018 8:18 PM
128	Evan Shaw	3/5/2018 8:12 PM
129	Jordan Shuckerow	3/5/2018 8:04 PM
130	Courtney	3/5/2018 7:28 PM
131	Peggy Kitting	3/5/2018 3:52 PM
132	julie pearce	3/5/2018 1:36 PM
133	Jeffrey D. Pearce	3/5/2018 1:08 PM
134	Emily weasner	3/5/2018 7:12 AM
135	Heather Lawler	3/5/2018 5:03 AM
136	Barbara McNaughton	3/4/2018 10:56 PM
137	Cheyenne Allen-Hinds	3/4/2018 9:31 PM
138	Chelsie	3/4/2018 7:13 PM
139	Alec stensaa	3/4/2018 5:47 PM
140	Charlene Cairus	3/4/2018 2:51 PM
141	Nate	3/4/2018 2:41 PM
142	Spencer stump	3/4/2018 1:24 PM
143	Harvey W. Stackhouse	3/4/2018 11:11 AM
144	Sara Price	3/4/2018 10:05 AM

145	Stephen Shows	3/4/2018 9:46 AM
146	Phil Johnson	3/4/2018 6:55 AM
147	Eleanor Guderian	3/4/2018 6:37 AM
148	Naomi	3/3/2018 9:40 PM
149	Jeremy Weaver	3/3/2018 6:48 PM
150	Sarah D	3/3/2018 5:58 PM
151	Kellson	3/3/2018 5:12 PM
152	Jennifer Meekins	3/3/2018 4:11 PM
153	Michael Roberts	3/3/2018 4:00 PM
154	Khodey dayphis	3/3/2018 3:48 PM
155	Anonymous	3/3/2018 11:51 AM
156	Sean Ferrill	3/3/2018 8:07 AM
157	Lisa Bates	3/2/2018 2:14 PM
158	Jennifer F	3/2/2018 12:41 PM
159	Margaret DuPree	3/2/2018 9:15 AM
160	Irene Lyford	3/2/2018 8:02 AM
161	Joy Fackenthall	3/2/2018 7:52 AM
162	Wade Grant	3/2/2018 7:46 AM
163	Abby Thompson	3/2/2018 7:30 AM
164	Tim Poetzl	3/2/2018 5:30 AM
165	Annie and Chris Maier	3/1/2018 11:41 PM
166	Abigail Nelson	3/1/2018 8:53 PM
167	Sarah Cookston	3/1/2018 8:43 PM
168	Leslie hill	3/1/2018 7:20 PM
169	Lisa Self	3/1/2018 7:00 PM
170	David Herrera	3/1/2018 6:22 PM
171	Melissa Girard	3/1/2018 5:19 PM
172	Sharon Bartlett	3/1/2018 4:51 PM
173	Dan Mattson	3/1/2018 4:24 PM
174	Annie Bowden	3/1/2018 3:06 PM
175	Linda Schmitt	3/1/2018 1:52 PM
176	Claire Hansen	3/1/2018 1:30 PM
177	Marybeth Sande	3/1/2018 1:27 PM
178	Ryan Ovenell	3/1/2018 1:11 PM
179	Matt Daniels	3/1/2018 1:10 PM
180	Siri M.	3/1/2018 12:28 PM
181	Alicia Stadler	3/1/2018 11:57 AM
182	A concerned citizen	3/1/2018 11:45 AM
183	Kim Johnson	3/1/2018 11:37 AM
184	Mike Satterfield	3/1/2018 11:33 AM
185	Dave Hall	3/1/2018 11:04 AM

186	Cliff Grant	3/1/2018 10:39 AM
187	Dottie Gorsuch	3/1/2018 10:31 AM
188	Nancy Hansen	3/1/2018 8:42 AM

#	COMPANY	DATE
	There are no responses.	

#	ADDRESS	DATE
1	17311 w lake Goodwin rd	3/11/2018 12:12 AM
2	8304 272nd St. NW	3/10/2018 7:34 PM
3	3310 158th PL NW	3/10/2018 6:06 PM
4	6014 183rd St NW	3/10/2018 4:48 AM
5	9511 Dettling Rd.	3/9/2018 7:27 PM
6	6931 281st 'll nw	3/9/2018 1:37 PM
7	31525 Brandstrom Road	3/9/2018 12:51 PM
8	7006 179th PL NW	3/9/2018 12:30 PM
9	27710 69th Ave Nw	3/9/2018 9:56 AM
10	7006 179th place nw	3/9/2018 7:36 AM
11	9739 272nd pl nw	3/9/2018 7:23 AM
12	P>O> box 782	3/9/2018 6:12 AM
13	7927 263rd Place NW	3/9/2018 6:03 AM
14	8430 Thomle Rd	3/9/2018 6:01 AM
15	7232 happy hollow road	3/9/2018 4:03 AM
16	16915 74th are nw	3/9/2018 1:04 AM
17	5627 182nd St NW	3/8/2018 11:51 PM
18	19024 Clarence Ave	3/8/2018 11:40 PM
19	Fletcher	3/8/2018 8:53 PM
20	2207 254th St NW	3/8/2018 7:57 PM
21	7237 262 nd St nw	3/8/2018 7:48 PM
22	9827 270th st Nw	3/8/2018 7:33 PM
23	P.O. box 1200	3/8/2018 7:10 PM
24	8733 176th St. NW	3/8/2018 2:54 PM
25	26327 64th ave.n.w.	3/8/2018 2:33 PM
26	9218 176 th st	3/8/2018 10:10 AM
27	26031 72th. Nw	3/8/2018 8:45 AM
28	6720 happy hollow road	3/8/2018 7:44 AM
29	24101 Miller road	3/8/2018 7:02 AM
30	26031 72nd AVE NW. J-143	3/8/2018 4:52 AM
31	8127 300th St Nw	3/8/2018 3:13 AM
32	20806 Marine dr	3/8/2018 12:37 AM
33	20505 Marine Drive #48	3/7/2018 9:07 PM
34	27131 104th Dr NW	3/7/2018 8:10 PM
35	Po box 1234	3/7/2018 7:37 PM

36	6810 174th st. NW	3/7/2018 7:32 PM
37	27302 83rd Ave NW	3/7/2018 7:17 PM
38	14309 44th Dr NW	3/7/2018 3:24 PM
39	31511 64th Ave NW	3/7/2018 1:43 PM
40	20906 frank waters rd	3/7/2018 12:58 PM
41	6932 281st Pl NW	3/7/2018 12:40 PM
42	Po Box 1057	3/7/2018 11:45 AM
43	Stanwood	3/7/2018 11:44 AM
44	28510 75th Dr NW	3/7/2018 10:46 AM
45	26031 72nd Ave #D217	3/7/2018 9:53 AM
46	6001 319th St NW	3/7/2018 9:11 AM
47	Marine Drive	3/7/2018 8:25 AM
48	29620 old 99 n	3/7/2018 7:57 AM
49	27420 Churchcreek Loop N.W.	3/7/2018 6:26 AM
50	2515 286th st nw	3/7/2018 5:24 AM
51	29025 3rd Ave NE	3/7/2018 12:31 AM
52	123 300th Street	3/6/2018 10:44 PM
53	PO Box 1630	3/6/2018 10:33 PM
54	30808 52nd Ave. NW	3/6/2018 10:14 PM
55	PO Box 580	3/6/2018 9:57 PM
56	27232 100th AVE NW	3/6/2018 8:58 PM
57	32215 76th Ave NW	3/6/2018 7:00 PM
58	28819 3rd AVE NE	3/6/2018 6:59 PM
59	P.O. Box 993	3/6/2018 6:58 PM
60	29101 68th Ave nw	3/6/2018 6:40 PM
61	18402 40 th ave nw	3/6/2018 5:17 PM
62	Schley	3/6/2018 5:07 PM
63	14300 Frans Dr.	3/6/2018 5:06 PM
64	7238 286th PL NW	3/6/2018 3:16 PM
65	2424 Pioneer highway	3/6/2018 3:02 PM
66	28625 75th Dr NW	3/6/2018 3:01 PM
67	27202 Manor Pl. NW	3/6/2018 1:55 PM
68	9320 Thomle Rd.	3/6/2018 1:13 PM
69	P.o. box 1196	3/6/2018 1:11 PM
70	PO Box 1210	3/6/2018 1:04 PM
71	8629 154th Pl NW	3/6/2018 12:45 PM
72	29 248th pl sw	3/6/2018 11:09 AM
73	15405 w lake goodwin rd	3/6/2018 11:07 AM
74	25917 36th ave nw	3/6/2018 10:17 AM
75	4424 268th St NW	3/6/2018 9:58 AM
76	6908 283rd Pl NW	3/6/2018 9:58 AM

77	27500 Country Place	3/6/2018 9:46 AM
78	30019 36th Avenue NW	3/6/2018 9:14 AM
79	27301 104th Dr N	3/6/2018 9:08 AM
80	27832 85th Dr nw	3/6/2018 8:44 AM
81	8020 274th ST NW	3/6/2018 8:44 AM
82	26901 old hwy 99	3/6/2018 8:32 AM
83	P O box 2590	3/6/2018 8:29 AM
84	10025 271st St. Nw	3/6/2018 8:26 AM
85	Anderson	3/6/2018 8:08 AM
86	Landsiedel	3/6/2018 8:07 AM
87	15831 30th ave nw	3/6/2018 7:59 AM
88	8317 Park Drive	3/6/2018 7:58 AM
89	26619 pioneer hwy	3/6/2018 7:55 AM
90	7109 277th pl nw	3/6/2018 7:52 AM
91	8715 271st Street nw	3/6/2018 7:49 AM
92	8311 188th St NW	3/6/2018 7:49 AM
93	28525 70th Drive NW	3/6/2018 7:48 AM
94	8222 319th Street nw	3/6/2018 7:43 AM
95	7524 208th pl nw	3/6/2018 7:38 AM
96	28116 67th Ave NW	3/6/2018 7:37 AM
97	7647 274th st nw	3/6/2018 7:36 AM
98	27218 Woodland rd	3/6/2018 7:34 AM
99	27807 73rd Dr NW	3/6/2018 7:27 AM
100	8733 176 th st nw	3/6/2018 7:23 AM
101	7803 265th ST NW	3/6/2018 7:15 AM
102	Po box 1672	3/6/2018 7:14 AM
103	26031 72nd Ave NW	3/6/2018 7:04 AM
104	28336 71st Dr N W	3/6/2018 7:00 AM
105	1234 anonymous	3/6/2018 6:58 AM
106	5528a Lakewood rd	3/6/2018 6:56 AM
107	18927 Soundview Dr NW	3/6/2018 6:46 AM
108	3305 280th St NW	3/6/2018 6:44 AM
109	Church Creek estates	3/6/2018 5:22 AM
110	9724 272nd pl nw	3/6/2018 3:27 AM
111	7917 276th st nw	3/6/2018 2:01 AM
112	27209 102nd Dr NW	3/6/2018 12:33 AM
113	28603 74th DrNW	3/5/2018 10:54 PM
114	123 No Thanks	3/5/2018 10:34 PM
115	3615 Ceaderhome Dr	3/5/2018 10:31 PM
116	27625 73rd Ave nw	3/5/2018 10:00 PM
117	15212 70th AVE NW	3/5/2018 9:47 PM

118	30011 76th AVE NW	3/5/2018 9:46 PM
119	32602 44th Ave NW	3/5/2018 9:46 PM
120	31332 68th Ave NW	3/5/2018 9:18 PM
121	7318 Centerville Ct Unit 303	3/5/2018 9:14 PM
122	30222 68th Ave. NW.	3/5/2018 8:57 PM
123	7720 Stauffer	3/5/2018 8:51 PM
124	16229 89th ave nw	3/5/2018 8:41 PM
125	28018 Old Pacific Hwy	3/5/2018 8:34 PM
126	19313 22ave nw	3/5/2018 8:32 PM
127	27011 81st dr nw	3/5/2018 8:18 PM
128	28010 82nd Dr NW	3/5/2018 8:12 PM
129	5124 300th NW	3/5/2018 8:04 PM
130	Kelley	3/5/2018 7:28 PM
131	7229 286th PL NW	3/5/2018 3:52 PM
132	29203 64th ave nw	3/5/2018 1:36 PM
133	PO Box 602	3/5/2018 1:08 PM
134	8021 Olympic view place	3/5/2018 7:12 AM
135	19121 40th Ave NW	3/5/2018 5:03 AM
136	PO Box 696	3/4/2018 10:56 PM
137	26031 72nd ave nw	3/4/2018 9:31 PM
138	27309 104th dr	3/4/2018 7:13 PM
139	27429 102nd ave nw	3/4/2018 5:47 PM
140	Old 99 n	3/4/2018 2:51 PM
141	Harrigan	3/4/2018 2:41 PM
142	7216 286th Pl Nw	3/4/2018 1:24 PM
143	P O Box 398	3/4/2018 11:11 AM
144	27512 76th dr N.W	3/4/2018 10:05 AM
145	281st pl nw	3/4/2018 9:46 AM
146	8332 198th street NW	3/4/2018 6:55 AM
147	6104 284th St NW	3/4/2018 6:37 AM
148	8304 272nd St NW	3/3/2018 9:40 PM
149	2316 255th st NW	3/3/2018 6:48 PM
150	18804 75th Ave Nw	3/3/2018 5:58 PM
151	Noneya	3/3/2018 5:12 PM
152	28515 75th Dr NW	3/3/2018 4:11 PM
153	27003 103rd DR NW	3/3/2018 4:00 PM
154	Church creek	3/3/2018 3:48 PM
155	PO Box	3/3/2018 11:51 AM
156	2814 152nd Pl NW	3/3/2018 8:07 AM
157	9315 184th St, NW	3/2/2018 2:14 PM
158	Po box 966	3/2/2018 12:41 PM

159	28324 68th Ave NW	3/2/2018 9:15 AM
160	27928 80th Ave NW	3/2/2018 8:02 AM
161	6019 Jensen Rd	3/2/2018 7:52 AM
162	8201 283rd ST. NW	3/2/2018 7:46 AM
163	7802 Port Susan Place	3/2/2018 7:30 AM
164	28448 71st dr nw	3/2/2018 5:30 AM
165	5805 jensen rd	3/1/2018 11:41 PM
166	6824 278th ST NW	3/1/2018 8:53 PM
167	28420 Nordic way	3/1/2018 8:43 PM
168	6909 287th pl.	3/1/2018 7:20 PM
169	29300 68th ave nw	3/1/2018 7:00 PM
170	27608 73rd Ave NW	3/1/2018 6:22 PM
171	7141 288th St NW	3/1/2018 5:19 PM
172	26925 81st Dr NW	3/1/2018 4:51 PM
173	27903 69th ave nw	3/1/2018 4:24 PM
174	29017 64th AVE NW	3/1/2018 3:06 PM
175	P.O. Box 303	3/1/2018 1:52 PM
176	28714 75th dr NW	3/1/2018 1:30 PM
177	9205 201st Street NW	3/1/2018 1:27 PM
178	27920 44th Ave. NW	3/1/2018 1:11 PM
179	7918 263rd Place NW	3/1/2018 1:10 PM
180	Jensen RD.	3/1/2018 12:28 PM
181	3204 256th Street NW	3/1/2018 11:57 AM
182	Klyie Park neighborhood	3/1/2018 11:45 AM
183	32417 80th DR NW	3/1/2018 11:37 AM
184	30400 15th Avenue Ne	3/1/2018 11:33 AM
185	18702 98th Ave Nw	3/1/2018 11:04 AM
186	PO Box 2573	3/1/2018 10:39 AM
187	27519 80th DR NW	3/1/2018 10:31 AM
188	28714 75th Dr NW	3/1/2018 8:42 AM

#	ADDRESS 2	DATE
	There are no responses.	

#	CITY/TOWN	DATE
1	Stanwood	3/11/2018 12:12 AM
2	Stanwood	3/10/2018 7:34 PM
3	Stanwood	3/10/2018 6:06 PM
4	Stanwood	3/10/2018 4:48 AM
5	Stanwood	3/9/2018 7:27 PM
6	Stanwood	3/9/2018 1:37 PM
7	Stanwood	3/9/2018 12:51 PM
8	Stanwood	3/9/2018 12:30 PM

9	Stanwood	3/9/2018 9:56 AM
10	Stanwood	3/9/2018 7:36 AM
11	Stanwood	3/9/2018 7:23 AM
12	Stanwood	3/9/2018 6:12 AM
13	Stanwood	3/9/2018 6:03 AM
14	Stanwood	3/9/2018 6:01 AM
15	stanwood	3/9/2018 4:03 AM
16	Stanwood	3/9/2018 1:04 AM
17	Stanwood	3/8/2018 11:51 PM
18	Stanwood	3/8/2018 11:40 PM
19	Stanwood	3/8/2018 8:53 PM
20	Stanwood	3/8/2018 7:57 PM
21	Stanwood	3/8/2018 7:48 PM
22	Stanwood	3/8/2018 7:33 PM
23	Stanwood	3/8/2018 7:10 PM
24	Stanwood	3/8/2018 2:54 PM
25	Stanwood	3/8/2018 2:33 PM
26	Stanwood	3/8/2018 10:10 AM
27	Stanwood	3/8/2018 8:45 AM
28	Stanwood	3/8/2018 7:44 AM
29	Stanwood	3/8/2018 7:02 AM
30	Stanwood	3/8/2018 4:52 AM
31	Stanwood	3/8/2018 3:13 AM
32	Stanwood	3/8/2018 12:37 AM
33	Stanwood, WA 98292	3/7/2018 9:07 PM
34	Stanwood	3/7/2018 8:10 PM
35	Stanwood wa	3/7/2018 7:37 PM
36	Stanwood	3/7/2018 7:32 PM
37	Stanwood	3/7/2018 7:17 PM
38	Stanwood	3/7/2018 3:24 PM
39	Stanwood	3/7/2018 1:43 PM
40	Stanwood	3/7/2018 12:58 PM
41	Stanwood	3/7/2018 12:40 PM
42	Stanwood	3/7/2018 11:45 AM
43	Stanwood	3/7/2018 11:44 AM
44	Stanwood	3/7/2018 10:46 AM
45	Stanwood	3/7/2018 9:53 AM
46	Stanwood	3/7/2018 9:11 AM
47	Stanwood	3/7/2018 8:25 AM
48	Stanwood	3/7/2018 7:57 AM
49	Stanwood	3/7/2018 6:26 AM

50	stanwood	3/7/2018 5:24 AM
51	Stanwood	3/7/2018 12:31 AM
52	Stanwood	3/6/2018 10:44 PM
53	Stanwood	3/6/2018 10:33 PM
54	Stanwood	3/6/2018 10:14 PM
55	Stanwood	3/6/2018 9:57 PM
56	Stanwood	3/6/2018 8:58 PM
57	Stanwood	3/6/2018 7:00 PM
58	Stanwood	3/6/2018 6:59 PM
59	Stanwood	3/6/2018 6:58 PM
60	Stanwood	3/6/2018 6:40 PM
61	Stanwood	3/6/2018 5:17 PM
62	Camano/ Stanwood	3/6/2018 5:07 PM
63	Stanwood	3/6/2018 5:06 PM
64	Stanwood	3/6/2018 3:16 PM
65	Stanwood	3/6/2018 3:02 PM
66	Stanwood WA	3/6/2018 3:01 PM
67	Stanwood	3/6/2018 1:55 PM
68	Stanwood	3/6/2018 1:13 PM
69	Stanwood	3/6/2018 1:11 PM
70	Stanwood	3/6/2018 1:04 PM
71	Stanwood	3/6/2018 12:45 PM
72	Stanwood	3/6/2018 11:09 AM
73	Stanwood	3/6/2018 11:07 AM
74	Stanwood	3/6/2018 10:17 AM
75	Stanwood	3/6/2018 9:58 AM
76	Stanwood	3/6/2018 9:58 AM
77	Stanwood	3/6/2018 9:46 AM
78	Stanwood	3/6/2018 9:14 AM
79	Stanwood	3/6/2018 9:08 AM
80	Stanwood	3/6/2018 8:44 AM
81	Stanwood, WA 98292	3/6/2018 8:44 AM
82	Stanwood	3/6/2018 8:32 AM
83	Stanwood	3/6/2018 8:29 AM
84	Stanwood	3/6/2018 8:26 AM
85	Stanwood	3/6/2018 8:08 AM
86	Stanwood	3/6/2018 8:07 AM
87	Stanwood	3/6/2018 7:59 AM
88	Stanwood	3/6/2018 7:58 AM
89	Stanwood	3/6/2018 7:55 AM
90	Stanwood	3/6/2018 7:52 AM

91	Stanwood	3/6/2018 7:49 AM
92	Stanwood	3/6/2018 7:49 AM
93	Stanwood	3/6/2018 7:48 AM
94	Stanwood	3/6/2018 7:43 AM
95	Stanwood	3/6/2018 7:38 AM
96	Stanwood	3/6/2018 7:37 AM
97	Stanwood	3/6/2018 7:36 AM
98	Stanwood	3/6/2018 7:34 AM
99	Stanwood	3/6/2018 7:27 AM
100	Stanwood	3/6/2018 7:23 AM
101	Stanwood	3/6/2018 7:15 AM
102	Stanwood, Wa.	3/6/2018 7:14 AM
103	Stanwood	3/6/2018 7:04 AM
104	Stanwood	3/6/2018 7:00 AM
105	stanwood	3/6/2018 6:58 AM
106	Stanwood	3/6/2018 6:56 AM
107	Stanwood	3/6/2018 6:46 AM
108	Stanwood	3/6/2018 6:44 AM
109	Stanwood	3/6/2018 5:22 AM
110	Stanwood	3/6/2018 3:27 AM
111	Stanwood	3/6/2018 2:01 AM
112	stanwood	3/6/2018 12:33 AM
113	Stanwood	3/5/2018 10:54 PM
114	Stanwood	3/5/2018 10:34 PM
115	Stanwood, WA	3/5/2018 10:31 PM
116	stanwood	3/5/2018 10:00 PM
117	Stanwood	3/5/2018 9:47 PM
118	Stanwood	3/5/2018 9:46 PM
119	Stanwood	3/5/2018 9:46 PM
120	Stanwood	3/5/2018 9:18 PM
121	Stanwood	3/5/2018 9:14 PM
122	Stanwood	3/5/2018 8:57 PM
123	Stanwood	3/5/2018 8:51 PM
124	Stanwood	3/5/2018 8:41 PM
125	Stanwood, WA	3/5/2018 8:34 PM
126	Stanwood	3/5/2018 8:32 PM
127	Stanwood	3/5/2018 8:18 PM
128	Stanwood/WA	3/5/2018 8:12 PM
129	Stanwood	3/5/2018 8:04 PM
130	Stanwood	3/5/2018 7:28 PM
131	Stanwood	3/5/2018 3:52 PM

132	stanwood	3/5/2018 1:36 PM
133	Stanwood	3/5/2018 1:08 PM
134	Stanwood	3/5/2018 7:12 AM
135	Stanwood	3/5/2018 5:03 AM
136	Stanwood	3/4/2018 10:56 PM
137	Stanwood	3/4/2018 9:31 PM
138	Stanwood	3/4/2018 7:13 PM
139	stanwood wa	3/4/2018 5:47 PM
140	Stanwood	3/4/2018 2:51 PM
141	Stanwood	3/4/2018 2:41 PM
142	Stanwood	3/4/2018 1:24 PM
143	Stanwood	3/4/2018 11:11 AM
144	Stanwood	3/4/2018 10:05 AM
145	Stanwood	3/4/2018 9:46 AM
146	Stanwood	3/4/2018 6:55 AM
147	Stanwood	3/4/2018 6:37 AM
148	Stanwood	3/3/2018 9:40 PM
149	Stanwood	3/3/2018 6:48 PM
150	Stanwood	3/3/2018 5:58 PM
151	Stanwood	3/3/2018 5:12 PM
152	Stanwood	3/3/2018 4:11 PM
153	Stanwood	3/3/2018 4:00 PM
154	Stanwood	3/3/2018 3:48 PM
155	Stanwood	3/3/2018 11:51 AM
156	Stanwood	3/3/2018 8:07 AM
157	Stanwood	3/2/2018 2:14 PM
158	Stanwood	3/2/2018 12:41 PM
159	Stanwood	3/2/2018 9:15 AM
160	Stanwood	3/2/2018 8:02 AM
161	Stanwood	3/2/2018 7:52 AM
162	Stanwood	3/2/2018 7:46 AM
163	Stanwood	3/2/2018 7:30 AM
164	Stanwood	3/2/2018 5:30 AM
165	Stanwood	3/1/2018 11:41 PM
166	Stanwood	3/1/2018 8:53 PM
167	Stanwood	3/1/2018 8:43 PM
168	stanwood	3/1/2018 7:20 PM
169	Stanwood	3/1/2018 7:00 PM
170	STANWOOD	3/1/2018 6:22 PM
171	Stanwood	3/1/2018 5:19 PM
172	Stanwood	3/1/2018 4:51 PM

Q1 What overall impact would a marijuana retail store have on Stanwood?

Answered: 188 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Significant negative impact	42.55%	80
Slight negative impact	5.32%	10
No impact	8.51%	16
Slight positive impact	12.23%	23
Significant positive impact	31.38%	59
TOTAL		188

Q2 What impact would a downtown marijuana retail store have on surrounding businesses?

Answered: 188 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Significant negative impact	38.30%	72
Slight negative impact	7.98%	15
No impact	17.55%	33
Slight positive impact	13.83%	26
Significant positive impact	22.34%	42
TOTAL		188

Q3 How would a marijuana retail store affect future businesses decisions to move into downtown Stanwood?

Answered: 188 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Significantly discourage	33.51%	63
Somewhat discourage	14.36%	27
No affect	23.94%	45
Somewhat encourage	14.36%	27
Significantly encourage	13.83%	26
TOTAL		188

Q10 How long have you lived in Stanwood?

Answered: 188 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
0 - 5 years	21.28%	40
6 - 10 years	15.43%	29
11 - 15 years	18.62%	35
More than 16 years	44.68%	84
I don't live in Stanwood	0.00%	0
TOTAL		188

Q13 Other comments or concerns to be shared with the Stanwood Planning Commission?

Answered: 109 Skipped: 79

Q14 INFO: Only survey results with full name and address will analyzed and submitted to the Planning Committee

Answered: 188 Skipped: 0

ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Address	100.00%	188
City/Town	100.00%	188
Company	0.00%	0
Country	0.00%	0
Phone Number	0.00%	0
State/Province	0.00%	0
ZIP/Postal Code	0.00%	0
Address 2	0.00%	0
Email Address	3.72%	7
First and Last Name	100.00%	188

Q5 Should the decision to allow marijuana sales inside Stanwood be added to the November ballot for a vote by Stanwood citizens?

Answered: 265 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Strongly agree	55.47%	147
Agree	18.49%	49
Neither agree nor disagree	8.68%	23
Disagree	4.53%	12
Strongly disagree	12.83%	34
TOTAL		265

Q13 Other comments or concerns to be shared with the Stanwood Planning Commission?

Answered: 109 Skipped: 79

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	This survey is completely biased in their questions. Don't take this survey into account.	3/11/2018 12:12 AM
2	support Vote yes!!!	3/10/2018 6:06 PM
3	oppose It effects more than just in town residents. Those of us living out side of town but in the Stanwood school district should have a say before this important of an issue be allowed . We send our children to the schools, pay taxes to the schools and are directly effected by this type of discussion.	3/10/2018 4:48 AM
4	oppose Pedestrian deaths up over 10% in states with open marijuana laws. More DUI as well. Dealers are already selling drugs to KIDS in Haggen parking lots. Don't need more.	3/9/2018 1:37 PM
5	support I recognize the concerns around a marijuana shop. It doesn't help that the state requires them to operate in a cash only basis. But there are few negative impacts and a good deal of positive. It will bring more business to downtown, and it will allow people to access their drug of choice (let's face it -- the pharmacy next door, the grocery store selling alcohol, and the smoke shop selling vapes all offer a drug of choice! Leave the puritanical sensibilities out of this, read real information and existing studies on the non-addictive and positive benefits of marijuana, and make this a reality for Stanwood residents.	3/9/2018 12:30 PM
6	None	3/9/2018 9:56 AM
7	support I'd work on shutting down bars and making stricter alcohol laws. Alcohol is a much more devastating and harmful substance. Lost more than one friend to alcohol never lost one to weed growing up.	3/9/2018 7:23 AM
8	support Stanwood residence are buying their pot on Camano and other places. Youth don't buy at pot shops. They buy it off the street or get it from their parents. This will not change. They don't drink more because alcohol can be bought in stores. They wont smoke more if their is a pot shop in Stanwood	3/9/2018 6:12 AM
9	oppose I do not use Marijuana.	3/9/2018 6:03 AM
10	oppose Please do not allow a recreational marijuana store within Stanwood. I have worked and participated at events in a local town for the past 10yrs, who's higher standard of citizens, character, and life has been on a decline due to the increased presence of marijuana. The tax money is definitely a plus, but at what cost? A gateway drug for youth? Another avenue for locals to spend money on something just to forget the rough parts of their day while the money could be invested into more profitable things (family, home, personally, etc)? While I believe marijuana has a great medical quality when given by prescription, I believe it will only benefit thoes with a leaning towards drug use and addiction, and will negativity affect Stanwood eventually overall. Thanks.	3/9/2018 6:01 AM
11	oppose This is a very bad idea.	3/9/2018 1:04 AM
12	support I believe it could bring in extra city revenue with no danger to our youth. A licensed marijuana dispensary sells only to to adults 21 years of age or older and would curb the demand for marijuana from illegal sources that might also sell to kids. I would rather see marijuana sold in a controlled environment that keeps it out of the reach of our children.	3/8/2018 11:51 PM
13	support It's a good way to help business in Stanwood	3/8/2018 11:40 PM
14	oppose Stanwood does not need to bring this into our community! There is already one store on Camano and multiple in Mount Vernon. Let's bring more positive businesses that provide revenue into our town, not marijuana!	3/8/2018 7:57 PM
15	oppose I can't believe this is legal. Our whole government is so screwed up, it's not even funny.	3/8/2018 7:10 PM
16	N/A	3/8/2018 2:54 PM
17	None	3/8/2018 2:33 PM

18	support Maybe opening a store will give people jobs and that is always a good thing	3/8/2018 8:45 AM
19	support It should be out to a vote	3/8/2018 7:44 AM
20	support As with every other LEGAL product allowed to be sold within city limits, this product should as well. Alcohol IS legal AND sold within city limits. We already had prohibition with that, let's not have it with legal or medical marijuana.	3/8/2018 4:52 AM
21	support I think it might be one business that could stay open. I get tired of seeing stores open to only shutdown it's sad.	3/8/2018 3:13 AM
22	N/A	3/8/2018 12:37 AM
23	oppose Stanwood is a family friendly community, with strong schools, strong families and good neighbors. Bringing marijuana sales into the community would NOT be a drawing card for people to visit, live or move here.	3/7/2018 9:07 PM
24	Nothing at this time.	3/7/2018 8:10 PM
25	support Not just the revenue will help our community but with so many medical, and mental issues it would be an asset to our community	3/7/2018 7:32 PM
26	support Nothing bad can come of a marijuana store inside city limits	3/7/2018 7:17 PM
27	oppose We moved out of Seattle in part to get away from all the pot. I love that Stanwood doesn't have a pot shop.	3/7/2018 1:43 PM
28	support So many positives to bringing it to Stanwood.	3/7/2018 11:44 AM
29	support Although I am for marijuana, I strongly believe it to be an issue that underaged individuals are finding it so easily accessible. We definitely need to resolve this problem!	3/7/2018 9:53 AM
30	support I used to smoke when I was a teenager/early 20s, I don't smoke anymore. But it could benefit others who have health conditions that need it to function.	3/7/2018 9:11 AM
31	support This can only benefit stanwood. Without, we would be behind our neighboring communities.	3/7/2018 8:25 AM
32	Na	3/7/2018 7:57 AM
33	oppose I have done some research on marijuana and what happens to people who use it. There are controversial studies that show people who use mind altering drugs recreationally are at greater risk of psychosis. I have seen this happen on a personal level.	3/7/2018 6:26 AM
34	support these shops would increase revenue in those shops as well as eateries and other shops. kids will get what they want from anywhere like they always have. pot shops do not sell to minors	3/7/2018 5:24 AM
35	oppose We do not want marijuana tourism, it brings unwanted people. There should only be a vote-if without one-it would be allowed anyway. If there must be a marijuana store, it would be a good idea to keep it away from other businesses, like the "Bud Hut" on Camano.	3/6/2018 10:44 PM
36	oppose If smoking tobacco is bad for your health, how is smoking Marijuana is good.	3/6/2018 10:33 PM

37	oppose I think (personally) that Marijuana has ruined, torn up and destroyed families, both inside and out. I can relate to this because members of my family have had issues with drugs and it has impacted all of us in different ways. I don't want Stanwood to suffer as my family relationship has and I am strongly against the legalization of marijuana in all states and countries. I can especially see a cripple in reputation status of Stanwood. Because weed has different effects on everyone, people may be turned off by the idea that Stanwood may be a town full of stoners, which is what it could be, if we subccumb to the idea of letting weed ruin our glorious town. Myself being an 8th grader at Stanwood Middle school, I overhear many conversations, talking about how easy it is to get weed, so technically we already have a weed problem. I have an acquaintance that is in rehab because of weed and other drugs that they probably got in, around or during high school. A few other people in my family that are in high school say that it is easy to get a hold of drugs. What really makes me scratch my head is that every single morning I ride the bus down to the high school as part of my ride to school and home, I see police officers and sheriffs patrolling the grounds and I think "what good is putting guards around the school when ever we have kids smuggling drugs around like its a game of telephone?" And I can also see a problem economically because I see tons of hardworking teens in our small establishments that are very effective and work for their money and if weed was introduced into our area it could make these hardworking and very proud teens lazy, boring and useless, but I'm sure that the person reading this was a teen at some time in their life and (not saying everyone) even maybe have had a close up with drugs and what they can do to people if used incorrectly, they can render people violent, lazy, unsociable, and almost useless and MAYBE even kill the person if they OD on something. Anyways I hope that this helps explain some of the problems associated with the legalization of weed and also shows you the drug problems that are going on right under our noses. - William James Blake Longdon 3/6/18	3/6/2018 10:14 PM
38	oppose Really bad idea. Nobody wants a pot shop in Stanwood, detracts from our small town charisma. Please focus your planning and development efforts in areas that truly benefit this community and those that call it home.	3/6/2018 9:57 PM
39	oppose If you honestly look at crime in other near by city's that have this kind of business look at the break ins that are going on there I moved here to get my kids away from that kind of stuff going on	3/6/2018 8:58 PM
40	support No concerns. Legal shops operate under strict rules and regulations. It's harder to buy because photo identification is deeply scrutinized and must pass a black light testing for many.	3/6/2018 6:58 PM
41	support Stanwood needs to get with the future	3/6/2018 5:17 PM
42	support Vote YES	3/6/2018 5:07 PM
43	support i work in smokey point where there are 2 retaul pot shops...there are no kids under 21 hanging around the stores, the stores owners keep the place neater than other retailers and i believe that other businesses would benefit from the additional consumers coming to the area	3/6/2018 5:06 PM
44	support I believe that the presence of professional Marijuana retail stores actually helps to demystify "pot" which helps to reduce the allure it has for teenagers.	3/6/2018 3:16 PM
45	support I'm a recovering alcoholic/addict with 31 years of sobriety but I'm also a strong supporter of legalizing marijuana.	3/6/2018 3:02 PM
46	oppose Many bring up the case of alcohol vs marijuana. True, no one has ever OD'd on marijuana. But due to the fact that people take marijuana usage and availability so lightly, it gives it the impression that it is no more harmful than Tylenol. This leads people to ignore usage warnings on things such as edibles and consume amounts way beyond safe, as well as consume products that may be laced with other products they got from somewhere else due to marijuana being "safe."	3/6/2018 1:11 PM
47	support The prospects of the revenue that would come in thanks to the shop absolutely make me feel like it's worth it for our small town. When we had the medical shop and the recreational shop things were just fine. I don't see how this would make anything worse.	3/6/2018 1:04 PM
48	No	3/6/2018 12:45 PM
49	No	3/6/2018 11:09 AM
50	None	3/6/2018 9:14 AM
51	support This could bring a lot of business back to downtown. People here are already buying marijuana, it may as well benefit our city.	3/6/2018 9:08 AM

52	oppose I see little reason not to allow a marijuana dispensary in stanwood. the added revenue and more traffic through all our little shops nearby the store would be very good for the community. I am having a baby and i'd like to raise her in a city that doesnt beleive marijuana is some evil drug, when really its a plant that can help many people, I've used it now and then for headaches, bouts of insomnia and muscle fatigue. i dont see it as a drug but as Gods medicine. please consider allowing dispenseries to open in stanwood.	3/6/2018 8:44 AM
53	support It's already been opened up and the city even took money from someone to open a shop and then denied them. I don't know why the city of Stanwood feels that they have a right to stop small business in Stanwood but they like to try. It's one of the hardest cities I've ever owned and operated business in! I would think the benefits of Revenue would far outweigh the personal judgement. It's not the cities job two parent it's the city's job to produce Tax and Revenue for our community. It's a product that's readily available in other cities and people will gladly go to those cities or to Camano Island so at this point it's only a matter of Revenue. In the city of Stanwood is doing a disservice to its community by not allowing small businesses in taxes based on personal bias.	3/6/2018 8:32 AM
54	support People are going to buy it anyway. Why not get some tax revenue. Plus, it would be easier for the elderly and disabled to get to it if it were in town.	3/6/2018 8:26 AM
55	support If the city does all this right we can provide safer schools, help the poeple out that need help like housing, clothes, food, job training and so much more that our community needs. The kids are our future and if they come struggling family trying to make it to provide food on the table, clean clothes and a place call home. Making our schools safer would be great also. If there was active shooter at any of our schools it would be to late for the law to come in. There's needs to be more done to secure the safety of our community specially the kids. With the tax revenue from having marijuana store in our town can big help to us.	3/6/2018 8:07 AM
56	support If we're opposed to the revenue why are we so gladly accepting of the tax revenue from alcohol and tobacco that have no medicinal purpose and are highly districtive and addictive.	3/6/2018 7:59 AM
57	support People that want MJ will get it, wether they buy in city limits or pickup from somewhere else locally. Might as well collect the tax revenue. How many other small cities do not allow sales of MJ? As far as I know, none. I know it's a change for small town, but we need to get with the times. I own a commercial bundling in downtown Stanwood, and would welcome a lot shop as a tenant.	3/6/2018 7:49 AM
58	support Anyone opposed to this is painfully misinformed. Coca-Cola is worse for you, welcome to the 21st century...	3/6/2018 7:49 AM
59	support This creates jobs and revenue for this sleepy little town! Stop being afraid of change in this community and move forward.	3/6/2018 7:43 AM
60	support I think commercial marijuana is overpriced for youth consumption. If they are going to buy weed, they're gonna get it from their sources who provide lower quality products for cheaper.	3/6/2018 7:38 AM
61	oppose Review how other cities have been impacted by 420 shops. The ones that I have seen, seems to make the area a little trashy and run down.	3/6/2018 7:37 AM
62	support Make sure that people for and against marijuana know correct information. And that the people against marijuana don't think that 1. Marijuana leads you to harder drugs (it doesn't) 2. Marijuana is addictive (proven that it is not physically addicting. It can be emotionally addictive but LITERALY anything can be emotionally addictive and says more about the individual than about the object of addiction.) states/cities that have legalized marijuana and allowed it within city limits have enjoyed a downward trend in violent and general crime. As well as an increase in city tax revenue which goes a long way in a small town like Stanwood. Looking around at other cities, the allowance of medical or recreational marijuana shops within city limits does not inhibit buisnesses from moving into town.	3/6/2018 7:36 AM
63	support With our current problems with drugs in Stanwood, how can we put money ahead of our kids? I believe a shop would discourage our older citizens and families from shopping in Stanwood. Just look at the increase in crime involving pot shops. Not in our town! Take a stand!	3/6/2018 7:23 AM
64	oppose Please do not allow marijuana to be sold in this city.	3/6/2018 7:15 AM
65	oppose Humm let's see..a little bit of extra cash vs possible road 2 addicts for the rest of their lives?? No way. Why make it so easy for our youth. Wake up and be the first 2 say NO, not in our town.	3/6/2018 7:14 AM

66	oppose I would say this is a decision that should not be taken lightly. I can tell you from personal experience with all of the marijuana shops opening it is not something I feel the need to have to explain to my children ages range from 5 to 14. If the law is changed the name of the store should not be like most of the other names that are currently in business. For example the one that is on the island by the lumber yard is always something my youngest asks me about when we drive into the island.	3/6/2018 7:04 AM
67	oppose Why would we want in a business that does not have a positive effect on the citizens of this community?	3/6/2018 7:00 AM
68	support I travel a lot and Marijuana shops have only had positive impacts on communities it takes Marijuana off the streets and keeps it safely put away in homes	3/6/2018 6:58 AM
69	oppose please do not add to the growing drug problem in our community by allowing the sale of Marijuana. We should be decreasing the availability of drugs, not making them easier to access.	3/6/2018 6:46 AM
70	None	3/6/2018 6:44 AM
71	oppose Please make choices that consider what working families go through, and not just your tax revenues. Teens in Stanwood need better community support and investment. Not a green light on drug culture.	3/5/2018 10:54 PM
72	support I believe marijuana is a close comparison to alcohol. It can be used responsibly by most folks. It can also be abused. It should not be provided to minors.	3/5/2018 10:34 PM
73	support If alcohol is allowed to be sold within the city limits, then marijuana should be no different. It should be treated as any other business.	3/5/2018 10:31 PM
74	oppose It doesn't make sense to make something like marijuana so easily accessible to children who's minds are so young. It effects their brains long term.	3/5/2018 10:00 PM
75	support It would be good for the folks who can buy it so they don't have to commute long distances. It'll also help the city by contributing as it says in the question 10-20k per year which is always good right ?	3/5/2018 9:18 PM
76	oppose I would not feel safe shopping at the other local stores surrounding a "pot shop". Please keep drug use and the crime it brings out of our city.	3/5/2018 9:14 PM
77	support I love Stanwood I've lived in the same house for over 30 years and I believe that people are smart and intelligent enough to make decisions for themselves and the fact that this town could use the revenue from marijuana it definitely should be a least put on the ballot to open it up	3/5/2018 8:57 PM
78	None	3/5/2018 8:34 PM
79	oppose Adding a retail outlet to Stanwood, a city that has Regional and National recognition as an area with a meth problem is not in anyone's best interest.	3/5/2018 8:32 PM
80	support It would bring needed new business into our community...	3/5/2018 8:18 PM
81	No	3/5/2018 8:12 PM
82	oppose Please don't place a Marijuana shop in our sweet little town.	3/5/2018 3:52 PM
83	support People can get it on the island or in conway.	3/5/2018 1:36 PM
84	oppose Legalized marijuana for recreational use has been linked to an increase in pedestrian deaths. Please don't buy into the falsehood that what people do in private has no public consequences. We don't want recreational marijuana in our town. Thank you.	3/5/2018 1:08 PM
85	oppose I believe Nothing good can come from allowing a marijuana store in the City of Stanwood.	3/4/2018 10:56 PM
86	support It would help other business grow and the tax incentive will help the city improve	3/4/2018 1:24 PM
87	support How about concentrating on getting the sale of heroin policed and prosecuted along with understanding and acting to reduce suicide in school age kids. Those two actions would positively increase our population and tax base.	3/4/2018 11:11 AM
88	You should also allow a target or Fred Myer to come in.	3/4/2018 10:05 AM
89	support Revenue...MJ has come a long ways from where it used to be. Alcohol is far more dangerous than cannibis.	3/4/2018 9:46 AM

90	oppose Focus on making Stanwood a clean, middle class community. Pot stores do not have a positive image on a community and, in my opinion, make our community feel trashy. Stanwood did not want Walmart here for the same reason. Keep Stanwood as a symbol of class.	3/4/2018 6:55 AM
91	oppose The tax revenue of marijuana sales would not compensate for the loss of Stanwood's family friendliness	3/4/2018 6:37 AM
92	oppose With the pot shop on Camano I see no reason to have a shop in downtown Stanwood.	3/3/2018 4:11 PM
93	support I strongly believe that accepting the marijuana industry is a benefit to the social and economic development of stanwood	3/3/2018 4:00 PM
94	support This could be so beneficial for our community. There's nothing to fear from a damn plant. It does more good than not.	3/3/2018 11:51 AM
95	oppose I would be very disappointed to see Stanwood allow a recreational marijuana facility in his town. It would be a huge problem with our youth. Think of our future generations.	3/2/2018 12:41 PM
96	oppose I believe that allowing a marijuana shop in Stanwood would degrade the vision we have and long to keep for Stawood. May we generate taxes in a less destructive way. Thank you for all you do to keep Stanwood a safe and wonderful place to live and work.	3/2/2018 9:15 AM
97	oppose We love our little downtown. Adding a marijuana store would give that area a very different feel. As a Stanwood resident, I realize that the income would benefit my family, but I'd rather that our city offer less services and be a place where marijuana isn't upheld as a good option for recreation.	3/1/2018 8:53 PM
98	oppose Stanwood is a family town and would not be good to have this type of shop in our area.	3/1/2018 7:00 PM
99	support We use marijuana for pain relief. It's a hardship to go out of town to find it.	3/1/2018 4:51 PM
100	No no no!!	3/1/2018 4:24 PM
101	oppose Please don't allow this in our town. We need to bring business that are family friendly to our town. We want to create a welcoming beautiful city. Look at Viking Village for example... do you think the current Smoke Shop adds to our city? It looks like trash city!! Is that what you want more of? Please Please keep it classy Stanwood. Our kids deserve better.	3/1/2018 1:27 PM
102	oppose Please weigh the benefits of gaining tax revenue with the reality of Stanwood being a small, relatively isolate city with little recreation options. Marijuana could pose a greater risk for youth in this location that it might elsewhere, especially given the existing high risk of drug use by students. Thank you for allowing the community to weigh in on this important issue.	3/1/2018 12:28 PM
103	oppose I would be less concerned about a MJ shop going into Stanwood if it was less visable. If the city is determined to have a pot shop at least hide it away and not locate it in main street. What is this going to do to 'trick or treat main street" or June's car show or other street fairs held on main street. Stanwood is such a family friendly town and a normalize pot use and locate it next to family friendly restaurants and shops is an extremely bad idea.	3/1/2018 11:45 AM
104	oppose Many years ago I used marijuana and it is highly addictive; can easily be a gateway to stronger drugs; it is not "safe"!	3/1/2018 11:37 AM
105	oppose Please don't ruin our beautiful community for the sake of perceived financial gain (which won't be gain at all).	3/1/2018 11:33 AM
106	oppose Keep drugs out of our community! Keep it country and local! Please listen to your citizens. Larger towns like Marysville and Snohomish do not allow marijuana.	3/1/2018 11:04 AM
107	oppose The City of Stanwood Ordinance 1376 which banned marijuana processors, producers, and retailers in the City of Stanwood includes some of the best arguments why marijuana is bad for our town. The city's own document passed by the City Council and signed by Mayor Kelley less than 4 years ago is 12 pages of arguments against the very thing the Planning Commission and City Council are now considering and even promoting. When risk-reward is considered, legalizing marijuana sales in Stanwood is very high risk with very low reward. Marijuana has been well proven to have serious negative effects on society in general and individuals and families. Even if the city stood to gain a lot of revenue this would be a bad - but the fact that the potential revenue to the city is so small makes this terrible and even reckless.	3/1/2018 10:39 AM

108	oppose I listen to families express their love for this Small Town Community and the reason they moved here was to get away from the drugs and other issues in other cities. Do we allow something that will cause families to move away? Or do we keep families here by providing a Safe Healthy Friendly enviorment to raise their children? We can not risk our children or the health of our city for some silver coins.	3/1/2018 10:31 AM
109	oppose No marijuana in Stanwood!!!	3/1/2018 8:42 AM

STANWOOD SALES

Marijuana sales within Stanwood city limits.

1.

The City of Stanwood is considering changing current laws such that a marijuana retail store could be opened in the Mainstreet Business and General Commercial zones behind the Stanwood Cupcakes shop and near Rite Aid in downtown Stanwood.

Though 56% of Washingtonians voted to decriminalize marijuana in 2012, only 49.5% of Stanwood voted the same. It is unclear if the majority of Stanwoodites have changed their views and now support marijuana sales within Stanwood city limits. The results of this survey will be shared with the Stanwood Planning Commission to help with their decision. Only survey results from internet service providers originating in Stanwood will be evaluated. This issue was not requested by the City Council or the Planning Commission but by concerned citizens.

Please forward this survey to any Stanwood residents who you feel may have an opinion about this issue.

Live survey results can be found at:
https://www.surveymonkey.com/results/SM-GNPV22YZ8/

* 1. What overall impact would a marijuana retail store have on Stanwood?

- ◯ Significant negative impact
- ◯ Slight negative impact
- ◯ No impact
- ◯ Slight positive impact
- ◯ Significant positive impact

Other (please specify)

* 2. What impact would a downtown marijuana retail store have on surrounding businesses?

- ◯ Significant negative impact
- ◯ Slight negative impact
- ◯ No impact
- ◯ Slight positive impact
- ◯ Significant positive impact

Other (please specify)

* 3. How would a marijuana retail store affect future businesses decisions to move into downtown Stanwood?

- ◯ Significantly discourage
- ◯ Somewhat discourage
- ◯ No affect
- ◯ Somewhat encourage
- ◯ Significantly encourage

Other (please specify)

* 4. Should the decision to allow marijuana sales inside Stanwood be added to the November ballot for a vote by Stanwood citizens?

- ◯ Strongly agree
- ◯ Agree
- ◯ Neither agree nor disagree
- ◯ Disagree
- ◯ Strongly disagree

If No, please explain why.

* 5. How long have you lived in Stanwood?

- ◯ 0 - 5 years
- ◯ 6 - 10 years
- ◯ 11 - 15 years
- ◯ More than 16 years
- ◯ I don't live in Stanwood

6. Other comments or concerns to be shared with the Stanwood Planning Commission?

7. INFO: Only survey results with full name and address will analyzed and submitted to the Planning Committee

First and Last Name

Address

City/Town

Email Address

STANWOOD SALES

Marijuana sales within Stanwood city limits.

2.

The following questions may be considered biased and leading by some and will not be included in the results shared with the City Planning Committee

* 8. Using previous years sales from nearby towns marijuana retail stores, between $20K and $50K annual tax revenue for the city could be expected. Is this, and the money from associated marijuana tourism enough to justify bringing marijuana into Stanwood.

- ◯ Definitely isn't
- ◯ Probably isn't
- ◯ Unsure
- ◯ Probably is
- ◯ Definitely is

Other (please specify)

* 9. The 2016 Washington Healthy Youth study found 27% of 10th graders thought marijuana was "very easy" to obtain. Would Stanwood youth have more access to marijuana if a downtown marijuana shop is opened?

- ◯ Strongly agree
- ◯ Agree
- ◯ Neither agree nor disagree
- ◯ Disagree
- ◯ Strongly disagree

Other (please specify)

* 10. In 2016, 48% of Washington 8th graders perceived a great risk of regular marijuana use. Would the presence of a downtown marijuana retail store change Stanwood youths perceived risk of marijuana use?

- ◯ Strongly agree
- ◯ Agree
- ◯ Neither agree nor disagree
- ◯ Disagree
- ◯ Strongly disagree

Other (please specify)

* 11. Do you believe that marijuana is a gateway drug?

- ◯ Strongly agree
- ◯ Agree
- ◯ Neither agree nor disagree
- ◯ Disagree
- ◯ Strongly disagree

Other (please specify)

* 12. Is Marijuana addictive?

- ◯ Strongly agree
- ◯ Agree
- ◯ Neither agree nor disagree
- ◯ Disagree
- ◯ Strongly disagree

Other (please specify)

* 13. Are there children under the age of 18 currently living in your home?

- ◯ Yes
- ◯ No

Other (please specify)

14. Would you like to be kept informed of the status of this issue?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No

About The Survey

The point of this survey was to try to determine what the majority of Stanwoodites really think about marijuana sales in town. Though the majority voted to legalize marijuana, it isn't clear they were also approving a recreational store on main street.

The pole was put together hastily last week when it was learned that there would soon be another vote on this issue. It was not created by a professional unbiased party by any means and the grammar and wording make this evident. In the interest of full disclosure, the introduction states that the survey was created by opponents. The questions try to address the concerns often voiced in this debate. It is somewhat expected that a poll sponsored by opponents would not initially have much credibility with supporters. To give the survey more credibility, only results from those who share their name and address will be counted. Survey can be edited up until the DONE button is pressed.

Though the survey was sent to core opponents, supporters and as unrelated parties as possible. It has taken on a life of it's own as it has been shared and liked through Facebook.

Live survey results can be found at:
https://www.surveymonkey.com/results/SM-ST3PZLTP8/

Ryan C. Larsen

From: Erica Schorn
Sent: Wednesday, March 21, 2018 7:39 AM
To: Devin M Sola
Cc: Ryan C. Larsen
Subject: FW: Let the people vote!

-----Original Message-----
From: Nancy H [mailto:nancyswandahansen@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, March 20, 2018 9:19 PM
To: Erica Schorn <erica.schorn@ci.stanwood.wa.us>
Subject: Let the people vote!

Erica.
Please forward this to the city council & the city planning commission.

Please let the people of Stanwood vote and decide whether or not our town should allow retail marijuana shops.

Thank you for your consideration!
Sincerely, Nancy Hansen

Sent from my iPhone

Ryan C. Larsen

From: Erica Schorn
Sent: Wednesday, March 21, 2018 7:48 AM
To: Devin M Sola
Cc: Ryan C. Larsen
Subject: FW: Let the people vote!

From: Mark Palmer [mailto:palmeroncamano@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, March 20, 2018 9:52 PM
To: Erica Schorn <erica.schorn@ci.stanwood.wa.us>
Subject: Let the people vote!

Erica,

I would appreciate it if you would forward this to the city council and the city planning commission.

Please allow the people of Stanwood to vote and decide whether or not our town should allow retail marijuana shops.

Thank you,

Karen Palmer

Ryan C. Larsen

From: Erica Schorn
Sent: Wednesday, March 21, 2018 7:51 AM
To: Leonard Kelley; Ryan C. Larsen
Subject: FW: Put it to vote

From: Becki [mailto:sjandrjbrandis@aol.com]
Sent: Wednesday, March 21, 2018 3:27 AM
To: Erica Schorn <erica.schorn@ci.stanwood.wa.us>
Subject: Put it to vote

The citizens of Stanwood deserve a say in what business comes to their town. Put the issue on the ballot and let our voices be heard. Marijuana has no place on Main St.,

Sent from my Verizon Wireless 4G LTE DROID

Ryan C. Larsen

From: Erica Schorn
Sent: Wednesday, March 21, 2018 7:52 AM
To: Leonard Kelley; Ryan C. Larsen
Subject: FW: Let The People Vote

From: Todd Pederson [mailto:TNKPEDERSON@msn.com]
Sent: Wednesday, March 21, 2018 7:28 AM
To: Erica Schorn <erica.schorn@ci.stanwood.wa.us>
Subject: Let The People Vote

Good Morning Erica,
We love our community and are deeply concerned about the upcoming decision regarding the issue of marijuana sales. Please give the citizens of Stanwood an opportunity to participate in the Advisory vote in November for the issue of marijuana sales within the City of Stanwood.

Thank you,
Sincerely,
Todd, Karen, & David Pederson

Ryan C. Larsen

From: Erica Schorn
Sent: Wednesday, March 21, 2018 9:18 AM
To: Devin M Sola
Cc: Ryan C. Larsen; Leonard Kelley
Subject: FW: Let the people vote

-----Original Message-----
From: Debbie Klein [mailto:debbieklein335@frontier.com]
Sent: Wednesday, March 21, 2018 9:09 AM
To: Erica Schorn <erica.schorn@ci.stanwood.wa.us>
Subject: Fw: Let the people vote

--- On Tue, 3/20/18, Debbie Klein <debbieklein335@frontier.com> wrote:

> From: Debbie Klein <debbieklein335@frontier.com>
> Subject: Let the people vote
> To: Erica.schorn@ci.Stanwood.was.us
> Date: Tuesday, March 20, 2018, 11:10 PM
>
> I am writing to request that the
> decision to allow retail marijuana sales in Stanwood be put to a vote
> of the citizens. This is too important and controversial of an issue
> for the members of the Council to make on their own. I appreciate
> your allowing public comment at the planning meeting. The strong
> feelings for preserving Stanwood for our children and the negative
> attitude toward marijuana sales should influence the Council to allow
> residents to vote on this.
>
> Please forward this email to the entire city council and the city
> planning commission.
>
> Thank you,
>
> Debbie Klein
>

Ryan C. Larsen

From: Erica Schorn
Sent: Wednesday, March 21, 2018 9:32 AM
To: Devin M Sola
Cc: Ryan C. Larsen
Subject: FW: Let The People Vote

From: Peggy Kitting [mailto:peggypooh321@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, March 21, 2018 9:29 AM
To: Erica Schorn <erica.schorn@ci.stanwood.wa.us>
Subject: Let The People Vote

Dear Erica Schorn,

My family opposes a Retail marijuana shop in Stanwood and we do not agree with the city council's decision to allow such a shop in our town.

I am requesting that you please forwarded this email to the city council & the city planning commission.

I am asking the city council and the planning commission to let the citizens of Stanwood vote on this issue of allowing retail marijuana in our town.

Thank you,

Peggy Kitting
7229 286th PL NW
Stanwood, WA 98292

Ryan C. Larsen

From: Erica Schorn
Sent: Wednesday, March 21, 2018 9:44 AM
To: Devin M Sola
Cc: Ryan C. Larsen
Subject: FW: LET THE PEOPLE VOTE

From: Bruce Kitting [mailto:bkitting1@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, March 21, 2018 9:42 AM
To: Erica Schorn <erica.schorn@ci.stanwood.wa.us>
Subject: LET THE PEOPLE VOTE

Dear Erica Schorn,

My family opposes a Retail marijuana shop in Stanwood and we do not agree with the city council's decision to allow such a shop in our town.

I am requesting that you please forwarded this email to the city council & the city planning commission.

I am asking the city council and the planning commission to let the citizens of Stanwood vote on this issue of allowing retail marijuana in our town.

Thank you,

Bruce Kitting
7229 286th PL NW
Stanwood, WA 98292

Ryan C. Larsen

From: Alicia Stadler <leeshee37@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 21, 2018 2:50 PM
To: Ryan C. Larsen
Subject: Opposition Marijuana

Ryan Larsen, Interim City Administrator
10220 270th Street NW
Stanwood, WA 98292

Dear Mr. Larsen,

Please make me a party of record re: Retail Marijuana Sales in Stanwood, stating my opposition to the operation of such a business in our community.

My family has moved back (built a house) to Stanwood after living elsewhere for the past five years. We missed this community! It saddens my husband and I that after putting in a year's worth of work to creating our home in Stanwood's friendly, safe, small town that there is now a potential threat of drugs being sold downtown.

Marijuana may be a legal drug now, but I do not believe it fits in with the values of the beautiful town of Stanwood. Let's please keep it out for the sake of keeping our town of Stanwood a family place.

Thank you for your consideration on this very important matter.

Sincerely,

Alicia Stadler
3204 256th Street NW
Stanwood, WA 98292
leeshee37@yahoo.com

Sent from my iPhone

Ryan C. Larsen

From: Erica Schorn
Sent: Wednesday, March 21, 2018 3:34 PM
To: Devin M Sola
Cc: Ryan C. Larsen
Subject: FW: LET PEOPLE VOTE

From: GARY [mailto:ganawilliams72@comcast.net]
Sent: Wednesday, March 21, 2018 3:10 PM
To: Erica Schorn <erica.schorn@ci.stanwood.wa.us>
Subject: LET PEOPLE VOTE

Dear Erica Schorn:

My family opposes retail marijuana shops in Stanwood. We do not agree with the city council's decision.

We are requesting that you please forward this email to the city council and the city planning commission. We are asking the city council and the planning commission to let the citizens of Stanwood vote on this issue of allowing retail marijuana in our town.

We voted the city council in trusting that they would work for the people of Stanwood and would listen to our voices! They can be voted out at another election.

Thank you,
Gary and Nancy Williams

Sent from Xfinity Connect Mobile App

March 22, 2018

To The Honorable Planning Commission of Stanwood

Dear Commission Members,

On St. Patrick's Day of this year my wife and I attended the funeral of a 22-year old young man; a childhood friend of our children. We had been told that his death and that of his girlfriend were a result of drugs, but few details were shared. He came from a large family, and a few of his siblings shared their thoughts at his funeral. As they talked, it became more and more clear that his descent into the drug culture was incremental and we could not help but wonder whether his introduction to that world began with pot. More than once the heart-wrenching comment was made that they expected the large number of folks gathered to be at his wedding, not his funeral.

So how many young people are there out there on the same road who are days, weeks, months, or years from the same fate? How do we determine who will and who won't succumb to the lure to try something just a little more mind-altering? How many of those who do succumb to that lure will "pull out of it" with no effects and how many will reduce their chances for a more fulfilled life by either compromising their health, compromising their employability, or even ending up dead like this young man? Do we become accomplices to those compromises or their death by making it easier to start down that road?

And please don't justify pot by saying it has less of an impact than alcohol and that alcohol is abundantly available. That is somewhat like telling a patient with pancreatic cancer not to worry about his newly-diagnosed lung cancer. We grant you that both pot and alcohol have medicinal applications, but our old-fashioned opinion is that to make either readily available for recreational purposes does a disservice to those who are not capable of managing their own proclivity for over-indulging.

We therefore respectfully request that the Commission forward a recommendation to the City Council of putting the question of pot sales in Stanwood to a vote of the people at the least, or preferably, recommend that the City maintain the ban on sales outright.

Thank you for your consideration.

Respectfully,

Wendell & Julie Johnson
852 Madrona Beach Road
Camano Island, WA

Ryan C. Larsen

From: Aleph Fackenthall <aleph.fackenthall@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 22, 2018 7:52 AM
To: Ryan C. Larsen; Erica Schorn
Subject: 1st Letter to the Editor

Hello Erica/Ryan,
Could you include this 'Letter to the Editor' in the documents that get sent to the City Council.

Who cares about marijuana sales in Stanwood?
In 2016 there was a movement to allow the sale of recreational marijuana within Stanwood city limits. The primary players included a medical marijuana dispensary business owner and an employee, the planning commission and city council. Many secondary players, also supporters, were from the dispensary's several-hundred customer base.
One surprising and unexpected opposing voice was heard at one meeting. An articulate and well-prepared eighth-grader shared her concerns, only to be met during and after with grumbling, threatening and snide remarks from the supporters. For her safety, at a subsequent meeting, she and her mom were offered a police escort to their car.
At the June 23, 2016 meeting, the sign on the dispensary door could well have read, "Speak your mind at the upcoming council meeting or our doors will close on July 1." The primary and many more of the secondary players spoke their opinions vehemently. However, none of the supporters said the drive to another store outside city limits would be of much hardship.
Once the public at large learned of the upcoming vote at the July 15 council meeting, there was a huge influx of well-reasoned opponents who had no monetary interest and who were only related by their desire to keep Stanwood a wholesome, healthy and safe community.
With new city council members recently elected, the story is once again unfolding. What will our city officials' legacy be? Will they be known for recommending local marijuana sales for the estimated $20K tax revenue it could provide? Will they make pot readily available for those who don't want to drive a short distance to a less populated location? Or, will they stand firm against the negative impact of sales so close to the children, families and businesses represented in our hometown?

Aleph Fackenthall
Stanwood

Ryan C. Larsen

From: Aleph Fackenthall <aleph.fackenthall@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 22, 2018 7:55 AM
To: Ryan C. Larsen; Erica Schorn
Subject: 2nd Letter to the Editor

Hello Ryan/Erica,
This is the 2nd 'Letter to the Editor'. Can you include this in the packet being sent to the City Council.

Let the people vote.

In last week's Planning Meeting, wise words were shared regarding marijuana sales. "This is such a controversial subject that Stanwood citizens should have an advisory vote". This comment fostered the idea of creating a survey to discover the opinion of Stanwood citizens. Many joined efforts and the Stanwood Marijuana Survey was launched, spreading primarily via Facebook, with over 550 individuals completing the survey in the first week. https://surveymonkey.com/r/StanwoodMarijuanaSales

When the survey results were initially shared with me, they were strongly in opposition to retail sales. However as time progressed and as more supporters 'liked' and 'shared', a more balanced vote appeared.

This survey was not initiated by the city or the planning commission but by concerned citizens, whose view was understandably biased. However, this bias appears to have been mitigated by three factors: the disclaimer at the beginning of the survey, the volume of individual responses, and the filtering out of anonymous voters.

On the main topics of importance—the overall impact of a marijuana store in town and whether or not such a store would negatively affect current or future business—the results were evenly split. However, over 370 individuals (77% of those polled), said that this question should be put to the vote of the citizens.
As a result of the past election, the city now has the council votes to impose the decision to allow local sales of marijuana. Unfortunately, the stance of council candidates on this issue was not included in the voters' pamphlet, or the outcome might have been different. It would be prudent for the current council members to understand the perspective of the majority of citizens prior to changing this law.

Follow the example of the City of Snohomish. Let the citizens vote before changing the law.

Aleph Fackenthall

Ryan C. Larsen

From: Nancy H <nancyswandahansen@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 22, 2018 1:00 PM
To: Ryan C. Larsen; Erica Schorn
Subject: Please submit as "Party of Record" for March 26th meeting

Hello.

Please submit this as a "party of record" for the March 26th public hearing and to the packet that goes forward to the city council on the topic of marijuana retail in Stanwood.

I would like to ask the city to please let the people of Stanwood vote on whether or not Stanwood should allow retail marijuana shops in our downtown. Stanwood has ALWAYS voted NO, even in the 2012 Snohomish county election, when Stanwood's votes were factored out of the whole, we voted no on I-502.
Please let the people decide by a vote.

The following is the "Letter to the Editor" I submitted to the Stanwood paper on March first and which they ran shortly after.

Marijuana
Don't let city revisit prospect of a 'drug' store

Dear Editor,

Legalizing marijuana retail shops and production facilities in the city of Stanwood would negatively impact the safe, friendly, family feel of our wonderful small town. Having such a storefront visible from Main Street, near the cupcake shop, would normalize marijuana use to children, reduce a teen's perception of its risks and create a situation in which our city would financially benefit from more of our citizens becoming drug users.
In 2016 the city council voted on this issue, and it did not pass. Two of the positions on the city council have now changed and the vote now will likely be 5 to 2 in favor of allowing marijuana shops in town, according to a former city council member's opinion.
At the Feb. 22 city council meeting, the mayor did not allow anyone to speak on this issue during the time of public comment. The following Monday, nearly 30 community members attended the city planning commission meeting where a 22-page document was presented at City Council's request.
Several community members shared their researched reasons for wanting the planning commission to oppose this plan. No one from the audience spoke in favor of it. Steve Shepro from the city planning commission shared statistics and estimated that the revenue gained by the city would be about $10-20K annually.
Community members said the money did not outweigh the unknown impacts of marijuana retail stores on a city, the probability that teens would have more access to marijuana and the fact that marijuana is a still a federally illegal schedule 1 drug.
Community residents should attend the city council meeting on March 8 to voice their opinion about Stanwood allowing marijuana retail stores and production facilities in our town. The city council said there will be an upcoming public hearing but has not announced the date yet.

Nancy Hansen
Stanwood

Ryan C. Larsen

From: Sarah Cookston <sfcookston@hotmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 22, 2018 4:27 PM
To: Ryan C. Larsen
Subject: Party of Record - March 26th

Dear Mr. Larsen,

This is just a letter to request to be a party of record for the March 26th meeting and to inform those it may concern, that I am opposed to retail marijuana shops in Stanwood. I think it will end up costing the city in the long run, in more ways than one. I would like to mirror what other cities have done, and ban them from the city.

Thank you for your time,

Sarah Cookston
28420 Nordic Way,
Stanwood, WA 98292

Ryan C. Larsen

From: jan_schem <jan_schem@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 22, 2018 9:03 PM
To: Ryan C. Larsen
Subject: Marijuana Store

Dear Ryan,
I voted for the legalization of marijuana in Washington State. I am not anti-weed , but I am against this crazy idea. While we know what the laws are regarding age, we also know that there are many adults eager to provide weed to kids...and Stanwood Middle School is right down the street. We already have a "Bud Hut" on Camano Island, do we really need another weed storefront? With all the massive over-building going on in this little town, I doubt we need the tax dollars that badly. Why don't we really look at the bigger picture here? Who will really benefit from this...certainly not our kids.
Jan Schemenauer

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

Ryan C. Larsen

From: Diane Veazey <thevzfamily@frontier.com>
Sent: Friday, March 23, 2018 8:17 AM
To: Ryan C. Larsen
Subject: Marijuana Store in Stanwood

Mr. Larsen,

I am writing as a party of record to share my concerns of the potential marijuana store planned for the city of Stanwood. My husband and I own a small business on the same street that the potential marijuana shop is hoping to open. In fact, it would be within view of our business, just across the street.

I strongly oppose any marijuana retail shops in Stanwood. There is no need for one here as there is a marijuana shop a few miles on Camano Island which is only a short distance from this downtown area.

My concerns are many. There are two public schools that are only a few blocks from that area. Children from the high school (which is up the hill just a bit) walk along 271st daily as well. It seems dangerous to allow a marijuana store to be placed on that street. The public library is just down the street, and a church where hundreds of youth gather each week is only a few blocks away. The proposed location is not well thought out. There are too many children and tourists that walk that area. There is a cupcake store only 50 yards or so from the proposed location. An apartment complex is on the same block as the proposed location. A marijuana store just doesn't "fit" in this quaint, downtown location on so many levels.

Finally, I request that this issue be voted on by the citizens of Stanwood. This issue needs to be on the ballot for us to voice our concerns. It will affect our entire community and our voice needs to be heard.

Thank you,

Diane Veazey
Stanwood, WA

Sent with Mailtrack

Ryan C. Larsen

From: Kristie Flickner <kflickmops@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, March 23, 2018 2:45 PM
To: Ryan C. Larsen
Subject: Party of record for 3/26 meeting

Hello,
I have spoken already at a February meeting so I would like to be on record as against marijuana retail shop in downtown Stanwood. Please reconsider, the placement is horrible, and the people want a vote! Thank you.

Respectfully,
Kristie Flickner
842 Madrona Beach Rd
Camano Island, WA

Ryan C. Larsen

From: Mark Palmer <palmeroncamano@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, March 23, 2018 4:33 PM
To: Ryan C. Larsen
Subject: Marijuana--Stanwood

Ryan,

As a Camano Island resident and mom of 5, I oppose the idea of marijuana retail shops in Stanwood.

I also believe that the citizens of Stanwood should be allowed to vote on this controversial issue.

Please make me a "party of record" for the March 26th public hearing.

Thank you,

Karen Palmer

Ryan C. Larsen

From: Wendell Johnson <wenartjohn@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, March 23, 2018 10:28 PM
To: Ryan C. Larsen
Subject: Planning Commission Recommendation Regarding Marijuana sales
Attachments: Pot Recommendation.jpeg

Mr. Larsen,
Please accept our attached letter for the record as our opposition to the sale of marijuana in the City of Stanwood. Please forward to members of the Planning Commission.

Thank you.

Wendell Johnson
wenartjohn@gmail.com
(425) 238-2832

Ryan C. Larsen

From: Nancy H <nancyswandahansen@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, March 24, 2018 4:11 PM
To: Ryan C. Larsen; Erica Schorn; Clerk, City; Elizabeth Callaghan; Timothy Pearce
Subject: Emails to city planning commission never forwarded? Please respond

Hello.

Kelly McGill has told me he has only been forwarded 2 emails from the city since all of the marijuana correspondence has began.

He said he never received this email:
I sent it on 2/28

Subject: Josh Shade, marijuana

Please forward these questions to the city council & the city planning commission.

Hello.

I have a few questions that have come up after last night's meeting.

A person from Snohomish is warning our Stanwood citizens of a person named, Josh Shade, who has rented a shop in Stanwood with the purpose to sell marijuana. Is this true? Where is his shop located? What is it called and what is its current business? Does he

live in Stanwood? Does he own & run other pot businesses?

Also, can you tell me how the city planning commission is elected? Is it by ballot or appointment? People have asked me and I'm unsure of the process.

Thank you,
Sincerely,
Nancy Hansen

Sent from my iPhone

See More from Nancy H

Faceb... ●●○○○ LTE 3:44 PM

Home (1)

Kelly McGill >
Messenger

Mine would have been forwarded by erica Schorn or ryan larsen

We all were told to send to them & they would forward

3:14 PM



Negative. Did not receive. Not even a reference about extra

He also was unaware that there is a 12 page city ordinance on marijuana that has not been repealed that was signed by our current mayor.
(He has it now.)

Can you send that ordinance to all of the city planning commission prior to Monday's meeting or have it printed and handed to them at the meeting so they are informed?
Ordinance #1376

On July 24, 2014, the Stanwood City Council passed Ordinance 1376 which prohibits retail sales of marijuana within Stanwood. This ordinance was passed and approved by the City Council and was signed by Mayor Leonard Kelley. Ordinance 1376 includes the reasons the City Council decided against marijuana. Those reasons are summarized below:

1) marijuana is a federal Schedule 1 drug with high potential for abuse.
2) likely local effects including increased theft, burglary, robbery.
3) likely impacts including negative health, safety, learning and life outcomes for residents of Stanwood.
4) marijuana use and abuse is increasing across all age and gender groups.
5) increased access and availability of supply will increase the use in Stanwood with particular concern about youth.

6) increased use will lead to increases in adolescent addiction, increased emergency room admissions, increased addictions, increased number of teens needing drug treatment, reduction in IQ, increase in mental illness, poor learning outcomes, increased cases of lung damage, increased motor vehicle crashes due to impaired drivers.
7) restricting marijuana use helps to promote public health.
8) effects of marijuana could unnecessarily place Stanwood residents and others in danger of bodily harm, increase police enforcement risks and costs, and generally create undesirable liability exposure for the city.
9) Stanwood is primarily residential in character, with a focus on creating pedestrian and child friendly areas in which businesses locate, therefore it is not in the best interest of the city to allow marijuana businesses that could potentially disrupt the city's character and serve as a nuisance to city residents.

Is information being withheld from the city planning commission? I have at least a dozen, if not dozens of friends & acquaintances who have been trying to communicate with their leaders via email.

Please forward ALL emails that were sent since February to the city officials.

Thank you,
Nancy Hansen

Sent from my iPhone

Ryan C. Larsen

From: Margaret DuPree <schoolbus55@msn.com>
Sent: Saturday, March 24, 2018 6:32 PM
To: Ryan C. Larsen
Subject: Opposition to marijuana store in Stanwood

Dear Ryan,
Thank you for reading my email. I am writing because I'm truly concerned about having a retail marijuana store in Stanwood!

I have lived here 30 years and I've driven school bus for 25 of those years. I have seen a lot of changes in Stanwood during this time. Because of parents working and children being left at home by themselves, I strongly believe we need to nurture them with a "good" environment not one that has the potential for bad. These children need to see a healthy environment.
I strongly believe this will change the "family" environment that loves to walk, ride bike and hang out safely in town and at area parks.
Please listen to the many families who aren't trying to control others but want to protect Stanwood's safe and great reputation!!
Thank you so much for considering my voice. Sincerely, Margaret Dupree

Sent from my iPhone

"WA Marijuana Violations" data here. Over 2,100 recorded violations since 2014.

Over 200 violations of "Marijuana sale or service to minor."

https://data.lcb.wa.gov/dataset/Violations-Dataset/dx3i-tzh2/data

To the city council
+ Planning Committee

Peggy Kitting
3-26-2018

413702	AVN	314.55.092	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	BELLINGHAM	WHATCOM
412977	AVN	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	SAN JUAN
416027	AVN	314.55.097	(MJ) Failure for a producer to meet marijuana waste disposal requirements. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SKAGIT
422194	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	AUBURN	KING
417165	AVN	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	KING
412061	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	PASCO	FRANKLIN
418322	AVN	314.55.120	(MJ) Failing to gain board approval for changes in existing ownership.	SEATTLE	KING
413215	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
413078	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	FRIDAY HARBOR	SAN JUAN
412494	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Licensee and/or employee open and/or consuming marijuana on a licensed premises.	AUBURN	KING
412891	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	SPOKANE VALLEY	SPOKANE
424788	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	YAKIMA
412603	AVN	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	WALLA WALLA
413652	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	THURSTON
412672	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
416458	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	TACOMA	PIERCE
416690	AVN	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SKAGIT
413706	AVN	314.55.050	(MJ) Misrepresentation of fact.	KELSO	COWLITZ
417586	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	SPOKANE VALLEY	SPOKANE
415761	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	GOLD BAR	SNOHOMISH
416339	AVN	314.55.120	(MJ) True party of interest violation.	TACOMA	PIERCE
413737	AVN	69.50.401	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
417002	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
415037	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	TACOMA	PIERCE
416968	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	ARLINGTON	SNOHOMISH
415348	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	SEATTLE	KING
421577	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	REDMOND	KING
412258	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	MASON
416853	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	ARLINGTON	SNOHOMISH
414281	AVN	314.55.084	(MJ) Using unauthorized pesticides, soil amendments, fertilizers, other crop production aids.	BAINBRIDGE ISLAND	KITSAP
415652	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	KIRKLAND	KING
412830	AVN	314.55.083(5)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	LINCOLN
412362	AVN	314.55.105	(MJ) Packaging and/or labeling violations (processor/retailer).	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
412258	AVN	314.55.084	(MJ) Using unauthorized pesticides, soil amendments, fertilizers, other crop production aids.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	MASON
414958	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	ELLENSBURG	KITTITAS
412593	AVN	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	CLARK
412735	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	TACOMA	PIERCE
412226	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
417643	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	OAK HARBOR	ISLAND
425273	Written Warning	314.55.155(1)	(MJ) Advertising violations: Sign exceeding 1600 square inches; within 1000 feet of prohibited areas; on or	WALLA WALLA (CITY)	WALLA WALLA
416046	AVN	314.55.097	(MJ) Failure for a producer to meet marijuana waste disposal requirements. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
416911	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	AUBURN	KING
413737	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH

413773	AVN	69.50.401	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNION GAP	YAKIMA
415083	AVN	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	THURSTON
417183	AVN	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
415253	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	BELLEVUE	KING
413721	AVN	314.55.084	(MJ) Using unauthorized pesticides, soil amendments, fertilizers, other crop production aids.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
414539	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORP. AREAS	WHATCOM
424768	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
425045	AVN	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	UNINCORP. AREAS	GRANT
412977	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	SAN JUAN
416495	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	GRANT
424172	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	KITSAP
412552	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	COWLITZ
416942	AVN	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements.	AIRWAY HEIGHTS	SPOKANE
414958	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	ELLENSBURG	KITTITAS
412422	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
413718	AVN	314.55.084	(MJ) Using unauthorized pesticides, soil amendments, fertilizers, other crop production aids.	TACOMA	PIERCE
413586	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
417002	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
415658	AVN	314.55.079(6)	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	KING
412784	AVN	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements.	MOXEE	YAKIMA
414042	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
414723	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	TACOMA	PIERCE
416102	AVN	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Licensee/employee failing to display required security badge.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
402039	AVN	69.50.401	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	SEATTLE	KING
412433	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	GRANT
416658	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
418524	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	ISLAND
413706	AVN	314.55.050	(MJ) Failure to furnish required documents.	KELSO	COWLITZ
417526	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORP. AREAS	GRANT
414197	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	SPOKANE VALLEY	SPOKANE
413211	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	WAHKIAKUM
414755	AVN	69.50.4015	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	WENATCHEE	CHELAN
425273	Written Warning	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	WALLA WALLA (CITY)	WALLA WALLA
415115	AVN	66.44.310	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	KENMORE	KING
421335	AVN	69.50.401	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	THURSTON
413070	AVN	314.55.050	(MJ) Misrepresentation of fact.	SEATTLE	KING
417142	AVN	314.55.104	(MJ) Marijuana processor extraction requirements.	TACOMA	PIERCE
414889	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	TACOMA	PIERCE
415932	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	STEVENS
413099	AVN	314.55.110	(MJ) Conduct violations: Criminal conduct: Permitting or engaging in criminal conduct.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SKAGIT
420478	AVN	69.50.401	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	MASON
414680	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	EVERETT	SNOHOMISH
417497	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	MOXEE	YAKIMA

422012	Written Warning	69.50.535	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	THURSTON
415942	AVN	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	UNINCORP. AREAS	GRANT
412061	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	PASCO	FRANKLIN
413211	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	WAHKIAKUM
417388	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
412604	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
414893	AVN	314.55.050	(MJ) Misrepresentation of fact.	EVERETT	SNOHOMISH
413097	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	MASON
412439	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
424172	AVN	314.55.097	(MJ) Failure for a processor to meet marijuana waste disposal requirements.	UNINCORP. AREAS	KITSAP
415534	AVN	314.55.035	(MJ) True party of interest violation.	SEATTLE	KING
423000	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	BELLEVUE	KING
416763	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	FERRY
417198	AVN	314.55.097	(MJ) Failure for a producer to meet marijuana waste disposal requirements. (producer)	HOQUIAM	GRAYS HARBOR
416953	AVN	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
412365	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	WHITMAN
416560	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	MOXEE	YAKIMA
419959	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	STEVENS
416502	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	LONGVIEW	COWLITZ
414723	AVN	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	TACOMA	PIERCE
417082	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	SPRINGDALE	STEVENS
412622	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
414520	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	EVERETT	SNOHOMISH
413848	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	VANCOUVER	CLARK
412060	AVN	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements.	UNINCORP. AREAS	CLARK
412672	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
414785	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	SEATTLE	KING
421805	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	VANCOUVER	CLARK
412792	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	KITTITAS
404933	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	PORT TOWNSEND	JEFFERSON
416414	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	MOXEE	YAKIMA
412370	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	MOUNT VERNON	SKAGIT
413596	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Licensee and/or employee open and/or consuming marijuana on a licensed premises.	UNION GAP	YAKIMA
414889	AVN	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	TACOMA	PIERCE
414114	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
414149	AVN	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	UNINCORP. AREAS	CLALLAM
416844	AVN	314.55.035	(MJ) True party of interest violation.	MOXEE	YAKIMA
414723	AVN	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	TACOMA	PIERCE
422218	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	KIRKLAND	KING
417511	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	ISLAND
416282	AVN	314.55.083(2)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SKAGIT
414893	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	EVERETT	SNOHOMISH
415238	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH

412106	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	CLALLAM
413819	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	ARLINGTON	SNOHOMISH
412681	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	TACOMA	PIERCE
412107	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	KITSAP
413732	Written Warning	314.55.155(1)	(MJ) Advertising violations: Sign exceeding 1600 square inches; within 1000 feet of prohibited areas; on or	VANCOUVER	CLARK
413176	Written Warning	314.55.095(2)	(MJ) Exceeding maximum serving requirements for marijuana-infused products.	TUMWATER	THURSTON
415998	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	BATTLE GROUND	CLARK
415709	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	TACOMA	PIERCE
414740	Written Warning	314.55.092	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	OMAK	OKANOGAN
415130	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	OLYMPIA	THURSTON
412660	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	GRANT
422158	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	VANCOUVER	CLARK
415641	Written Warning	314.55.155(1)	(MJ) Advertising violations: Sign exceeding 1600 square inches; within 1000 feet of prohibited areas; on or	WALLA WALLA (CITY)	WALLA WALLA
416289	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	EVERETT	SNOHOMISH
424273	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	CLE ELUM	KITTITAS
416824	Written Warning	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	THURSTON
412239	Written Warning	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	EVERETT	SNOHOMISH
412666	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
414573	Written Warning	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Licensee/employee failing to display required security badge.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	KING
417095	Written Warning	314.55.084	(MJ) Using unauthorized pesticides, soil amendments, fertilizers, other crop production aids.	SEATTLE	KING
412666	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
416289	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	EVERETT	SNOHOMISH
416475	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	MOXEE	YAKIMA
415944	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	LINCOLN
412977	Written Warning	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	SAN JUAN
413846	Written Warning	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	LONGVIEW	COWLITZ
412239	Written Warning	314.55.083(2)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	EVERETT	SNOHOMISH
416791	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	KLICKITAT
417118	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	TACOMA	PIERCE
414136	Written Warning	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
413374	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	TACOMA	PIERCE
416968	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	ARLINGTON	SNOHOMISH
415873	Written Warning	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
414136	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
412271	Written Warning	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
415486	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	REDMOND	KING
412271	Written Warning	314.55.035	(MJ) True party of interest violation.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
413541	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	PORT ORCHARD	KITSAP
414042	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
414970	Written Warning	314.55.092	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	BATTLE GROUND	CLARK
422572	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
418443	Written Warning	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
412977	Written Warning	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	SAN JUAN

416431	Written Warning	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	TACOMA	PIERCE
413193	Written Warning	314.55.035	(MJ) True party of interest violation.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
416539	Written Warning	314.55.105	(MJ) Packaging and/or labeling violations (processor/retailer).	UNINCORP. AREAS	STEVENS
413722	Written Warning	314.55.097	(MJ) Failure for a producer to meet marijuana waste disposal requirements. (producer)	BLAINE	WHATCOM
416748	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	MOXEE	YAKIMA
412969	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	DOUGLAS
416286	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
417125	Written Warning	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Licensee/employee failing to display required security badge.	MOSES LAKE	GRANT
412069	Written Warning	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	SEATTLE	KING
414418	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORP. AREAS	JEFFERSON
418322	AVN	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Licensee/employee failing to display required security badge.	SEATTLE	KING
416739	AVN	314.55.035	(MJ) True party of interest violation.	MOXEE	YAKIMA
414574	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SKAGIT
416632	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	TACOMA	PIERCE
417262	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	SAN JUAN
414395	AVN	314.55.035	(MJ) True party of interest violation.	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
413199	AVN	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
412557	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	WHITMAN
414785	AVN	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	SEATTLE	KING
413913	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
412593	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	CLARK
415752	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	WOODLAND	COWLITZ
415922	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	GRAYS HARBOR
416027	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SKAGIT
416016	Written Warning	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	ISLAND
416107	Written Warning	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	LAKE STEVENS	SNOHOMISH
412429	Written Warning	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	GOLD BAR	SNOHOMISH
425362	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
420819	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	SEATTLE	KING
412108	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	GRANT
79013	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	SEATTLE	KING
420898	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
414532	Written Warning	314.55.018	(MJ) True party of interest violation.	SEATTLE	KING
420389	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	BURIEN	KING
417095	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	SEATTLE	KING
415721	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	SKAMANIA
417095	Written Warning	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping.	SEATTLE	KING
415032	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SKAGIT
415721	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	SKAMANIA
412199	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
416626	AVN	314.55.050	(MJ) Misrepresentation of fact.	WOODLAND	COWLITZ
416006	AVN	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Licensee/employee failing to display required security badge.	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
423634	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	TACOMA	PIERCE

413372	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	SEATTLE	KING
414539	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	UNINCORP. AREAS	WHATCOM
412370	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	MOUNT VERNON	SKAGIT
412656	AVN	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	BELLINGHAM	WHATCOM
414780	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	SEATTLE	KING
420793	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	SEATTLE	KING
415253	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	BELLEVUE	KING
415997	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	KING
416539	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	STEVENS
421282	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	UNINCORP. AREAS	KITTITAS
416166	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	TACOMA	PIERCE
417326	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	SEATTLE	KING
412598	Written Warning	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Licensee/employee failing to display required security badge.	ARLINGTON	SNOHOMISH
412324	Written Warning	314.55.086	(MJ) Signs: Failure to post required signs.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	KING
353928	Written Warning	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	YAKIMA	YAKIMA
413092	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	ADAMS
412069	Written Warning	314.55.105	(MJ) Packaging and/or labeling violations (processor/retailer).	SEATTLE	KING
412639	Written Warning	314.55.097	(MJ) Failure for a producer to meet marijuana waste disposal requirements. (producer)	SEATTLE	KING
412032	Written Warning	69.50.401	(MJ) Unauthorized product/unapproved storage or delivery. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	PEND OREILLE
412149	Written Warning	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	RAYMOND	PACIFIC
413652	Written Warning	314.55.097	(MJ) Failure for a producer to meet marijuana waste disposal requirements. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	THURSTON
413706	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	KELSO	COWLITZ
416831	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	BELLINGHAM	WHATCOM
416286	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
417053	Written Warning	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Licensee/employee failing to display required security badge.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
412452	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	MASON
415752	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	WOODLAND	COWLITZ
413541	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	PORT ORCHARD	KITSAP
416661	AVN	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
421491	AVN	69.50.401	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	OLYMPIA	THURSTON
414680	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	EVERETT	SNOHOMISH
413626	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	MASON
414983	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	BELLEVUE	KING
416108	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	STEVENS
412869	AVN	314.55.035	(MJ) True party of interest violation.	VANCOUVER	CLARK
412429	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	GOLD BAR	SNOHOMISH
412061	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	PASCO	FRANKLIN
415425	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
416458	AVN	314.55.135	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	TACOMA	PIERCE
419150	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
413596	AVN	69.50.401	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNION GAP	YAKIMA
414539	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORP. AREAS	WHATCOM
412804	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	GRAYS HARBOR

412070	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	SEATTLE	KING
412598	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	ARLINGTON	SNOHOMISH
412452	Written Warning	314.55.083(6)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	MASON
412112	Written Warning	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Licensee/employee failing to display required security badge.	BELLEVUE	KING
422278	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
416787	Written Warning	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	VANCOUVER	CLARK
413414	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	BINGEN	KLICKITAT
416606	Written Warning	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	UNINCORP. AREAS	LINCOLN
417571	Written Warning	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	ARLINGTON	SNOHOMISH
413434	Written Warning	314.55.035	(MJ) True party of interest violation.	UNINCORP. AREAS	WHATCOM
415880	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	GRANT
414060	Written Warning	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	MOUNT VERNON	SKAGIT
415658	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	KING
412069	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	SEATTLE	KING
412148	Written Warning	314.55.097	(MJ) Failure for a processor to meet marijuana waste disposal requirements.	SEATTLE	KING
424788	Written Warning	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	YAKIMA
417010	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	AUBURN	KING
417019	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
412079	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	VANCOUVER	CLARK
416105	Written Warning	314.55.105	(MJ) Packaging and/or labeling violations (processor/retailer).	ARLINGTON	SNOHOMISH
412494	Written Warning	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	AUBURN	KING
417118	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	TACOMA	PIERCE
424162	Written Warning	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	YAKIMA
412815	Written Warning	314.55.104	(MJ) Marijuana processor extraction requirements.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
412665	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	GRANT
412648	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
412431	Written Warning	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping.	UNINCORP. AREAS	KITSAP
415674	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	UNINCORP. AREAS	WHATCOM
412942	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	THURSTON
414140	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
417083	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
414225	Written Warning	314.55.015	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	PROSSER	BENTON
412106	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	CLALLAM
415942	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	GRANT
413380	Written Warning	314.55.097	(MJ) Failure for a producer to meet marijuana waste disposal requirements. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	WHATCOM
413716	Written Warning	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Licensee/employee failing to display required security badge.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	KING
416046	Written Warning	314.55.086	(MJ) Signs: Failure to post required signs.	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
415812	Written Warning	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements. (producer)	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
412860	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
415997	Written Warning	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	KING
416393	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	KIRKLAND	KING
414785	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	SEATTLE	KING
416549	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	KITTITAS

410831	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	MAPLE VALLEY	KING
412639	Written Warning	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Licensee/employee failing to display required security badge.	SEATTLE	KING
413014	Written Warning	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	SPOKANE VALLEY	SPOKANE
417118	Written Warning	314.55.086	(MJ) Signs: Failure to post required signs.	TACOMA	PIERCE
417511	Written Warning	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Licensee/employee failing to display required security badge.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	ISLAND
424190	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	PACIFIC
412757	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	GOLD BAR	SNOHOMISH
412324	Written Warning	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	KING
414723	Written Warning	314.55.155(2)	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	TACOMA	PIERCE
416153	Written Warning	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	WHATCOM
413558	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	SEATTLE	KING
418021	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	WINTHROP	OKANOGAN
412053	Written Warning	314.55.083(2)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	LINCOLN
412246	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	SEATTLE	KING
415761	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	GOLD BAR	SNOHOMISH
413803	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	KING
412299	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	TACOMA	PIERCE
414680	Written Warning	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Licensee/employee failing to display required security badge.	EVERETT	SNOHOMISH
412286	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
416235	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	GOLD BAR	SNOHOMISH
414225	Written Warning	314.55.155(1)	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	PROSSER	BENTON
413289	Written Warning	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
412254	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
413791	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	RENTON	KING
414233	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	PORT ANGELES	CLALLAM
417643	Written Warning	314.55.079(6)	(MJ) Retail outlet selling unauthorized products.	OAK HARBOR	ISLAND
412208	Written Warning	314.55.097	(MJ) Failure for a producer to meet marijuana waste disposal requirements. (producer)	FERNDALE	WHATCOM
412855	Written Warning	314.55.083(6)	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	KING
413076	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	SOUTH BEND	PACIFIC
417206	Written Warning	314.55.097	(MJ) Failure for a producer to meet marijuana waste disposal requirements. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SKAGIT
421759	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	THURSTON
412942	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	THURSTON
414140	Written Warning	314.55.092	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
413126	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
413773	Written Warning	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements.	UNION GAP	YAKIMA
414780	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	SEATTLE	KING
412757	Written Warning	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	GOLD BAR	SNOHOMISH
412598	Written Warning	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	ARLINGTON	SNOHOMISH
413097	Written Warning	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	MASON
414250	Written Warning	314.55.035	(MJ) True party of interest violation.	UNION GAP	YAKIMA
412050	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
417165	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	KING
412457	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	MOXEE	YAKIMA

413773	Written Warning	314.55.105	(MJ) Packaging and/or labeling violations (processor/retailer).	UNION GAP	YAKIMA
412891	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	SPOKANE VALLEY	SPOKANE
413116	Written Warning	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	STEVENS
417125	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	MOSES LAKE	GRANT
413014	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	SPOKANE VALLEY	SPOKANE
412943	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	KITSAP
412792	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORP. AREAS	KITTITAS
413319	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	SEATTLE	KING
412923	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	PIERCE
423396	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	ARLINGTON	SNOHOMISH
412070	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	SEATTLE	KING
412973	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	GRANT
412943	Written Warning	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Licensee/employee failing to display required security badge.	UNINCORP. AREAS	KITSAP
413948	Written Warning	314.55.105	(MJ) Packaging and/or labeling violations (processor/retailer).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	THURSTON
412589	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SKAGIT
414042	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
413596	Written Warning	314.55.155(2)	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	UNION GAP	YAKIMA
412527	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	SPOKANE VALLEY	SPOKANE
412044	Written Warning	314.55.097	(MJ) Failure for a producer to meet marijuana waste disposal requirements. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	KING
423396	Written Warning	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	ARLINGTON	SNOHOMISH
412031	Written Warning	314.55.097	(MJ) Failure for a producer to meet marijuana waste disposal requirements. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	THURSTON
412759	Written Warning	314.55.105	(MJ) Packaging and/or labeling violations (processor/retailer).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
412396	Written Warning	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	VANCOUVER	CLARK
412622	Written Warning	314.55.104	(MJ) Using unauthorized solvents or gases in processing.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
421652	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	ANACORTES	SKAGIT
414295	Written Warning	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Licensee/employee failing to display required security badge.	DES MOINES	KING
415900	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	STEVENS
412943	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	KITSAP
416027	Written Warning	314.55.075(1)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SKAGIT
416548	Written Warning	314.55.105	(MJ) Packaging and/or labeling violations (processor/retailer).	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
416184	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	PACIFIC
416632	Written Warning	314.55.050	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	TACOMA	PIERCE
412598	Written Warning	314.55.097	(MJ) Failure for a processor to meet marijuana waste disposal requirements.	ARLINGTON	SNOHOMISH
415658	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	KING
413723	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	DOUGLAS
412362	Written Warning	314.55.105	(MJ) Packaging and/or labeling violations (producer).	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
412254	Written Warning	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
415203	Written Warning	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	KING
413014	Written Warning	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	SPOKANE VALLEY	SPOKANE
412969	Written Warning	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	DOUGLAS
414140	Written Warning	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Licensee/employee failing to display required security badge.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
412388	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	KLICKITAT
414114	Written Warning	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements.	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE

416548	Written Warning	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements.	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
412681	Written Warning	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Licensee/employee failing to display required security badge.	TACOMA	PIERCE
413719	Written Warning	314.55.105	(MJ) Packaging and/or labeling violations (producer).	UNINCORP. AREAS	WHATCOM
413426	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
412681	Written Warning	314.55.035	(MJ) True party of interest violation.	TACOMA	PIERCE
412639	Written Warning	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	SEATTLE	KING
414780	Written Warning	314.55.018	(MJ) True party of interest violation.	SEATTLE	KING
413716	Written Warning	314.55.099	(MJ) Failure to maintain standardized scale requirements (producer).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	KING
412044	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	KING
417053	Written Warning	314.55.050	(MJ) Failure to furnish required documents.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
412949	Written Warning	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
413163	AVN	314.55.050	(MJ) Misrepresentation of fact.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SKAGIT
413626	AVN	314.55.084	(MJ) Using unauthorized pesticides, soil amendments, fertilizers, other crop production aids.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	MASON
419640	AVN	69.50.401	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	THURSTON
413802	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	TUMWATER	THURSTON
420894	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
412144	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
417214	AVN	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	TACOMA	PIERCE
412396	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	VANCOUVER	CLARK
415694	AVN	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
414889	AVN	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	TACOMA	PIERCE
415927	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
412235	AVN	314.55.083(5)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	AIRWAY HEIGHTS	SPOKANE
421490	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	THURSTON
413626	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	MASON
424162	AVN	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	YAKIMA
415310	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORP. AREAS	KITSAP
416315	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	SEATTLE	KING
413737	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
414780	AVN	314.55.105	(MJ) Packaging and/or labeling violations (processor/retailer).	SEATTLE	KING
412681	Written Warning	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	TACOMA	PIERCE
412324	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	KING
415700	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	SEATTLE	KING
414680	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	EVERETT	SNOHOMISH
412252	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	SEATTLE	KING
413791	Written Warning	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	RENTON	KING
412149	Written Warning	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements.	RAYMOND	PACIFIC
413773	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNION GAP	YAKIMA
417497	Written Warning	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements. (producer)	MOXEE	YAKIMA
416129	Written Warning	314.55.086	(MJ) Signs: Failure to post required signs.	ARLINGTON	SNOHOMISH
415658	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	KING
412866	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	FERRY
415857	Written Warning	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Licensee/employee failing to display required security badge.	UNINCORP. AREAS	KITTITAS

414755	Written Warning	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	WENATCHEE	CHELAN
423754	Written Warning	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	SEATTLE	KING
412251	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
413803	Written Warning	69.50.357	(MJ) Licensee and/or employee open and/or consuming marijuana on a licensed premises.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	KING
415812	Written Warning	314.55.017	(MJ) Unauthorized sale to a retail licensee. (producer)	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
423402	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	SEATTLE	KING
415674	Written Warning	314.55.086	(MJ) Signs: Failure to post required signs.	UNINCORP. AREAS	WHATCOM
412457	Written Warning	314.55.083(5)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	MOXEE	YAKIMA
414983	Written Warning	69.50.357	(MJ) Retailer displaying products in a manner visible to the general public from a public right of way.	BELLEVUE	KING
416025	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	SEATTLE	KING
417643	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	OAK HARBOR	ISLAND
412784	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	MOXEE	YAKIMA
416414	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	MOXEE	YAKIMA
414149	Written Warning	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Licensee/employee failing to display required security badge.	UNINCORP. AREAS	CLALLAM
412208	Written Warning	314.55.075(1)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	FERNDALE	WHATCOM
412324	Written Warning	314.55.097	(MJ) Failure for a producer to meet marijuana waste disposal requirements. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	KING
412723	Written Warning	314.55.018	(MJ) True party of interest violation.	SEATTLE	KING
416107	Written Warning	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Licensee/employee failing to display required security badge.	LAKE STEVENS	SNOHOMISH
412315	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
423670	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
416853	Written Warning	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	ARLINGTON	SNOHOMISH
417525	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
412061	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	PASCO	FRANKLIN
412076	Written Warning	314.55.105	(MJ) Packaging and/or labeling violations (processor/retailer).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	YAKIMA
416627	Written Warning	314.55.082	(MJ) Failure to maintain required insurance.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
412284	AVN	314.55.035	(MJ) True party of interest violation.	UNINCORP. AREAS	WHATCOM
412969	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	DOUGLAS
421708	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	SEATTLE	KING
413821	Written Warning	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	OLYMPIA	THURSTON
419892	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	SEATTLE	KING
421491	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	OLYMPIA	THURSTON
413000	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
413558	Written Warning	69.50.357	(MJ) Retail outlet selling unauthorized products.	SEATTLE	KING
412952	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	SEATTLE	KING
413084	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	YAKIMA
416184	Written Warning	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	PACIFIC
412622	Written Warning	314.55.120	(MJ) Failing to gain board approval for changes in existing ownership.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
413165	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
412112	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	BELLEVUE	KING
417019	Written Warning	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Licensee/employee failing to display required security badge.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
413211	Written Warning	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	WAHKIAKUM
416544	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	JEFFERSON
412044	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	KING

413773	Written Warning	69.50.357	(MJ) Retail outlet selling unauthorized products.	UNION GAP	YAKIMA
416548	Written Warning	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping.	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
412213	Written Warning	314.55.084	(MJ) Using unauthorized pesticides, soil amendments, fertilizers, other crop production aids.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
412262	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
415645	Written Warning	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	WENATCHEE	CHELAN
413570	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	SEATTLE	KING
412618	Written Warning	314.55.104	(MJ) Marijuana processor extraction requirements.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
415658	Written Warning	69.50.357	(MJ) Retail outlet selling unauthorized products.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	KING
412170	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	THURSTON
413596	Written Warning	69.50.357	(MJ) Licensee and/or employee open and/or consuming marijuana on a licensed premises.	UNION GAP	YAKIMA
412080	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	SAN JUAN
417314	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
415968	Written Warning	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
412388	Written Warning	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	KLICKITAT
416027	Written Warning	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Licensee/employee failing to display required security badge.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SKAGIT
413211	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	WAHKIAKUM
412252	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	SEATTLE	KING
412681	Written Warning	69.50.328	(MJ) Misrepresentation of fact.	TACOMA	PIERCE
417174	Written Warning	314.02.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	TACOMA	PIERCE
421102	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	EVERETT	SNOHOMISH
421707	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	BELLINGHAM	WHATCOM
413215	Written Warning	314.55.087(1)(f)	(MJ) Using unauthorized pesticides, soil amendments, fertilizers, other crop production aids.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
413718	Written Warning	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	TACOMA	PIERCE
414780	Written Warning	314.55.155(1)	(MJ) Advertising violations: Sign exceeding 1600 square inches; within 1000 feet of prohibited areas; on or	SEATTLE	KING
412923	Written Warning	69.50.357	(MJ) Retail outlet selling unauthorized products.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	PIERCE
412627	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	ARLINGTON	SNOHOMISH
422227	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
413534	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	ANACORTES	SKAGIT
420741	Written Warning	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	BURIEN	KING
415425	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
413809	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	SEATTLE	KING
417497	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	MOXEE	YAKIMA
412274	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	TACOMA	PIERCE
415921	AVN	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
415857	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	UNINCORP. AREAS	KITTITAS
421667	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
412656	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	BELLINGHAM	WHATCOM
415064	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	BLAINE	WHATCOM
424273	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	CLE ELUM	KITTITAS
412439	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
412107	AVN	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	UNINCORP. AREAS	KITSAP
420291	Written Warning	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping.	SEATTLE	KING
412396	AVN	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	VANCOUVER	CLARK

417646	Written Warning	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
414785	Written Warning	314.55.155(1)	(MJ) Advertising violations: Sign exceeding 1600 square inches; within 1000 feet of prohibited areas; on or	SEATTLE	KING
415997	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	KING
417082	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	SPRINGDALE	STEVENS
413369	Written Warning	314.55.155(2)	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	SPOKANE VALLEY	SPOKANE
415986	Written Warning	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments (producer)	ARLINGTON	SNOHOMISH
412439	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
414495	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
412923	Written Warning	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	PIERCE
412418	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
412217	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	SPOKANE VALLEY	SPOKANE
414785	Written Warning	314.55.155(2)	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	SEATTLE	KING
412585	Written Warning	314.55.084	(MJ) Using unauthorized pesticides, soil amendments, fertilizers, other crop production aids.	UNINCORP. AREAS	CLALLAM
414574	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SKAGIT
412362	Written Warning	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements.	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
412072	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
412388	AVN	314.55.050	(MJ) Misrepresentation of fact.	UNINCORP. AREAS	KLICKITAT
416746	Written Warning	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
415449	Written Warning	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	LACEY	THURSTON
414723	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	TACOMA	PIERCE
413372	Written Warning	314.55.155(1)	(MJ) Advertising violations: Sign exceeding 1600 square inches; within 1000 feet of prohibited areas; on or	SEATTLE	KING
413806	Written Warning	314.55.155(1)	(MJ) Advertising violations: Sign exceeding 1600 square inches; within 1000 feet of prohibited areas; on or	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
414002	Written Warning	314.55.083(6)	(MJ) Exceeding maximum serving requirements for marijuana-infused products.	LACEY	THURSTON
414723	Written Warning	69.50.328	(MJ) Misrepresentation of fact.	TACOMA	PIERCE
413558	Written Warning	314.55.155(4)	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	SEATTLE	KING
413870	Written Warning	314.55.086	(MJ) Signs: Failure to post required signs.	BREMERTON	KITSAP
412084	Written Warning	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements.	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
417174	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	TACOMA	PIERCE
413150	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	THURSTON
414240	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	KIRKLAND	KING
416406	Written Warning	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	MASON
413138	Written Warning	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements. (producer)	SEATTLE	KING
417394	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
412196	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	YAKIMA
412672	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
414140	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
413287	Written Warning	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements.	SPOKANE VALLEY	SPOKANE
413134	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
424273	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	CLE ELUM	KITTITAS
422764	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	CLARKSTON	ASOTIN
416134	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
416134	Written Warning	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Licensee/employee failing to display required security badge.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
415064	Written Warning	69.50.535	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	BLAINE	WHATCOM

412235	Written Warning	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	AIRWAY HEIGHTS	SPOKANE
415031	Written Warning	314.55.155(1)	(MJ) Advertising violations: Sign exceeding 1600 square inches; within 1000 feet of prohibited areas; on or	MOUNTLAKE TERRACE	SNOHOMISH
414225	Written Warning	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping.	PROSSER	BENTON
416124	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	TACOMA	PIERCE
416334	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORP. AREAS	KITSAP
412959	Written Warning	314.55.083(5)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
412567	Written Warning	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	WHATCOM
412196	Written Warning	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	YAKIMA
413926	Written Warning	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
412341	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	HOQUIAM	GRAYS HARBOR
412425	Written Warning	314.55.084	(MJ) Using unauthorized pesticides, soil amendments, fertilizers, other crop production aids.	TACOMA	PIERCE
414785	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	SEATTLE	KING
415970	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	JEFFERSON
415470	Written Warning	69.50.357	(MJ) Retail outlet selling unauthorized products.	BELLINGHAM	WHATCOM
417155	Written Warning	314.55.035	(MJ) True party of interest violation.	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
414958	Written Warning	314.55.092	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	ELLENSBURG	KITTITAS
416315	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	SEATTLE	KING
414761	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	VANCOUVER	CLARK
416539	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	UNINCORP. AREAS	STEVENS
416548	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
416502	Written Warning	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements.	LONGVIEW	COWLITZ
413762	Written Warning	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	SEDRO WOOLLEY	SKAGIT
416315	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	SEATTLE	KING
412943	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	KITSAP
414065	Written Warning	69.50.357	(MJ) Retail outlet selling unauthorized products.	WENATCHEE	CHELAN
416539	Written Warning	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements.	UNINCORP. AREAS	STEVENS
412672	Written Warning	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
415695	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	BELLEVUE	KING
413586	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
402039	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	SEATTLE	KING
412882	Written Warning	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements.	TUMWATER	THURSTON
416548	Written Warning	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements.	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
416102	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
413287	Written Warning	314.55.155(2)	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	SPOKANE VALLEY	SPOKANE
412370	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	MOUNT VERNON	SKAGIT
412213	Written Warning	314.55.092	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
412585	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	CLALLAM
413541	Written Warning	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	PORT ORCHARD	KITSAP
415459	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
417087	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
413948	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	THURSTON
413021	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORP. AREAS	KITTITAS
413201	Written Warning	314.55.083(6)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	GRAYS HARBOR

413134	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
413478	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	SEATTLE	KING
412084	Written Warning	314.55.105	(MJ) Packaging and/or labeling violations (processor/retailer).	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
415032	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SKAGIT
412588	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
414983	Written Warning	314.55.155(2)	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	BELLEVUE	KING
413806	Written Warning	314.55.086	(MJ) Signs: Failure to post required signs.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
412079	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	VANCOUVER	CLARK
416183	Written Warning	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
413039	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
417001	Written Warning	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
413713	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORP. AREAS	STEVENS
420898	Written Warning	314.55.092	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments (producer)	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
414140	Written Warning	314.55.092	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
412544	Written Warning	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements. (producer)	LYMAN	SKAGIT
414495	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
414174	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	SEATTLE	KING
414958	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	ELLENSBURG	KITTITAS
412069	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	SEATTLE	KING
416609	Written Warning	314.55.104	(MJ) Marijuana processor extraction requirements.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
412229	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	SEATTLE	KING
413529	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	BELLINGHAM	WHATCOM
415921	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
416412	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	MASON
412069	Written Warning	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Licensee/employee failing to display required security badge.	SEATTLE	KING
412697	Written Warning	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
424162	Written Warning	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	YAKIMA
417460	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
404933	Written Warning	314.55.155(1)	(MJ) Advertising violations: Sign exceeding 1600 square inches; within 1000 feet of prohibited areas; on or	PORT TOWNSEND	JEFFERSON
415361	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORP. AREAS	KLICKITAT
420819	Written Warning	314.55.155(1)	(MJ) Advertising violations: Sign exceeding 1600 square inches; within 1000 feet of prohibited areas; on or	SEATTLE	KING
413712	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	ARLINGTON	SNOHOMISH
413372	Written Warning	69.50.357	(MJ) Retail outlet selling unauthorized products.	SEATTLE	KING
413097	Written Warning	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	MASON
412070	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	SEATTLE	KING
415921	Written Warning	314.55.104	(MJ) Marijuana processor extraction requirements.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
414532	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	SEATTLE	KING
412251	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
416458	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	TACOMA	PIERCE
413600	Written Warning	314.55.155(1)	(MJ) Advertising violations: Sign exceeding 1600 square inches; within 1000 feet of prohibited areas; on or	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
412077	Written Warning	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	MASON
412784	Written Warning	314.55.075	(MJ) Unauthorized sale to a retail licensee. (producer)	MOXEE	YAKIMA
414152	Written Warning	314.55.097	(MJ) Failure for a producer to meet marijuana waste disposal requirements. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	MASON

414417	Written Warning	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	BELLEVUE	KING
416315	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	SEATTLE	KING
413319	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	SEATTLE	KING
412784	Written Warning	314.55.105	(MJ) Packaging and/or labeling violations (producer).	MOXEE	YAKIMA
412097	Written Warning	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements.	LONGVIEW	COWLITZ
414829	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
415526	Written Warning	69.50.357	(MJ) Retail outlet selling unauthorized products.	LONGVIEW	COWLITZ
412199	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
414520	Written Warning	314.55.018	(MJ) Packaging and/or labeling violations (processor/retailer).	EVERETT	SNOHOMISH
415488	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	HOQUIAM	GRAYS HARBOR
416039	Written Warning	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	VANCOUVER	CLARK
413718	Written Warning	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments (producer)	TACOMA	PIERCE
413912	Written Warning	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
414569	Written Warning	69.50.357	(MJ) Retailer displaying products in a manner visible to the general public from a public right of way.	SHORELINE	KING
414462	Written Warning	314.55.104	(MJ) Marijuana processor extraction requirements.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
416183	Written Warning	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Licensee/employee failing to display required security badge.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
412598	Written Warning	314.55.097	(MJ) Failure for a processor to meet marijuana waste disposal requirements.	ARLINGTON	SNOHOMISH
413319	Written Warning	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	SEATTLE	KING
412585	Written Warning	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	UNINCORP. AREAS	CLALLAM
414520	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	EVERETT	SNOHOMISH
415984	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
414417	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	BELLEVUE	KING
422442	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
413806	Written Warning	314.55.155(1)	(MJ) Advertising violations: Sign exceeding 1600 square inches; within 1000 feet of prohibited areas; on or	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
413123	Written Warning	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Licensee/employee failing to display required security badge.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	THURSTON
412069	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	SEATTLE	KING
413319	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	SEATTLE	KING
421667	Written Warning	69.50.357	(MJ) Retail outlet selling unauthorized products.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
412585	Written Warning	314.55.084	(MJ) Using unauthorized pesticides, soil amendments, fertilizers, other crop production aids.	UNINCORP. AREAS	CLALLAM
416538	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORP. AREAS	STEVENS
413534	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	ANACORTES	SKAGIT
413179	Written Warning	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
416689	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	MOXEE	YAKIMA
412525	Written Warning	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Licensee/employee failing to display required security badge.	FEDERAL WAY	KING
416387	Written Warning	314.55.092	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
412566	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	KING
414152	Written Warning	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	MASON
414785	Written Warning	314.55.086	(MJ) Signs: Failure to post required signs.	SEATTLE	KING
412258	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	MASON
413739	Written Warning	314.55.155(2)	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	MILLWOOD	SPOKANE
413870	Written Warning	314.55.079	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements.	BREMERTON	KITSAP
417346	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	GRANT
412452	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	MASON

413912	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
414755	Written Warning	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Licensee/employee failing to display required security badge.	WENATCHEE	CHELAN
415325	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	SEATTLE	KING
416843	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	SPOKANE VALLEY	SPOKANE
414280	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	UNINCORP. AREAS	COWLITZ
414140	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
413319	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	SEATTLE	KING
421261	Written Warning	314.55.092	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	SEATTLE	KING
422298	Written Warning	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	MASON
412069	Written Warning	314.55.155(2)	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	SEATTLE	KING
416539	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	STEVENS
423402	Written Warning	314.55.155(1)	(MJ) Advertising violations: Sign exceeding 1600 square inches; within 1000 feet of prohibited areas; on or	SEATTLE	KING
422993	Written Warning	314.55.104	(MJ) Marijuana processor extraction requirements.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
421667	Written Warning	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
414495	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
412069	Written Warning	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Licensee/employee failing to display required security badge.	SEATTLE	KING
412815	Written Warning	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Licensee/employee failing to display required security badge.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
416730	Written Warning	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements. (producer)	MOXEE	YAKIMA
406050	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
413258	Written Warning	314.55.155(1)	(MJ) Advertising violations: Sign exceeding 1600 square inches; within 1000 feet of prohibited areas; on or	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	PIERCE
414140	Written Warning	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
422460	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	UNINCORP. AREAS	CLALLAM
421630	Written Warning	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Licensee/employee failing to display required security badge.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
412084	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
412304	Written Warning	314.55.092	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments (producer)	SPOKANE VALLEY	SPOKANE
416199	Written Warning	314.55.035	(MJ) True party of interest violation.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	GRAYS HARBOR
416504	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	LINCOLN
423204	Written Warning	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
414111	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	MASON
415726	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	STEVENS
413718	Written Warning	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments (producer)	TACOMA	PIERCE
422218	Written Warning	314.55.079(6)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	KIRKLAND	KING
416412	Written Warning	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	MASON
412044	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	KING
413683	Written Warning	314.55.155(1)	(MJ) Advertising violations: Sign exceeding 1600 square inches; within 1000 feet of prohibited areas; on or	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
414491	Written Warning	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	COVINGTON	KING
416231	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	STEVENS
414958	Written Warning	314.55.155(1)	(MJ) Advertising violations: Sign exceeding 1600 square inches; within 1000 feet of prohibited areas; on or	ELLENSBURG	KITTITAS
412784	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	MOXEE	YAKIMA
412891	Written Warning	314.55.035	(MJ) True party of interest violation.	SPOKANE VALLEY	SPOKANE
414398	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
423204	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
415889	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON

412591	Written Warning	314.55.092	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
416475	Written Warning	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements. (producer)	MOXEE	YAKIMA
413039	Written Warning	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
412362	Written Warning	314.55.083	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
412688	Written Warning	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	UNINCORP. AREAS	KLICKITAT
412079	Written Warning	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	VANCOUVER	CLARK
416902	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORP. AREAS	STEVENS
413847	Written Warning	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	THURSTON
412697	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
412442	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
417010	Written Warning	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	AUBURN	KING
413084	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	YAKIMA
414755	Written Warning	314.55.155(1)	(MJ) Advertising violations: Sign exceeding 1600 square inches; within 1000 feet of prohibited areas; on or	WENATCHEE	CHELAN
415984	Written Warning	314.55.099	(MJ) Failure to maintain standardized scale requirements (producer).	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
413380	Written Warning	314.55.035	(MJ) True party of interest violation.	UNINCORP. AREAS	WHATCOM
412616	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
413683	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
415719	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	AIRWAY HEIGHTS	SPOKANE
413558	Written Warning	314.55.155(2)	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	SEATTLE	KING
415665	Written Warning	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
412618	Written Warning	314.55.092	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
413773	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNION GAP	YAKIMA
402107	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	SEATTLE	KING
413791	Written Warning	314.55.105	(MJ) Packaging and/or labeling violations (processor/retailer).	RENTON	KING
412240	Written Warning	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
415692	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	SPOKANE VALLEY	SPOKANE
412672	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
413541	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	PORT ORCHARD	KITSAP
412598	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	ARLINGTON	SNOHOMISH
412943	Written Warning	314.55.097	(MJ) Failure for a producer to meet marijuana waste disposal requirements. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	KITSAP
416183	Written Warning	314.55.035	(MJ) True party of interest violation.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
412063	Written Warning	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements. (producer)	SEATTLE	KING
412226	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
412069	Written Warning	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments (producer)	SEATTLE	KING
413481	Written Warning	314.55.155(1)	(MJ) Advertising violations: Sign exceeding 1600 square inches; within 1000 feet of prohibited areas; on or	EAST WENATCHEE	DOUGLAS
412672	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
412300	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	JEFFERSON
412830	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORP. AREAS	LINCOLN
412118	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	SEATTLE	KING
415726	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	STEVENS
413596	Written Warning	314.55.079	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements.	UNION GAP	YAKIMA
414731	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	SEATTLE	KING
413426	Written Warning	69.50.357	(MJ) Licensee and/or employee open and/or consuming marijuana on a licensed premises.	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON

412627	Written Warning	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements. (producer)	ARLINGTON	SNOHOMISH
413665	Written Warning	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	MASON
417147	Written Warning	314.55.083(6)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	LONGVIEW	COWLITZ
416400	Written Warning	314.55.084	(MJ) Using unauthorized pesticides, soil amendments, fertilizers, other crop production aids.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
423000	Written Warning	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements.	BELLEVUE	KING
416231	Written Warning	314.55.092	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	STEVENS
412214	Written Warning	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
414841	Written Warning	314.55.096	(MJ) Packaging and/or labeling violations (processor/retailer).	RENTON	KING
412815	Written Warning	314.55.092	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
415486	Written Warning	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	REDMOND	KING
412402	Written Warning	314.55.155(2)	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
412170	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	THURSTON
412218	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	PORT ANGELES	CLALLAM
412784	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	MOXEE	YAKIMA
413426	Written Warning	314.55.105	(MJ) Packaging and/or labeling violations (processor/retailer).	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
414101	Written Warning	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
412515	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	GRAYS HARBOR
414664	Written Warning	314.55.155(2)	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
413626	Written Warning	314.55.082	(MJ) Failure to maintain required insurance.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	MASON
417142	Written Warning	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	TACOMA	PIERCE
413258	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	PIERCE
415853	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	KING
415064	Written Warning	314.55.092	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	BLAINE	WHATCOM
415238	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
413719	Written Warning	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	WHATCOM
416158	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	STEVENS
402039	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	SEATTLE	KING
414829	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
414680	Written Warning	314.55.079(6)	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	EVERETT	SNOHOMISH
413806	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
414958	Written Warning	69.50.357	(MJ) Retail outlet selling unauthorized products.	ELLENSBURG	KITTITAS
413123	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	THURSTON
412107	Written Warning	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	KITSAP
412106	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORP. AREAS	CLALLAM
422993	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
413481	Written Warning	314.55.079	(MJ) Retail outlet selling unauthorized products.	EAST WENATCHEE	DOUGLAS
416763	Written Warning	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	FERRY
412063	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	SEATTLE	KING
416627	Written Warning	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
412672	Written Warning	314.55.155(2)	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
412218	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	PORT ANGELES	CLALLAM
412149	Written Warning	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	RAYMOND	PACIFIC
413980	Written Warning	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	BUCKLEY	PIERCE

License#	Penalty Type	WAC Code	Violation Type	City Name	County Name
412199	Written Warning	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
416619	Written Warning	314.55.017	(MJ) Unauthorized sale to a retail licensee. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	ISLAND
421490	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	THURSTON
417379	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
413570	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	SEATTLE	KING
416730	Written Warning	314.55.035	(MJ) True party of interest violation.	MOXEE	YAKIMA
415998	Written Warning	314.55.083(6)	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	BATTLE GROUND	CLARK
415410	Written Warning	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	BUCKLEY	PIERCE
412218	Written Warning	314.55.097	(MJ) Failure for a producer to meet marijuana waste disposal requirements. (producer)	PORT ANGELES	CLALLAM
416417	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	MOXEE	YAKIMA
415726	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORP. AREAS	STEVENS
417335	Written Warning	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
416763	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	FERRY
422993	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
412969	Written Warning	314.55.092	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	DOUGLAS
417295	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
412097	Written Warning	314.55.083(6)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	LONGVIEW	COWLITZ
422146	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
415523	Written Warning	314.55.105	(MJ) Packaging and/or labeling violations (processor/retailer).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	GRAYS HARBOR
413718	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	TACOMA	PIERCE
415645	Written Warning	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	WENATCHEE	CHELAN
412123	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	UNINCORP. AREAS	COWLITZ
415206	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	RAYMOND	PACIFIC
413702	Written Warning	314.55.092	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	BELLINGHAM	WHATCOM
412362	Written Warning	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
413032	Written Warning	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	ELLENSBURG	KITTITAS
412311	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
413719	Written Warning	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	UNINCORP. AREAS	WHATCOM
413626	Written Warning	314.55.035	(MJ) True party of interest violation.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	MASON
414755	Written Warning	69.50.357	(MJ) Retail outlet selling unauthorized products.	WENATCHEE	CHELAN
415449	Written Warning	314.55.105	(MJ) Packaging and/or labeling violations (processor/retailer).	LACEY	THURSTON
413084	Written Warning	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	YAKIMA
414963	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	LACEY	THURSTON
414723	Written Warning	314.55.079	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements.	TACOMA	PIERCE
414569	Written Warning	314.55.155(1)	(MJ) Advertising violations: Sign exceeding 1600 square inches; within 1000 feet of prohibited areas; on or	SHORELINE	KING
415083	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	THURSTON
417394	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
414755	Written Warning	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	WENATCHEE	CHELAN
421434	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
417001	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
413923	Written Warning	314.55.017	(MJ) Unauthorized sale to a retail licensee. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	WHATCOM
412576	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	STEVENS

410332	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	SEATTLE	KING
416183	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
415183	AVN	69.50.401	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	SHELTON	MASON
412053	AVN	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	UNINCORP. AREAS	LINCOLN
412656	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	BELLINGHAM	WHATCOM
416787	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	VANCOUVER	CLARK
415968	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
424162	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	YAKIMA
414495	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
415325	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	SEATTLE	KING
412374	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	STEVENS
412396	AVN	314.55.084	(MJ) Using unauthorized pesticides, soil amendments, fertilizers, other crop production aids.	VANCOUVER	CLARK
412720	AVN	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
412524	AVN	314.55.083(5)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	AIRWAY HEIGHTS	SPOKANE
413431	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
412193	AVN	314.55.084	(MJ) Using unauthorized pesticides, soil amendments, fertilizers, other crop production aids.	UNINCORP. AREAS	JEFFERSON
412144	AVN	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
414114	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
423396	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	ARLINGTON	SNOHOMISH
414060	AVN	314.55.035	(MJ) True party of interest violation.	MOUNT VERNON	SKAGIT
417321	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	BELLINGHAM	WHATCOM
416039	AVN	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	VANCOUVER	CLARK
412061	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	PASCO	FRANKLIN
412107	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	KITSAP
412977	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	SAN JUAN
413737	AVN	69.50.401	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
414841	AVN	69.50.401	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	RENTON	KING
417497	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	MOXEE	YAKIMA
413420	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	PIERCE
412129	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	KING
412031	AVN	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Licensee/employee failing to display required security badge.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	THURSTON
415083	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	THURSTON
416350	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
414533	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	SEATTLE	KING
412422	AVN	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
413702	AVN	69.50.401	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	BELLINGHAM	WHATCOM
414065	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	WENATCHEE	CHELAN
420291	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	SEATTLE	KING
412370	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	MOUNT VERNON	SKAGIT
416458	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	TACOMA	PIERCE
353928	AVN	69.50.401	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	YAKIMA	YAKIMA
414065	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	WENATCHEE	CHELAN
416107	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	LAKE STEVENS	SNOHOMISH

412860	AVN	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
414532	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	SEATTLE	KING
413652	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	THURSTON
415709	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	TACOMA	PIERCE
414136	AVN	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
413791	AVN	69.50.401	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	RENTON	KING
416183	AVN	314.55.087(1)(f)	(MJ) Using unauthorized pesticides, soil amendments, fertilizers, other crop production aids.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
411970	Written Warning	69.50.369	(MJ) Advertising violations: Sign exceeding 1600 square inches; within 1000 feet of prohibited areas; on or	COLVILLE	STEVENS
414136	AVN	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
415691	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
414664	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
421632	AVN	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	LACEY	THURSTON
416627	AVN	314.55.082	(MJ) Failure to maintain required insurance.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
416961	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	KITSAP
415488	AVN	69.50.401	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	HOQUIAM	GRAYS HARBOR
416627	AVN	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
421630	AVN	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
402107	AVN	314.55.105	(MJ) Packaging and/or labeling violations (processor/retailer).	SEATTLE	KING
412061	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Unauthorized product/unapproved storage or delivery. (producer)	PASCO	FRANKLIN
413380	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	WHATCOM
413428	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	SEATTLE	KING
413913	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
414042	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
412869	AVN	314.55.050	(MJ) Failure to furnish required documents.	VANCOUVER	CLARK
423681	AVN	314.55.084	(MJ) Using unauthorized pesticides, soil amendments, fertilizers, other crop production aids.	BLAINE	WHATCOM
412737	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
413718	AVN	314.55.120	(MJ) Failing to gain board approval for changes in existing ownership.	TACOMA	PIERCE
416746	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
416504	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	LINCOLN
413100	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SKAGIT
413558	AVN	314.55.050	(MJ) Failure to furnish required documents.	SEATTLE	KING
414740	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	OMAK	OKANOGAN
412538	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
420291	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	SEATTLE	KING
414905	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	KITTITAS
414864	AVN	69.50.401	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	MOSES LAKE	GRANT
412402	AVN	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
413911	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	MASON
412585	AVN	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	UNINCORP. AREAS	CLALLAM
412370	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	MOUNT VERNON	SKAGIT
413718	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	TACOMA	PIERCE
413481	AVN	314.55.155(1)	(MJ) Advertising violations: Sign exceeding 1600 square inches; within 1000 feet of prohibited areas; on or	EAST WENATCHEE	DOUGLAS
414740	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	OMAK	OKANOGAN

415445	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORP. AREAS	WHATCOM
417165	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	KING
414500	AVN	314.55.155(1)	(MJ) Advertising violations: Sign exceeding 1600 square inches; within 1000 feet of prohibited areas; on or	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
412697	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
412262	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
412991	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	AUBURN	KING
423670	AVN	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
416006	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
413426	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
415333	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	KING
412109	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	SPOKANE VALLEY	SPOKANE
415449	AVN	314.55.084	(MJ) Using unauthorized pesticides, soil amendments, fertilizers, other crop production aids.	LACEY	THURSTON
413258	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	PIERCE
415900	AVN	69.50.366	(MJ) Unauthorized product/unapproved storage or delivery. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	STEVENS
412921	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORP. AREAS	CLALLAM
412061	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	PASCO	FRANKLIN
412612	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	THURSTON
416661	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
414042	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
412208	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	FERNDALE	WHATCOM
415203	AVN	314.55.110	(MJ) Conduct violations: Criminal conduct: Permitting or engaging in criminal conduct.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	KING
416968	Written Warning	314.55.097	(MJ) Failure for a producer to meet marijuana waste disposal requirements. (producer)	ARLINGTON	SNOHOMISH
416730	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	MOXEE	YAKIMA
413626	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	MASON
414225	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	PROSSER	BENTON
413204	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	SPOKANE VALLEY	SPOKANE
420123	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	FORKS	CLALLAM
422227	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
412616	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
413729	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	FERNDALE	WHATCOM
417125	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	MOSES LAKE	GRANT
412681	AVN	314.55.105	(MJ) Packaging and/or labeling violations (producer).	TACOMA	PIERCE
412902	AVN	314.55.084	(MJ) Using unauthorized pesticides, soil amendments, fertilizers, other crop production aids.	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
421759	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	THURSTON
415986	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	ARLINGTON	SNOHOMISH
421084	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	ARLINGTON	SNOHOMISH
414731	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	SEATTLE	KING
413492	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	UNINCORP. AREAS	JEFFERSON
412679	AVN	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements.	SPOKANE VALLEY	SPOKANE
413116	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	STEVENS
416006	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
413718	AVN	314.55.050	(MJ) Failure to furnish required documents.	TACOMA	PIERCE
420497	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE

413372	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	SEATTLE	KING
416540	AVN	69.50.401	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	OLYMPIA	THURSTON
412113	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	KITSAP
353928	AVN	69.50.401	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	YAKIMA	YAKIMA
414829	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
412431	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	UNINCORP. AREAS	KITSAP
416458	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	TACOMA	PIERCE
413258	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	PIERCE
412070	AVN	314.55.105	(MJ) Packaging and/or labeling violations (processor/retailer).	SEATTLE	KING
414060	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	MOUNT VERNON	SKAGIT
421667	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
412977	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	SAN JUAN
412808	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	SEATTLE	KING
412665	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	GRANT
423379	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	SEATTLE	KING
422109	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
413014	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	SPOKANE VALLEY	SPOKANE
412373	AVN	314.55.077	(MJ) Packaging and/or labeling violations (processor/retailer).	LONGVIEW	COWLITZ
416953	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
415183	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	SHELTON	MASON
415130	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	OLYMPIA	THURSTON
412724	AVN	314.55.083(6)	(MJ) Exceeding maximum serving requirements for marijuana-infused products.	SEATTLE	KING
413163	AVN	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SKAGIT
416431	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	TACOMA	PIERCE
414495	AVN	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Licensee/employee failing to display required security badge.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
412148	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	SEATTLE	KING
412828	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
412622	AVN	314.55.084	(MJ) Using unauthorized pesticides, soil amendments, fertilizers, other crop production aids.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
86823	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	PORT ANGELES	CLALLAM
415449	AVN	314.55.105	(MJ) Packaging and/or labeling violations (processor/retailer).	LACEY	THURSTON
415348	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	SEATTLE	KING
416894	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
412069	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	SEATTLE	KING
416626	AVN	314.55.050	(MJ) Failure to furnish required documents.	WOODLAND	COWLITZ
423396	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	ARLINGTON	SNOHOMISH
413626	AVN	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	MASON
412977	AVN	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	UNINCORP. AREAS	SAN JUAN
413722	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	BLAINE	WHATCOM
412107	AVN	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	UNINCORP. AREAS	KITSAP
420336	AVN	314.55.155(1)	(MJ) Advertising violations: Sign exceeding 1600 square inches; within 1000 feet of prohibited areas; on or	KIRKLAND	KING
414149	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	CLALLAM
416046	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
415575	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	LAKE STEVENS	SNOHOMISH

412923	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	PIERCE
416107	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	LAKE STEVENS	SNOHOMISH
414867	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	ISSAQUAH	KING
412745	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
423204	AVN	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
413762	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	SEDRO WOOLLEY	SKAGIT
412860	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
413911	AVN	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	MASON
353260	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORP. AREAS	JEFFERSON
414983	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	BELLEVUE	KING
414520	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	EVERETT	SNOHOMISH
354876	AVN	69.50.401	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	YAKIMA	YAKIMA
404933	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	PORT TOWNSEND	JEFFERSON
413737	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
400209	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORP. AREAS	SAN JUAN
420389	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	BURIEN	KING
417643	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	OAK HARBOR	ISLAND
421709	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORP. AREAS	JEFFERSON
414864	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	MOSES LAKE	GRANT
416893	AVN	314.55.083(5)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
415762	AVN	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
421876	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	SHORELINE	KING
414905	AVN	314.55.120	(MJ) Failing to gain board approval for changes in existing ownership.	UNINCORP. AREAS	KITTITAS
412365	AVN	314.55.050	(MJ) Refusal to allow an inspection and/or obstructing a law enforcement officer from performing their offi	UNINCORP. AREAS	WHITMAN
413163	AVN	314.55.105	(MJ) Packaging and/or labeling violations (producer).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SKAGIT
416282	AVN	314.55.035	(MJ) True party of interest violation.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SKAGIT
412156	AVN	314.55.084	(MJ) Using unauthorized pesticides, soil amendments, fertilizers, other crop production aids.	SEATTLE	KING
416102	AVN	314.55.155(1)	(MJ) Advertising violations: Sign exceeding 1600 square inches; within 1000 feet of prohibited areas; on or	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
416089	AVN	314.55.075(1)	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
414225	AVN	314.55.035	(MJ) True party of interest violation.	PROSSER	BENTON
424162	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	YAKIMA
423261	AVN	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
412149	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	RAYMOND	PACIFIC
413170	AVN	314.55.017	(MJ) Unauthorized sale to a retail licensee. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	ADAMS
413214	AVN	314.55.050	(MJ) Failure to furnish required documents.	TUMWATER	THURSTON
416183	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
412679	AVN	314.55.035	(MJ) True party of interest violation.	SPOKANE VALLEY	SPOKANE
413737	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
412598	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	ARLINGTON	SNOHOMISH
420741	AVN	69.50.401	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	BURIEN	KING
413558	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	SEATTLE	KING
421630	AVN	69.50.535	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
415238	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH

412107	AVN	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	UNINCORP. AREAS	KITSAP
424788	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	YAKIMA
414680	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	EVERETT	SNOHOMISH
412686	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	SPOKANE VALLEY	SPOKANE
413626	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	MASON
413414	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	BINGEN	KLICKITAT
416502	AVN	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	LONGVIEW	COWLITZ
414532	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	SEATTLE	KING
415812	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
415064	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	BLAINE	WHATCOM
413551	AVN	69.50.401	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	CHEHALIS	LEWIS
416046	AVN	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Licensee/employee failing to display required security badge.	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
412858	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	CHENEY	SPOKANE
413893	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	RENTON	KING
412598	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	ARLINGTON	SNOHOMISH
414539	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORP. AREAS	WHATCOM
413193	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
414656	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	BREMERTON	KITSAP
413070	AVN	314.55.050	(MJ) Failure to furnish required documents.	SEATTLE	KING
413314	AVN	314.55.050	(MJ) Misrepresentation of fact.	UNINCORP. AREAS	WHATCOM
413092	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	ADAMS
415203	AVN	69.50.401	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	KING
416968	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	ARLINGTON	SNOHOMISH
423784	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	SHORELINE	KING
415658	AVN	69.50.401	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	KING
419959	AVN	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	UNINCORP. AREAS	STEVENS
412431	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	KITSAP
415812	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
413462	AVN	314.55.105	(MJ) Packaging and/or labeling violations (processor/retailer).	LACEY	THURSTON
413126	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
421667	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
416107	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	LAKE STEVENS	SNOHOMISH
414240	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	KIRKLAND	KING
416539	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	STEVENS
350641	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	SEATTLE	KING
414114	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
417010	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	AUBURN	KING
353928	Written Warning	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	YAKIMA	YAKIMA
412199	AVN	314.55.050	(MJ) Misrepresentation of fact.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
412784	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	MOXEE	YAKIMA
423204	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
412919	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	VANCOUVER	CLARK
413414	AVN	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Licensee/employee failing to display required security badge.	BINGEN	KLICKITAT

415216	AVN	314.55.105	(MJ) Packaging and/or labeling violations (processor/retailer).	GRANITE FALLS	SNOHOMISH
412271	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
417263	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	GRANT
413414	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	BINGEN	KLICKITAT
415470	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	BELLINGHAM	WHATCOM
415761	AVN	314.55.084	(MJ) Using unauthorized pesticides, soil amendments, fertilizers, other crop production aids.	GOLD BAR	SNOHOMISH
412149	AVN	314.55.035	(MJ) True party of interest violation.	RAYMOND	PACIFIC
413426	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
412268	AVN	314.55.120	(MJ) Failing to gain board approval for changes in existing ownership.	LATAH	SPOKANE
413798	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	OCEAN SHORES	GRAYS HARBOR
412687	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	MASON
414045	AVN	314.55.097	(MJ) Failure for a processor to meet marijuana waste disposal requirements.	CHEHALIS	LEWIS
414889	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	TACOMA	PIERCE
417367	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	COWLITZ
414417	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	BELLEVUE	KING
412129	AVN	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	KING
412131	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	PEND OREILLE
410798	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
412977	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORP. AREAS	SAN JUAN
412112	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	BELLEVUE	KING
412784	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	MOXEE	YAKIMA
414295	AVN	69.50.401	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	DES MOINES	KING
420894	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
415083	Written Warning	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	THURSTON
416795	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	SPOKANE VALLEY	SPOKANE
416748	AVN	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	MOXEE	YAKIMA
416539	AVN	314.55.077	(MJ) Unauthorized product/unapproved storage or delivery. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	STEVENS
416942	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	AIRWAY HEIGHTS	SPOKANE
414664	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
412849	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	GRAYS HARBOR
412169	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	KLICKITAT
413479	AVN	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	SEATTLE	KING
413534	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	ANACORTES	SKAGIT
413665	AVN	314.55.084	(MJ) Using unauthorized pesticides, soil amendments, fertilizers, other crop production aids.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	MASON
412792	AVN	314.55.120	(MJ) Failing to gain board approval for changes in existing ownership.	UNINCORP. AREAS	KITTITAS
413950	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	SPOKANE VALLEY	SPOKANE
419150	AVN	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
413821	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	OLYMPIA	THURSTON
414520	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Licensee and/or employee open and/or consuming marijuana on a licensed premises.	EVERETT	SNOHOMISH
412828	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
414500	AVN	314.55.155(1)	(MJ) Advertising violations: Sign exceeding 1600 square inches; within 1000 feet of prohibited areas; on or	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
413659	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	WINTHROP	OKANOGAN
412466	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORP. AREAS	COWLITZ

413529	AVN	314.55.155(1)	(MJ) Advertising violations: Sign exceeding 1600 square inches; within 1000 feet of prohibited areas; on or	BELLINGHAM	WHATCOM
413163	AVN	314.55.105	(MJ) Packaging and/or labeling violations (processor/retailer).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SKAGIT
413197	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
415238	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
414136	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
414893	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	EVERETT	SNOHOMISH
84946	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
415032	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SKAGIT
413163	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SKAGIT
413798	AVN	69.50.4015	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	OCEAN SHORES	GRAYS HARBOR
414884	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	SEATTLE	KING
412973	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	GRANT
412869	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	VANCOUVER	CLARK
414958	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	ELLENSBURG	KITTITAS
416804	AVN	314.55.097	(MJ) Failure for a producer to meet marijuana waste disposal requirements. (producer)	TUMWATER	THURSTON
414871	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	BELLINGHAM	WHATCOM
415665	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
412053	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	UNINCORP. AREAS	LINCOLN
420478	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	MASON
414829	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
414149	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	CLALLAM
412828	AVN	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Licensee/employee failing to display required security badge.	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
413211	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	WAHKIAKUM
416690	AVN	314.55.084	(MJ) Using unauthorized pesticides, soil amendments, fertilizers, other crop production aids.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SKAGIT
415449	AVN	314.55.084	(MJ) Using unauthorized pesticides, soil amendments, fertilizers, other crop production aids.	LACEY	THURSTON
414889	AVN	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	TACOMA	PIERCE
415652	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	KIRKLAND	KING
423492	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	PIERCE
413197	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
415130	AVN	69.50.401	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	OLYMPIA	THURSTON
412149	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	RAYMOND	PACIFIC
414520	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	EVERETT	SNOHOMISH
412031	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	THURSTON
410332	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	SEATTLE	KING
412618	AVN	314.55.092	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
423492	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	PIERCE
412594	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	WHATCOM
412149	AVN	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	RAYMOND	PACIFIC
414256	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	BELLINGHAM	WHATCOM
416183	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
416560	AVN	314.55.099	(MJ) Failure to maintain standardized scale requirements (producer).	MOXEE	YAKIMA
415921	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
417335	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN

412858	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	CHENEY	SPOKANE
412977	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	SAN JUAN
84130	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
413519	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	SEATTLE	KING
424768	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
412396	AVN	314.55.035	(MJ) True party of interest violation.	VANCOUVER	CLARK
413372	AVN	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	SEATTLE	KING
421103	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
413288	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
412226	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
413737	AVN	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
417165	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	KING
412679	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	SPOKANE VALLEY	SPOKANE
412656	AVN	314.55.097	(MJ) Failure for a producer to meet marijuana waste disposal requirements. (producer)	BELLINGHAM	WHATCOM
412891	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	SPOKANE VALLEY	SPOKANE
417526	AVN	314.55.105	(MJ) Packaging and/or labeling violations (processor/retailer).	UNINCORP. AREAS	GRANT
416183	AVN	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
413289	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
424162	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	YAKIMA
417571	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	ARLINGTON	SNOHOMISH
418322	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	SEATTLE	KING
415253	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	BELLEVUE	KING
412365	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	WHITMAN
412315	AVN	314.55.082	(MJ) Failure to maintain required insurance.	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
415094	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	BELLINGHAM	WHATCOM
422570	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	EDMONDS	SNOHOMISH
415445	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	UNINCORP. AREAS	WHATCOM
412076	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	YAKIMA
353993	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	HOQUIAM	GRAYS HARBOR
412735	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	TACOMA	PIERCE
415253	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	BELLEVUE	KING
416539	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	STEVENS
412235	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	AIRWAY HEIGHTS	SPOKANE
413134	AVN	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
413732	AVN	69.50.401	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	VANCOUVER	CLARK
417082	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	SPRINGDALE	STEVENS
420400	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	LONGVIEW	COWLITZ
415822	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORP. AREAS	JEFFERSON
416690	AVN	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SKAGIT
412524	AVN	314.55.017	(MJ) Unauthorized sale to a retail licensee. (producer)	AIRWAY HEIGHTS	SPOKANE
415253	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	BELLEVUE	KING
416102	AVN	69.50.401	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
417364	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	KIRKLAND	KING

413199	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
415010	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	TACOMA	PIERCE
413600	AVN	314.55.155(1)	(MJ) Advertising violations: Sign exceeding 1600 square inches; within 1000 feet of prohibited areas; on or	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
412232	AVN	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
413706	AVN	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	KELSO	COWLITZ
413558	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	SEATTLE	KING
415488	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	HOQUIAM	GRAYS HARBOR
415504	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
412808	AVN	314.55.097	(MJ) Failure for a processor to meet marijuana waste disposal requirements.	SEATTLE	KING
412388	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	KLICKITAT
417078	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	KELSO	COWLITZ
417511	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	ISLAND
413211	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	WAHKIAKUM
412858	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	CHENEY	SPOKANE
413314	AVN	314.55.035	(MJ) True party of interest violation.	UNINCORP. AREAS	WHATCOM
413803	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	KING
420898	Written Warning	69.50.369	(MJ) Advertising violations: Sign exceeding 1600 square inches; within 1000 feet of prohibited areas; on or	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
412180	AVN	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
362444	AVN	314.55.050	(MJ) Misrepresentation of fact.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
412792	AVN	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	KITTITAS
421876	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	SHORELINE	KING
415132	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
416185	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	TACOMA	PIERCE
412217	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	SPOKANE VALLEY	SPOKANE
412524	AVN	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements.	AIRWAY HEIGHTS	SPOKANE
416039	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	VANCOUVER	CLARK
413211	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	WAHKIAKUM
415238	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
413201	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	GRAYS HARBOR
424747	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	RENTON	KING
414111	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	MASON
423775	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	UNINCORP. AREAS	KITSAP
416853	AVN	314.55.084	(MJ) Using unauthorized pesticides, soil amendments, fertilizers, other crop production aids.	ARLINGTON	SNOHOMISH
414785	AVN	314.55.105	(MJ) Packaging and/or labeling violations (processor/retailer).	SEATTLE	KING
415410	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	BUCKLEY	PIERCE
416748	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	MOXEE	YAKIMA
415325	AVN	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	SEATTLE	KING
415470	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	BELLINGHAM	WHATCOM
412969	AVN	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	DOUGLAS
79720	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORP. AREAS	KITSAP
414140	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
422109	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
415531	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	MOUNT VERNON	SKAGIT

417125	AVN	314.55.083(2)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	MOSES LAKE	GRANT
412784	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	MOXEE	YAKIMA
422218	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	KIRKLAND	KING
414723	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	TACOMA	PIERCE
414889	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	TACOMA	PIERCE
424273	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	CLE ELUM	KITTITAS
415083	Written Warning	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	THURSTON
414893	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	EVERETT	SNOHOMISH
414995	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	PULLMAN	WHITMAN
412119	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
416183	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
415726	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	STEVENS
412665	AVN	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	UNINCORP. AREAS	GRANT
413199	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
413426	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
413015	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
412199	AVN	314.55.035	(MJ) True party of interest violation.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
413893	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising violations: Sign exceeding 1600 square inches; within 1000 feet of prohibited areas; on or	RENTON	KING
423885	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
414785	AVN	69.50.401	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	SEATTLE	KING
421350	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	SEATTLE	KING
412315	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
414958	AVN	69.50.401	(MJ) Marijuana sold to an unauthorized source.	ELLENSBURG	KITTITAS
414286	AVN	314.55.077	(MJ) Packaging and/or labeling violations (producer).	VANCOUVER	CLARK
413864	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	FERNDALE	WHATCOM
413287	AVN	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements.	SPOKANE VALLEY	SPOKANE
415812	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
414780	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	SEATTLE	KING
417053	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
413070	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	SEATTLE	KING
415726	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORP. AREAS	STEVENS
413570	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	SEATTLE	KING
414905	AVN	314.55.035	(MJ) True party of interest violation.	UNINCORP. AREAS	KITTITAS
412601	AVN	314.55.084	(MJ) Using unauthorized pesticides, soil amendments, fertilizers, other crop production aids.	UNINCORP. AREAS	PEND OREILLE
84045	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	VANCOUVER	CLARK
415738	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	KLICKITAT
413099	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SKAGIT
417206	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SKAGIT
415310	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORP. AREAS	KITSAP
414520	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	EVERETT	SNOHOMISH
417262	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	SAN JUAN
415325	AVN	314.55.155(1)	(MJ) Advertising violations: Sign exceeding 1600 square inches; within 1000 feet of prohibited areas; on or	SEATTLE	KING
413090	AVN	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping.	SULTAN	SNOHOMISH

414569	AVN	66.44.310(1)(a)	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	SHORELINE	KING
416548	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
412869	AVN	314.55.050	(MJ) Misrepresentation of fact.	VANCOUVER	CLARK
420793	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	SEATTLE	KING
412365	AVN	314.55.097	(MJ) Failure for a producer to meet marijuana waste disposal requirements. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	WHITMAN
416006	AVN	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Licensee/employee failing to display required security badge.	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
412331	AVN	314.55.035	(MJ) True party of interest violation.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	ADAMS
415710	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	TACOMA	PIERCE
84045	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	VANCOUVER	CLARK
417078	AVN	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements.	KELSO	COWLITZ
413135	AVN	314.55.084	(MJ) Using unauthorized pesticides, soil amendments, fertilizers, other crop production aids.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	DOUGLAS
412053	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	LINCOLN
415853	AVN	314.55.096	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	KING
412331	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	ADAMS
422012	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	THURSTON
415539	AVN	69.50.401	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	MASON
425045	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	GRANT
416825	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
414520	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	EVERETT	SNOHOMISH
413737	AVN	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Licensee/employee failing to display required security badge.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
415504	AVN	314.55.083(2)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
412598	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	ARLINGTON	SNOHOMISH
402780	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	CLE ELUM	KITTITAS
415531	AVN	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	MOUNT VERNON	SKAGIT
413087	AVN	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping.	UNINCORP. AREAS	CLALLAM
415517	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
412593	AVN	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	CLARK
415812	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
412670	AVN	314.55.084	(MJ) Using unauthorized pesticides, soil amendments, fertilizers, other crop production aids.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
415216	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	GRANITE FALLS	SNOHOMISH
413214	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	TUMWATER	THURSTON
412672	AVN	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
423402	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	SEATTLE	KING
412050	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
415575	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	LAKE STEVENS	SNOHOMISH
416231	AVN	314.55.082	(MJ) Failure to maintain required insurance.	UNINCORP. AREAS	STEVENS
414731	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	SEATTLE	KING
412069	AVN	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	SEATTLE	KING
412076	AVN	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	YAKIMA
417082	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	SPRINGDALE	STEVENS
423542	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	WENATCHEE	CHELAN
415727	AVN	314.55.035	(MJ) True party of interest violation.	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
412148	AVN	314.55.105	(MJ) Packaging and/or labeling violations (processor/retailer).	SEATTLE	KING

413596	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNION GAP	YAKIMA
412588	AVN	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
415652	AVN	69.50.369	(MJ) Advertising violations: Sign exceeding 1600 square inches; within 1000 feet of prohibited areas; on or	KIRKLAND	KING
416870	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
416133	AVN	314.55.084	(MJ) Using unauthorized pesticides, soil amendments, fertilizers, other crop production aids.	TUMWATER	THURSTON
421642	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
415652	Written Warning	314.55.155(1)	(MJ) Advertising violations: Sign exceeding 1600 square inches; within 1000 feet of prohibited areas; on or	KIRKLAND	KING
422298	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	MASON
421326	Written Warning	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	CLARK
424327	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	LAKE STEVENS	SNOHOMISH
420793	AVN	69.50.401	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	SEATTLE	KING
420801	Written Warning	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Licensee/employee failing to display required security badge.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SKAGIT
422103	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	OAK HARBOR	ISLAND
412687	AVN	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	MASON
84045	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	VANCOUVER	CLARK
415504	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
414298	AVN	69.50.401	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	SEATTLE	KING
414225	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	PROSSER	BENTON
415771	AVN	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	LAKE STEVENS	SNOHOMISH
413570	AVN	69.50.369	(MJ) Advertising violations: Sign exceeding 1600 square inches; within 1000 feet of prohibited areas; on or	SEATTLE	KING
413169	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
414841	AVN	314.55.096	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	RENTON	KING
410332	Written Warning	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	SEATTLE	KING
425507	Written Warning	314.55.092	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	REDMOND	KING
414520	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	EVERETT	SNOHOMISH
413692	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	SEATTLE	KING
417214	AVN	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements.	TACOMA	PIERCE
422103	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	OAK HARBOR	ISLAND
413665	Written Warning	314.55.095(2)	(MJ) Exceeding maximum serving requirements for marijuana-infused products.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	MASON
413737	AVN	314.55.092	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
415124	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	SOUTH BEND	PACIFIC
414520	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	EVERETT	SNOHOMISH
414803	Written Warning	314.55.092	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
416627	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
422658	Written Warning	69.50.369	(MJ) Advertising violations: Sign exceeding 1600 square inches; within 1000 feet of prohibited areas; on or	RITZVILLE	ADAMS
412070	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	SEATTLE	KING
415771	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	LAKE STEVENS	SNOHOMISH
425273	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	WALLA WALLA (CITY)	WALLA WALLA
416627	AVN	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
425965	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORP. AREAS	KITSAP
422658	AVN	69.50.369	(MJ) Advertising violations: Sign exceeding 1600 square inches; within 1000 feet of prohibited areas; on or	RITZVILLE	ADAMS
417380	Written Warning	314.55.097	(MJ) Failure for a processor to meet marijuana waste disposal requirements.	LACEY	THURSTON
424327	Written Warning	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	LAKE STEVENS	SNOHOMISH

415777	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	ADAMS
415685	AVN	314.55.035	(MJ) True party of interest violation.	SEATTLE	KING
412199	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
416787	AVN	314.55.050	(MJ) Failure to furnish required documents.	VANCOUVER	CLARK
414256	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	BELLINGHAM	WHATCOM
415031	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	MOUNTLAKE TERRACE	SNOHOMISH
416203	AVN	314.55.035	(MJ) True party of interest violation.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	ISLAND
414780	AVN	69.50.401	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	SEATTLE	KING
423634	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	TACOMA	PIERCE
424980	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	DOUGLAS
422109	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
412991	AVN	314.55.155(1)	(MJ) Advertising violations: Sign exceeding 1600 square inches; within 1000 feet of prohibited areas; on or	AUBURN	KING
416354	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
420123	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	FORKS	CLALLAM
402039	Written Warning	314.55.155(1)	(MJ) Advertising violations: Sign exceeding 1600 square inches; within 1000 feet of prohibited areas; on or	SEATTLE	KING
416609	Written Warning	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
415707	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	GRANT
416787	AVN	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	VANCOUVER	CLARK
413211	AVN	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	WAHKIAKUM
412149	AVN	314.55.050	(MJ) Misrepresentation of fact.	RAYMOND	PACIFIC
420661	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	BREMERTON	KITSAP
416203	AVN	314.55.120	(MJ) Failing to gain board approval for changes in existing ownership.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	ISLAND
412804	AVN	314.55.084	(MJ) Using unauthorized pesticides, soil amendments, fertilizers, other crop production aids.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	GRAYS HARBOR
422010	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORP. AREAS	WHATCOM
422109	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
415777	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	ADAMS
417198	AVN	314.55.050	(MJ) Misrepresentation of fact.	HOQUIAM	GRAYS HARBOR
415032	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SKAGIT
424327	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	LAKE STEVENS	SNOHOMISH
421941	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	DARRINGTON	SNOHOMISH
421941	Written Warning	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	DARRINGTON	SNOHOMISH
422257	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	MASON
414761	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	VANCOUVER	CLARK
422298	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	MASON
413948	Written Warning	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	THURSTON
417643	Written Warning	314.55.096	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	OAK HARBOR	ISLAND
412588	Written Warning	314.55.120	(MJ) Failing to gain board approval for changes in existing ownership.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
414803	Written Warning	314.55.105	(MJ) Packaging and/or labeling violations (processor/retailer).	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
421632	AVN	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	LACEY	THURSTON
84045	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	VANCOUVER	CLARK
415124	AVN	69.50.401	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	SOUTH BEND	PACIFIC
420908	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	UNINCORP. AREAS	WHATCOM
425507	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	REDMOND	KING

410273	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
417087	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
413719	AVN	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	WHATCOM
417221	Written Warning	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
420908	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	UNINCORP. AREAS	WHATCOM
422363	Written Warning	314.55.083(2)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	UNINCORP. AREAS	GRANT
412618	Written Warning	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
425584	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	PULLMAN	WHITMAN
424647	AVN	69.50.401	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	YAKIMA
412335	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	CLARK
412335	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	CLARK
413719	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	UNINCORP. AREAS	WHATCOM
422139	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	BELLINGHAM	WHATCOM
421151	AVN	314.55.020(12)	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	PULLMAN	WHITMAN
415064	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	BLAINE	WHATCOM
415303	AVN	69.50.401	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	YAKIMA	YAKIMA
413719	AVN	314.55.086	(MJ) Signs: Failure to post required signs.	UNINCORP. AREAS	WHATCOM
412752	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
413847	AVN	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	THURSTON

414298	AVN	314.55.520	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	SEATTLE	KING
421642	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
413692	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	SEATTLE	KING
413363	AVN	69.50.401	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	TACOMA	PIERCE
422791	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	MOUNT VERNON	SKAGIT
417380	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	LACEY	THURSTON
413858	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
417380	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	LACEY	THURSTON
422627	AVN	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements.	MOXEE	YAKIMA
424327	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	LAKE STEVENS	SNOHOMISH
425965	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORP. AREAS	KITSAP
422627	Written Warning	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements.	MOXEE	YAKIMA
415739	Written Warning	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
422168	Written Warning	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	GOLD BAR	SNOHOMISH
422570	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	EDMONDS	SNOHOMISH
424273	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	CLE ELUM	KITTITAS
414841	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	RENTON	KING
421941	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	DARRINGTON	SNOHOMISH
416495	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	GRANT
424327	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	LAKE STEVENS	SNOHOMISH
84154	AVN	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	TACOMA	PIERCE
422570	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	EDMONDS	SNOHOMISH
421707	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	BELLINGHAM	WHATCOM
416870	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
413858	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
413363	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	TACOMA	PIERCE
421326	Written Warning	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	UNINCORP. AREAS	CLARK
414761	Written Warning	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	VANCOUVER	CLARK
421577	AVN	69.50.369	(MJ) Advertising violations: Sign exceeding 1600 square inches; within 1000 feet of prohibited areas; on or	REDMOND	KING
424647	Written Warning	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	YAKIMA
417087	Written Warning	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
415303	Written Warning	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	YAKIMA	YAKIMA
421695	AVN	69.50.401	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	KING
416606	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	LINCOLN
413314	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	UNINCORP. AREAS	WHATCOM
402039	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	SEATTLE	KING
416170	AVN	314.55.035	(MJ) True party of interest violation.	SEDRO WOOLLEY	SKAGIT
425584	AVN	314.55.083(2)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	PULLMAN	WHITMAN
417221	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
421326	AVN	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	UNINCORP. AREAS	CLARK
413893	Written Warning	314.55.110	(MJ) Conduct violations: Criminal conduct: Permitting or engaging in criminal conduct.	RENTON	KING
412335	AVN	314.55.104	(MJ) Marijuana processor extraction requirements.	UNINCORP. AREAS	CLARK
413719	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORP. AREAS	WHATCOM

413211	AVN	314.55.083(2)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	WAHKIAKUM
416196	AVN	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
417029	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	EVERETT	SNOHOMISH
414395	Written Warning	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements. (producer)	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
414286	AVN	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements. (producer)	VANCOUVER	CLARK
413339	AVN	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	GRAYS HARBOR
412942	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	THURSTON
416787	AVN	314.55.050	(MJ) Misrepresentation of fact.	VANCOUVER	CLARK
420819	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	SEATTLE	KING
421804	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	SEATTLE	KING
413798	Written Warning	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	OCEAN SHORES	GRAYS HARBOR
425068	Written Warning	314.55.095(3)	(MJ) Sales in excess of transaction limitations.	BELLEVUE	KING
414290	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	GRANT
415707	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	GRANT
402780	Written Warning	314.55.155(1)	(MJ) Advertising violations: Sign exceeding 1600 square inches; within 1000 feet of prohibited areas; on or	CLE ELUM	KITTITAS
415721	AVN	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	SKAMANIA
417218	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
422158	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	VANCOUVER	CLARK
421069	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	OLYMPIA	THURSTON
414395	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
413718	AVN	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	TACOMA	PIERCE
414256	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	BELLINGHAM	WHATCOM
421709	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	UNINCORP. AREAS	JEFFERSON
414871	Written Warning	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	BELLINGHAM	WHATCOM
420123	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	FORKS	CLALLAM
414841	AVN	69.50.401	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	RENTON	KING
412598	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	ARLINGTON	SNOHOMISH
417285	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	SEATTLE	KING
412129	AVN	314.55.097	(MJ) Failure for a producer to meet marijuana waste disposal requirements. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	KING
413427	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORP. AREAS	KITSAP
425362	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
421069	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	OLYMPIA	THURSTON
416196	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
416626	AVN	314.55.035	(MJ) True party of interest violation.	WOODLAND	COWLITZ
421687	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	DAVENPORT	LINCOLN
412991	AVN	314.55.110	(MJ) Conduct violations: Criminal conduct: Permitting or engaging in criminal conduct.	AUBURN	KING
420661	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	BREMERTON	KITSAP
413427	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORP. AREAS	KITSAP
414864	Written Warning	314.55.110	(MJ) Conduct violations: Criminal conduct: Permitting or engaging in criminal conduct.	MOSES LAKE	GRANT
416999	Written Warning	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	ADAMS
414656	Written Warning	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Licensee/employee failing to display required security badge.	BREMERTON	KITSAP
417095	Written Warning	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Licensee/employee failing to display required security badge.	SEATTLE	KING
413713	AVN	314.55.035	(MJ) True party of interest violation.	UNINCORP. AREAS	STEVENS

413099	AVN	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Licensee/employee failing to display required security badge.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SKAGIT
415361	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORP. AREAS	KLICKITAT
422954	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
413363	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	TACOMA	PIERCE
413070	AVN	314.55.120	(MJ) Failing to gain board approval for changes in existing ownership.	SEATTLE	KING
425273	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Retail outlet selling unauthorized products.	WALLA WALLA (CITY)	WALLA WALLA
413847	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	THURSTON
413719	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	WHATCOM
416627	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
415986	AVN	314.55.092	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	ARLINGTON	SNOHOMISH
412724	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	SEATTLE	KING
412347	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	SEATTLE	KING
416155	AVN	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	AIRWAY HEIGHTS	SPOKANE
414520	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	EVERETT	SNOHOMISH
412860	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
415031	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	MOUNTLAKE TERRACE	SNOHOMISH
412756	AVN	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
413131	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
415900	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	STEVENS
416739	AVN	314.55.099	(MJ) Failure to maintain standardized scale requirements (producer).	MOXEE	YAKIMA
415253	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Advertising violations: Sign exceeding 1600 square inches; within 1000 feet of prohibited areas; on or	BELLEVUE	KING
416968	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	ARLINGTON	SNOHOMISH
420291	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	SEATTLE	KING
412331	AVN	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	ADAMS
412969	AVN	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	DOUGLAS
81400	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	UNINCORP. AREAS	KITSAP
412627	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	ARLINGTON	SNOHOMISH
417019	Written Warning	314.55.035	(MJ) True party of interest violation.	QUINCY	GRANT
417198	AVN	314.55.035	(MJ) True party of interest violation.	HOQUIAM	GRAYS HARBOR
413479	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	SEATTLE	KING
414680	Written Warning	69.50.369	(MJ) Advertising violations: Sign exceeding 1600 square inches; within 1000 feet of prohibited areas; on or	EVERETT	SNOHOMISH
416999	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	ADAMS
413718	AVN	314.55.084	(MJ) Using unauthorized pesticides, soil amendments, fertilizers, other crop production aids.	TACOMA	PIERCE
421702	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	VANCOUVER	CLARK
79013	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	SEATTLE	KING
415504	Written Warning	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Licensee/employee failing to display required security badge.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
416614	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
415031	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	MOUNTLAKE TERRACE	SNOHOMISH
414295	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	DES MOINES	KING
413692	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	SEATTLE	KING
422442	Written Warning	314.55.050	(MJ) Refusal to allow an inspection and/or obstructing a law enforcement officer from performing their offi	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
422010	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORP. AREAS	WHATCOM
416626	AVN	314.55.120	(MJ) Failing to gain board approval for changes in existing ownership.	WOODLAND	COWLITZ

414963	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	LACEY	THURSTON
415937	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
417198	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	HOQUIAM	GRAYS HARBOR
417262	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	SAN JUAN
415238	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
415869	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
416902	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORP. AREAS	STEVENS
421805	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	VANCOUVER	CLARK
421952	AVN	314.55.050	(MJ) Misrepresentation of fact.	MUKILTEO	SNOHOMISH
421335	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	THURSTON
416632	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	TACOMA	PIERCE
412146	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
417174	AVN	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	TACOMA	PIERCE
412743	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
422570	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	EDMONDS	SNOHOMISH
412388	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORP. AREAS	KLICKITAT
415010	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	TACOMA	PIERCE
413626	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	MASON
402107	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	SEATTLE	KING
416598	AVN	314.55.099	(MJ) Failure to maintain standardized scale requirements (producer).	MOXEE	YAKIMA
413529	AVN	314.55.120	(MJ) Failing to gain board approval for changes in existing ownership.	BELLINGHAM	WHATCOM
417125	AVN	314.55.035	(MJ) True party of interest violation.	MOSES LAKE	GRANT
414225	AVN	314.55.050	(MJ) Misrepresentation of fact.	PROSSER	BENTON
414418	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORP. AREAS	JEFFERSON
413541	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	PORT ORCHARD	KITSAP
422202	Written Warning	69.50.369	(MJ) Advertising violations: Sign exceeding 1600 square inches; within 1000 feet of prohibited areas; on or	UNINCORP. AREAS	STEVENS
416235	AVN	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	GOLD BAR	SNOHOMISH
412069	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	SEATTLE	KING
413803	AVN	69.50.401	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	KING
421507	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
413570	AVN	69.50.401	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	SEATTLE	KING
420819	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	SEATTLE	KING
413090	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	SULTAN	SNOHOMISH
413214	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	TUMWATER	THURSTON
84154	AVN	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	TACOMA	PIERCE
413258	AVN	69.50.401	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	PIERCE
413211	AVN	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	WAHKIAKUM
412943	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	KITSAP
415694	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
413626	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	MASON
415900	AVN	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	UNINCORP. AREAS	STEVENS
417125	AVN	314.55.120	(MJ) Failing to gain board approval for changes in existing ownership.	MOSES LAKE	GRANT
417165	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	KING

400209	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORP. AREAS	SAN JUAN
412865	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	BRIDGEPORT	DOUGLAS
413163	AVN	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SKAGIT
416661	AVN	314.55.120	(MJ) Failing to gain board approval for changes in existing ownership.	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
417381	AVN	314.55.097	(MJ) Failure for a producer to meet marijuana waste disposal requirements. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	WHITMAN
415694	AVN	314.55.097	(MJ) Failure for a producer to meet marijuana waste disposal requirements. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
414871	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	BELLINGHAM	WHATCOM
415752	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	WOODLAND	COWLITZ
413258	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Retail outlet selling unauthorized products.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	PIERCE
414539	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORP. AREAS	WHATCOM
414114	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
420793	AVN	69.50.401	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	SEATTLE	KING
412616	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
414418	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORP. AREAS	JEFFERSON
413700	AVN	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
413819	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	ARLINGTON	SNOHOMISH
413076	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	SOUTH BEND	PACIFIC
417198	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	HOQUIAM	GRAYS HARBOR
413358	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	TACOMA	PIERCE
425273	AVN	69.50.535	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	WALLA WALLA (CITY)	WALLA WALLA
413462	AVN	314.55.075	(MJ) Unauthorized sale to a retail licensee. (producer)	LACEY	THURSTON
421667	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
413713	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	STEVENS
423754	AVN	69.50.401	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	SEATTLE	KING
417174	AVN	314.55.104	(MJ) Marijuana processor extraction requirements.	TACOMA	PIERCE
412429	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	GOLD BAR	SNOHOMISH
421759	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	THURSTON
84130	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
413145	AVN	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	BELLINGHAM	WHATCOM
413922	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORP. AREAS	STEVENS
415449	AVN	314.55.084	(MJ) Using unauthorized pesticides, soil amendments, fertilizers, other crop production aids.	LACEY	THURSTON
84154	AVN	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	TACOMA	PIERCE
422218	AVN	69.50.369	(MJ) Advertising violations: Sign exceeding 1600 square inches; within 1000 feet of prohibited areas; on or	KIRKLAND	KING
415206	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	RAYMOND	PACIFIC
414680	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Licensee and/or employee open and/or consuming marijuana on a licensed premises.	EVERETT	SNOHOMISH
414500	AVN	314.55.155(1)	(MJ) Advertising violations: Sign exceeding 1600 square inches; within 1000 feet of prohibited areas; on or	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
417125	AVN	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	MOSES LAKE	GRANT
412113	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	KITSAP
417262	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	SAN JUAN
421084	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	ARLINGTON	SNOHOMISH
413716	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	KING
422109	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
413762	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	SEDRO WOOLLEY	SKAGIT

414256	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	BELLINGHAM	WHATCOM
412656	AVN	314.55.035	(MJ) True party of interest violation.	BELLINGHAM	WHATCOM
413181	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	SPOKANE VALLEY	SPOKANE
416539	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	STEVENS
412784	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	MOXEE	YAKIMA
414569	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	SHORELINE	KING
412149	AVN	314.55.105	(MJ) Adulterate usable marijuana with organic or nonorganic chemical or other compound.	RAYMOND	PACIFIC
414462	AVN	314.55.084	(MJ) Using unauthorized pesticides, soil amendments, fertilizers, other crop production aids.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
413551	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	CHEHALIS	LEWIS
412226	AVN	314.55.105	(MJ) Packaging and/or labeling violations (producer).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
412921	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORP. AREAS	CLALLAM
413819	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	ARLINGTON	SNOHOMISH
412268	AVN	314.55.035	(MJ) True party of interest violation.	LATAH	SPOKANE
413131	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
414256	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	BELLINGHAM	WHATCOM
413078	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	FRIDAY HARBOR	SAN JUAN
412723	AVN	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements.	SEATTLE	KING
412868	AVN	314.55.099	(MJ) Failure to maintain standardized scale requirements (producer).	MOXEE	YAKIMA
423885	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
417165	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	KING
412439	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
412494	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	AUBURN	KING
415513	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	LAKE FOREST PARK	KING
412374	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	STEVENS
417643	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	OAK HARBOR	ISLAND
413198	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	GRANT
415238	AVN	69.50.331(5)	(MJ) Signs: Failure to post required signs.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
416690	AVN	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SKAGIT
415216	AVN	314.55.105	(MJ) Packaging and/or labeling violations (processor/retailer).	GRANITE FALLS	SNOHOMISH
413372	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	SEATTLE	KING
415504	AVN	314.55.079(6)	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
412246	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	SEATTLE	KING
423224	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	MOUNTLAKE TERRACE	SNOHOMISH
412860	AVN	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
412119	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
416412	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	MASON
413706	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	KELSO	COWLITZ
416545	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	STEVENS
412032	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	PEND OREILLE
412396	AVN	314.55.050	(MJ) Misrepresentation of fact.	VANCOUVER	CLARK
422350	AVN	69.50.369	(MJ) Advertising violations: Sign exceeding 1600 square inches; within 1000 feet of prohibited areas; on or	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
412656	AVN	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping.	BELLINGHAM	WHATCOM
412374	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	STEVENS

414980	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	SEATTLE	KING
414905	AVN	314.55.050	(MJ) Misrepresentation of fact.	UNINCORP. AREAS	KITTITAS
412149	AVN	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements.	RAYMOND	PACIFIC
415726	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORP. AREAS	STEVENS
413719	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	WHATCOM
412331	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	ADAMS
413492	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	UNINCORP. AREAS	JEFFERSON
412622	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
424190	AVN	314.55.096	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	PACIFIC
416027	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SKAGIT
418322	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	SEATTLE	KING
421084	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	ARLINGTON	SNOHOMISH
415935	AVN	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements.	SEATTLE	KING
417174	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	TACOMA	PIERCE
413737	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
415486	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	REDMOND	KING
412247	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	EVERETT	SNOHOMISH
353260	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORP. AREAS	JEFFERSON
415238	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
413893	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	RENTON	KING
414980	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	SEATTLE	KING
415325	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	SEATTLE	KING
416825	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
415900	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	STEVENS
412656	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	BELLINGHAM	WHATCOM
413211	AVN	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	WAHKIAKUM
415927	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
413719	AVN	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	WHATCOM
413102	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	THURSTON
421630	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
421491	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	OLYMPIA	THURSTON
416183	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
413558	AVN	314.55.105	(MJ) Packaging and/or labeling violations (processor/retailer).	SEATTLE	KING
412660	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	GRANT
422442	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
414893	AVN	314.55.035	(MJ) True party of interest violation.	EVERETT	SNOHOMISH
417381	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	WHITMAN
415790	AVN	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	AIRWAY HEIGHTS	SPOKANE
412252	AVN	314.55.120	(MJ) Failing to gain board approval for changes in existing ownership.	SEATTLE	KING
412660	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	GRANT
86823	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	PORT ANGELES	CLALLAM
412070	AVN	314.55.084	(MJ) Using unauthorized pesticides, soil amendments, fertilizers, other crop production aids.	SEATTLE	KING
412149	AVN	314.55.097	(MJ) Failure for a producer to meet marijuana waste disposal requirements. (producer)	RAYMOND	PACIFIC

416960	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	SPOKANE VALLEY	SPOKANE
417125	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	MOSES LAKE	GRANT
417183	AVN	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
414958	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	ELLENSBURG	KITTITAS
413901	AVN	314.55.079(6)	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	ISLAND
413181	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	SPOKANE VALLEY	SPOKANE
412630	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
404933	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	PORT TOWNSEND	JEFFERSON
420031	AVN	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	TACOMA	PIERCE
416968	AVN	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements.	ARLINGTON	SNOHOMISH
413732	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	VANCOUVER	CLARK
421630	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
417082	AVN	314.55.097	(MJ) Failure for a producer to meet marijuana waste disposal requirements. (producer)	SPRINGDALE	STEVENS
417335	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
415739	AVN	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
412681	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	TACOMA	PIERCE
412681	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	TACOMA	PIERCE
423681	AVN	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping.	BLAINE	WHATCOM
415752	AVN	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	WOODLAND	COWLITZ
416825	Written Warning	314.55.087(1)(f)	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping.	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
413201	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	GRAYS HARBOR
420291	AVN	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	SEATTLE	KING
417183	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
421777	AVN	314.55.155(1)	(MJ) Advertising violations: Sign exceeding 1600 square inches; within 1000 feet of prohibited areas; on or	BELLEVUE	KING
414136	AVN	314.55.087(1)(f)	(MJ) Using unauthorized pesticides, soil amendments, fertilizers, other crop production aids.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
421103	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
417525	AVN	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
415410	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	BUCKLEY	PIERCE
412066	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORP. AREAS	STEVENS
418322	AVN	314.55.050	(MJ) Misrepresentation of fact.	SEATTLE	KING
413886	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	BELLINGHAM	WHATCOM
421616	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	BELLINGHAM	WHATCOM
413084	AVN	314.55.084	(MJ) Using unauthorized pesticides, soil amendments, fertilizers, other crop production aids.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	YAKIMA
412615	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	SEATTLE	KING
412672	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
412593	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	CLARK
414733	AVN	69.50.401	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	THURSTON
415348	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	SEATTLE	KING
412149	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	RAYMOND	PACIFIC
414963	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	LACEY	THURSTON
413181	AVN	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	SPOKANE VALLEY	SPOKANE
415873	AVN	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
412969	AVN	314.55.084	(MJ) Using unauthorized pesticides, soil amendments, fertilizers, other crop production aids.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	DOUGLAS

413462	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	LACEY	THURSTON
414491	AVN	69.50.401	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	COVINGTON	KING
412069	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	SEATTLE	KING
412112	AVN	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Licensee/employee failing to display required security badge.	BELLEVUE	KING
412146	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
420031	AVN	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements.	TACOMA	PIERCE
423390	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	PIERCE
414417	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Advertising violations: Sign exceeding 1600 square inches; within 1000 feet of prohibited areas; on or	BELLEVUE	KING
415325	AVN	314.55.105	(MJ) Packaging and/or labeling violations (processor/retailer).	SEATTLE	KING
412452	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	MASON
412882	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	TUMWATER	THURSTON
416627	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
416549	AVN	314.55.035	(MJ) True party of interest violation.	UNINCORP. AREAS	KITTITAS
416196	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
415826	AVN	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
415761	AVN	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	GOLD BAR	SNOHOMISH
423224	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	MOUNTLAKE TERRACE	SNOHOMISH
412639	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	SEATTLE	KING
413214	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	TUMWATER	THURSTON
413739	Written Warning	69.50.369	(MJ) Advertising violations: Sign exceeding 1600 square inches; within 1000 feet of prohibited areas; on or	MILLWOOD	SPOKANE
416129	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	ARLINGTON	SNOHOMISH
422168	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	GOLD BAR	SNOHOMISH
420478	AVN	69.50.401	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	MASON
412331	AVN	314.55.035	(MJ) True party of interest violation.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	ADAMS
417214	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	TACOMA	PIERCE
412069	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	SEATTLE	KING
413287	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	SPOKANE VALLEY	SPOKANE
415253	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	BELLEVUE	KING
416551	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	SPOKANE VALLEY	SPOKANE
414533	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	SEATTLE	KING
414286	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	VANCOUVER	CLARK
82587	Written Warning	69.50.369	(MJ) Advertising violations: Sign exceeding 1600 square inches; within 1000 feet of prohibited areas; on or	MILLWOOD	SPOKANE
422298	Written Warning	69.50.369	(MJ) Advertising violations: Sign exceeding 1600 square inches; within 1000 feet of prohibited areas; on or	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	MASON
412598	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	ARLINGTON	SNOHOMISH
416606	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	LINCOLN
413803	AVN	314.55.155(2)	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	KING
422146	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
413994	Written Warning	69.50.369	(MJ) Advertising violations: Sign exceeding 1600 square inches; within 1000 feet of prohibited areas; on or	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	FERRY
421738	Written Warning	314.55.155(1)	(MJ) Advertising violations: Sign exceeding 1600 square inches; within 1000 feet of prohibited areas; on or	OMAK	OKANOGAN
415083	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	THURSTON
424768	AVN	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
418322	AVN	314.55.035	(MJ) True party of interest violation.	SEATTLE	KING
423390	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	PIERCE

421695	AVN	69.50.401	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	KING
414042	AVN	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Licensee/employee failing to display required security badge.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
414042	AVN	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
412070	AVN	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	SEATTLE	KING
414042	AVN	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
415944	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	LINCOLN
423418	AVN	314.55.310	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements.	SEATTLE	KING
423681	AVN	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	BLAINE	WHATCOM
413706	AVN	314.55.035	(MJ) True party of interest violation.	KELSO	COWLITZ
413084	AVN	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	YAKIMA
412666	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	WHITMAN
414136	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
415727	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
413702	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	BELLINGHAM	WHATCOM
415504	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
413529	AVN	314.55.050	(MJ) Failure to furnish required documents.	BELLINGHAM	WHATCOM
413201	AVN	314.55.035	(MJ) True party of interest violation.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	GRAYS HARBOR
412107	AVN	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	UNINCORP. AREAS	KITSAP
413847	AVN	69.50.406	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	THURSTON
412552	AVN	314.55.084	(MJ) Using unauthorized pesticides, soil amendments, fertilizers, other crop production aids.	UNINCORP. AREAS	COWLITZ
417114	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	PULLMAN	WHITMAN
416113	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	ARLINGTON	SNOHOMISH
415206	AVN	69.50.401	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	RAYMOND	PACIFIC
422227	AVN	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping.	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
412653	AVN	314.55.035	(MJ) True party of interest violation.	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
414893	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Retail outlet selling unauthorized products.	EVERETT	SNOHOMISH
414225	AVN	314.55.015(7)	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	PROSSER	BENTON
413737	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
416016	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	ISLAND
412861	AVN	314.55.083(5)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	ARLINGTON	SNOHOMISH
412388	AVN	314.55.035	(MJ) True party of interest violation.	UNINCORP. AREAS	KLICKITAT
412977	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	SAN JUAN
413940	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
418322	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	SEATTLE	KING
413426	AVN	69.50.535	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
412653	AVN	314.55.050	(MJ) Misrepresentation of fact.	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
412043	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	KITTITAS
423379	AVN	314.55.050	(MJ) Refusal to allow an inspection and/or obstructing a law enforcement officer from performing their offi	SEATTLE	KING
421083	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	SHORELINE	KING
413426	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Retailer displaying products in a manner visible to the general public from a public right of way.	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
412692	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	BELLEVUE	KING
415968	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
353993	AVN	314.55.035	(MJ) True party of interest violation.	HOQUIAM	GRAYS HARBOR

415539	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	MASON
413002	AVN	314.55.106	(MJ) Packaging and/or labeling violations (processor/retailer).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	THURSTON
413718	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	TACOMA	PIERCE
84045	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	VANCOUVER	CLARK
417511	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	ISLAND
413729	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	FERNDALE	WHATCOM
413596	AVN	314.55.105	(MJ) Packaging and/or labeling violations (processor/retailer).	UNION GAP	YAKIMA
424273	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	CLE ELUM	KITTITAS
423681	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	BLAINE	WHATCOM
417643	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	OAK HARBOR	ISLAND
413163	AVN	314.55.084	(MJ) Using unauthorized pesticides, soil amendments, fertilizers, other crop production aids.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SKAGIT
416606	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORP. AREAS	LINCOLN
79720	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORP. AREAS	KITSAP
416824	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	THURSTON
417083	AVN	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
414812	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	BAINBRIDGE ISLAND	KITSAP
416795	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	SPOKANE VALLEY	SPOKANE
412598	AVN	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements. (producer)	ARLINGTON	SNOHOMISH
417125	AVN	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	MOSES LAKE	GRANT
417125	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	MOSES LAKE	GRANT
416184	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	PACIFIC
416531	AVN	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
415361	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORP. AREAS	KLICKITAT
414871	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	BELLINGHAM	WHATCOM
416081	AVN	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
400772	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	ELLENSBURG	KITTITAS
412396	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	VANCOUVER	CLARK
412737	AVN	314.55.083(2)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
416894	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
416113	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	ARLINGTON	SNOHOMISH
419150	AVN	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
413199	AVN	314.55.097	(MJ) Failure for a producer to meet marijuana waste disposal requirements. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
413145	AVN	314.55.084	(MJ) Using unauthorized pesticides, soil amendments, fertilizers, other crop production aids.	BELLINGHAM	WHATCOM
412370	AVN	69.50.401	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	MOUNT VERNON	SKAGIT
413737	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
413211	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	WAHKIAKUM
415921	AVN	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
413534	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements.	ANACORTES	SKAGIT
416431	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	TACOMA	PIERCE
413994	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	FERRY
412268	AVN	314.55.084	(MJ) Using unauthorized pesticides, soil amendments, fertilizers, other crop production aids.	LATAH	SPOKANE
416968	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	ARLINGTON	SNOHOMISH
421709	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORP. AREAS	JEFFERSON

415517	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
416968	AVN	314.55.084	(MJ) Using unauthorized pesticides, soil amendments, fertilizers, other crop production aids.	ARLINGTON	SNOHOMISH
412681	AVN	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Licensee/employee failing to display required security badge.	TACOMA	PIERCE
416606	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	LINCOLN
412331	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	ADAMS
412050	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
413911	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	MASON
412866	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	FERRY
423380	AVN	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
414042	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
417262	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	SAN JUAN
413099	AVN	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SKAGIT
413358	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	TACOMA	PIERCE
72413	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	DAVENPORT	LINCOLN
417295	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
410332	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	SEATTLE	KING
413287	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	SPOKANE VALLEY	SPOKANE
412524	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	AIRWAY HEIGHTS	SPOKANE
414733	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	THURSTON
412396	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	VANCOUVER	CLARK
412457	AVN	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	MOXEE	YAKIMA
414395	AVN	314.55.050	(MJ) Misrepresentation of fact.	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
412149	AVN	314.55.084	(MJ) Using unauthorized pesticides, soil amendments, fertilizers, other crop production aids.	RAYMOND	PACIFIC
420478	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	MASON
412070	AVN	314.55.092	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	SEATTLE	KING
416844	AVN	314.55.050	(MJ) Misrepresentation of fact.	MOXEE	YAKIMA
416661	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
415790	AVN	314.55.050	(MJ) Failure to furnish required documents.	AIRWAY HEIGHTS	SPOKANE
413289	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
422012	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	THURSTON
417174	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	TACOMA	PIERCE
414755	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	WENATCHEE	CHELAN
414785	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	SEATTLE	KING
415094	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	BELLINGHAM	WHATCOM
424788	Written Warning	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	YAKIMA
412598	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	ARLINGTON	SNOHOMISH
417321	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	BELLINGHAM	WHATCOM
413492	AVN	314.55.079(6)	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	UNINCORP. AREAS	JEFFERSON
421490	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	THURSTON
413099	AVN	314.55.097	(MJ) Failure for a producer to meet marijuana waste disposal requirements. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SKAGIT
412598	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	ARLINGTON	SNOHOMISH
416387	AVN	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
413773	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNION GAP	YAKIMA

417511	AVN	314.55.105	(MJ) Packaging and/or labeling violations (processor/retailer).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	ISLAND
417165	AVN	314.55.050	(MJ) Failure to furnish required documents.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	KING
412466	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORP. AREAS	COWLITZ
412069	AVN	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	SEATTLE	KING
413198	Written Warning	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	GRANT
415507	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
416661	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
415652	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	KIRKLAND	KING
413558	Written Warning	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	SEATTLE	KING
418297	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	STEVENS
404933	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	PORT TOWNSEND	JEFFERSON
413414	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	BINGEN	KLICKITAT
412445	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
419892	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	SEATTLE	KING
410332	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	SEATTLE	KING
416458	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	TACOMA	PIERCE
416155	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	AIRWAY HEIGHTS	SPOKANE
422168	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	GOLD BAR	SNOHOMISH
413718	AVN	314.55.035	(MJ) True party of interest violation.	TACOMA	PIERCE
417010	AVN	314.55.120	(MJ) Failing to gain board approval for changes in existing ownership.	AUBURN	KING
419640	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	THURSTON
413596	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNION GAP	YAKIMA
412112	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	BELLEVUE	KING
415198	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
423670	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
412258	AVN	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	MASON
412396	AVN	314.55.050	(MJ) Failure to furnish required documents.	VANCOUVER	CLARK
417401	AVN	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
412271	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
412527	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	SPOKANE VALLEY	SPOKANE
412615	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	SEATTLE	KING
413718	AVN	314.55.050	(MJ) Misrepresentation of fact.	TACOMA	PIERCE
414520	AVN	314.55.105	(MJ) Packaging and/or labeling violations (processor/retailer).	EVERETT	SNOHOMISH
418322	AVN	314.55.050	(MJ) Failure to furnish required documents.	SEATTLE	KING
415198	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
413070	AVN	314.55.035	(MJ) True party of interest violation.	SEATTLE	KING
414532	AVN	69.50.401	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	SEATTLE	KING
414256	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	BELLINGHAM	WHATCOM
417198	AVN	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	HOQUIAM	GRAYS HARBOR
415575	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	LAKE STEVENS	SNOHOMISH
422350	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
415513	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	LAKE FOREST PARK	KING
414573	AVN	69.50.401	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	KING

415115	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	KENMORE	KING
412855	AVN	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	KING
414286	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	VANCOUVER	CLARK
415921	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
414240	AVN	314.55.105	(MJ) Packaging and/or labeling violations (processor/retailer).	KIRKLAND	KING
417188	AVN	314.55.099	(MJ) Failure to maintain standardized scale requirements (producer).	MOXEE	YAKIMA
413420	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	PIERCE
416153	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	WHATCOM
415132	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
350641	AVN	69.50.535	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	SEATTLE	KING
417262	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	SAN JUAN
416598	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	MOXEE	YAKIMA
353260	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORP. AREAS	JEFFERSON
412022	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	STEVENS
412792	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	KITTITAS
413201	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	GRAYS HARBOR
415727	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	SPOKANE (CITY)	SPOKANE
414680	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	EVERETT	SNOHOMISH
416155	AVN	314.55.083(5)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	AIRWAY HEIGHTS	SPOKANE
413596	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNION GAP	YAKIMA
416748	AVN	314.55.099	(MJ) Failure to maintain standardized scale requirements (producer).	MOXEE	YAKIMA
412457	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	MOXEE	YAKIMA
414462	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
425153	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	YAKIMA
415238	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
414889	AVN	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	TACOMA	PIERCE
412315	AVN	314.55.120	(MJ) Failing to gain board approval for changes in existing ownership.	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
416102	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
412396	AVN	314.55.097	(MJ) Failure for a producer to meet marijuana waste disposal requirements. (producer)	VANCOUVER	CLARK
412991	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	AUBURN	KING
417165	AVN	314.55.097	(MJ) Failure for a producer to meet marijuana waste disposal requirements. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	KING
413700	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
414225	AVN	314.55.020	(MJ) Operating plan: Violations of a board-approved operating plan.	PROSSER	BENTON
416609	AVN	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
415984	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
413912	AVN	314.55.083(5)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
412213	AVN	314.55.092	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	CHELAN
414723	AVN	314.55.089	(MJ) Failure to submit monthly tax reports and/or payments.	TACOMA	PIERCE
412656	AVN	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Licensee/employee failing to display required security badge.	BELLINGHAM	WHATCOM
416961	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	KITSAP
416968	AVN	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping. (producer)	ARLINGTON	SNOHOMISH
415790	AVN	314.55.035	(MJ) True party of interest violation.	AIRWAY HEIGHTS	SPOKANE
414785	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	SEATTLE	KING

413732	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	VANCOUVER	CLARK
420123	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	FORKS	CLALLAM
410332	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	SEATTLE	KING
413199	AVN	314.55.083(1)	(MJ) Licensee/employee failing to display required security badge.	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
412942	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	THURSTON
413700	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
416739	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	MOXEE	YAKIMA
415445	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORP. AREAS	WHATCOM
415880	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	GRANT
353993	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	HOQUIAM	GRAYS HARBOR
420291	Written Warning	314.55.110	(MJ) Conduct violations: Criminal conduct: Permitting or engaging in criminal conduct.	SEATTLE	KING
420291	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems.	SEATTLE	KING
424273	AVN	314.55.155	(MJ) Advertising: Violations (statements/illustrations).	CLE ELUM	KITTITAS
412149	AVN	314.55.084	(MJ) Using unauthorized pesticides, soil amendments, fertilizers, other crop production aids.	RAYMOND	PACIFIC
417082	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	SPRINGDALE	STEVENS
416540	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	OLYMPIA	THURSTON
421490	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	THURSTON
415984	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	BENTON
412097	AVN	314.55.035	(MJ) True party of interest violation.	LONGVIEW	COWLITZ
417165	AVN	314.55.050	(MJ) Misrepresentation of fact.	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	KING
415348	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	SEATTLE	KING
412268	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	LATAH	SPOKANE
417078	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	KELSO	COWLITZ
414867	AVN	314.55.079(6)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	ISSAQUAH	KING
413886	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	BELLINGHAM	WHATCOM
417174	AVN	314.55.097	(MJ) Failure for a producer to meet marijuana waste disposal requirements. (producer)	TACOMA	PIERCE
412388	AVN	314.55.087	(MJ) Records: Improper recordkeeping.	UNINCORP. AREAS	KLICKITAT
417214	AVN	314.55.104	(MJ) Marijuana processor extraction requirements.	TACOMA	PIERCE
413126	AVN	314.55.083(2)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE
414867	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	ISSAQUAH	KING
412138	AVN	314.55.085	(MJ) Violation of transportation requirements.	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
414656	AVN	314.55.079	(MJ) Sale or service to minor	BREMERTON	KITSAP
412494	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	AUBURN	KING
416968	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	ARLINGTON	SNOHOMISH
413558	AVN	314.55.155(1)	(MJ) Advertising violations: Sign exceeding 1600 square inches; within 1000 feet of prohibited areas; on or	SEATTLE	KING
350641	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	SEATTLE	KING
415504	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability (processor or retail licensee).	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SNOHOMISH
412756	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
414812	AVN	69.50.357	(MJ) Allowing a minor to frequent a restricted area.	BAINBRIDGE ISLAND	KITSAP
413912	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	OKANOGAN
413718	AVN	314.55.083(4)	(MJ) Failure to utilize and/or maintain traceability. (producer)	TACOMA	PIERCE
415900	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORP. AREAS	STEVENS
412016	AVN	314.55.083(3)	(MJ) Failure to maintain required security alarm and surveillance systems. (producer)	UNINCORPORATED AREAS	SPOKANE

CITY OF STANWOOD
WASHINGTON

ORDINANCE 1376

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF STANWOOD, WASHINGTON, AMENDING STANWOOD MUNICIPAL CODE (SMC) SECTION 17.20.020 ENTITLED "A" DEFINITIONS; SECTION 17.20.040 ENTITLED "C" DEFINITIONS; SECTION 17.20.080 ENTITLED "G" DEFINITIONS; SECTION 17.20.140 ENTITLED "M" DEFINITIONS; SECTION 17.20.220 ENTITLED "U" DEFINITIONS; SECTION 17.30.010 ENTITLED "PERMITTED LAND USES AND ESTABLISHED CLASSIFICATION OF USES"; REPEALING ORDINANCE NO. 1368 UPON THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THIS ORDINANCE; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY AND ESTABLISHING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, since 1970, federal law has prohibited the manufacture, delivery and possession of marijuana as a Schedule I drug, based on the federal government's categorization of marijuana as having a "high potential for abuse, lack of any accepted medical use, and absence of any accepted safety for use in medically supervised treatment." *Gonzales v. Raich,* 545 U.S. 1, 14 (2005), Controlled Substance Act (CSA), 84 Stat. 1242, 21 U.S.C. 801 et seq; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that there are likely harmful secondary effects associated with marijuana production, processing, distribution and retail sales which include but are not limited to the increased risk of invasion of marijuana business facilities for purposes of theft, burglary, and robbery resulting from the cash and marijuana maintained on production, processing, distribution and retail sales sites; and

WHEREAS, in addition to concerns regarding land use compatibility, the City Council is also concerned about secondary impacts from the establishment of facilities for the growth, production, and processing of marijuana including, but not limited to, negative health, safety, learning and life outcomes for the residents of the City of Stanwood; and

WHEREAS, marijuana use is on the rise. According to the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services 2012 National Survey on Drug Use and Health (NSDUH), 12-to-17 year old marijuana use for boys and girls combined was relatively unchanged since 2011, but there was a 20 percent increase in marijuana smoking among girls aged 12-17 since 2007, a 50 percent increase in the number of daily marijuana smokers among those aged 12 and up, a 12 percent increase in marijuana use among 18-25 year olds since 2007, and a 25 percent increase in marijuana use among the general population. (Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, Results from the 2012 National Survey on Drug Use and Health: Summary of National Findings, NSDUH Series H-46, HHS Publication No. (SMA) 13-4795. Rockville, MD: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2013).

WHEREAS, increased access and availability of supply through storefront/dispensaries will increase the use of marijuana in the City of Stanwood:

1. There has been an increase in drug-related referrals for high school students testing positive for marijuana following de facto legalization in Colorado and the expansion of accessible retail marijuana storefronts/dispensaries and the accompanying growth in the marijuana market. During 2007-2009, an average of 5.6 students tested positive for marijuana. During 2010-2012, the average number of students who tested positive for marijuana increased to 17.3 students per year. In 2007, tests positive for marijuana made up 33 percent of the total drug screenings; by 2012 that number increased to 57 percent. A member of the Colorado Taskforce charged to regulate marijuana who also works for a drug testing company commented to the press that: "A typical kid (is) between 50 and 100 nanograms. Now we're seeing these up in the over 500, 700, 800, climbing." (Rocky Mountain HIDTA. (August 2013). The Legalization of Marijuana in Colorado: The Impact, Preliminary Report (volume 1); See Conspirel Drug Testing Results and "Drug Testing Company Sees Spike in Children Using Marijuana" found at http://denver.cbslocal.com/video/8565093-drug-testing-company-sees-spike-in-kids-using-marijuana/; and

2. In Colorado during the expansion period for marijuana storefronts/dispensaries, though traffic fatalities fell 16 percent between 2006 and 2011 (consistent with national trends), fatalities involving drivers testing positive for marijuana rose 112 percent. (Colorado Department of Transportation Drugged Driving Statistics 2006-2011, Retrieved: http://www.coloradodot.info/programs/alcohol-and-impaired-driving/druggeddriving/drugged-driving-statistics.html; and

WHEREAS, increased use of marijuana resulting from increased access and availability of supply through storefront/dispensaries will have significant negative health, safety, learning and life outcome effects for the residents of the City of Stanwood.

1. Scientists from the American Medical Association, American Academy of Pediatrics, American Psychological Association, and American Society of Addiction Medicine state that marijuana use is harmful for young people. (American Medical Association. (2009). Report 3 on the Council of Science and Public Health: Use of Cannabis for Medicinal Purposes; Joffe, E. & Yancy, W.S. (2004). Legalization of Marijuana: Potential impact on youth. Pediatrics: Official Journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics, 113(6); American Psychological Association. (2009). Position Statement on Adolescent Substance Abuse; California Society of Addiction Medicine. (2009). Impact of Marijuana on Children and Adolescents; American Society of Addiction Medicine Statement Retrieved here: http://www.asam.org/advocacy/find-a-policy-statement/view-policy-statement/public-policy-statements/2012/07/30/state-level-proposals-to-legalize-marijuana; and

2. According to the National Institutes of Health, one out of every six adolescents who use marijuana will become addicted. (Anthony, J.C., Warner, L.A., Kessler,

R.C. (1994). Comparative epidemiology of dependence on tobacco, alcohol, controlled substances, and inhalants: Basic findings from the National Comorbidity Survey. Experiential and Clinical Psychopharmacology, 2); and

3. There are approximately 400,000 emergency room admissions for marijuana every year – related to acute panic attacks and psychotic episodes. (Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, Center for Behavioral Health Statistics and Quality. (2011). Drug abuse warning network, 2008: National estimates of drug-related emergency department visits. HHS Publication No. SMA 11-4618. Rockville, MD); and

4. Marijuana is the most cited drug for teens entering treatment. (Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, Center for Behavioral Health Statistics and Quality. Treatment Episode Data Set (TEDS): 2000-2010. National Admissions to Substance Abuse Treatment Services. DASIS Series S-61, HHS Publication No. (SMA) 12-4701. Rockville, MD: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2012); and

5. Marijuana use, especially among young people, is significantly associated with reduction in IQ. (See Meier, M.H.; Caspi, A.; Ambler, A.; Harrington, H.; Houts, R.; Keefe, R.S.E.; McDonald, K.; Ward, A.; Poulton, R.; and Moffitt, T. Persistent cannabis users show neuropsychological decline from childhood to midlife. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 109(40):E2657-E2664, 2012. Also Moffitt, T.E.; Meier, M.H.; Caspi, A.; and Poulton, R. Reply to Rogeberg and Daly: No evidence that socioeconomic status or personality differences confound the association between cannabis use and IQ decline. Proceeding of the National Academy of Sciences 110(11): E980-E982, 2013); and

6. Marijuana use, especially among young people, is significantly associated with mental illness. (See for example: Andréasson S., et al. (1987). Cannabis and Schizophrenia: A longitudinal study of Swedish conscripts. Lancet, (8574); Moore, T.H., et al. (2007). Cannabis use and risk of psychotic or affective mental health outcomes: a systematic review. Lancet, 370(9584); Large M., et al. (2011). Cannabis Use and Earlier Onset of Psychosis: A Systematic Meta-analysis. Archives of General Psychiatry, 68(6); Harley, M., et al. (2010). Cannabis use and childhood trauma interact additively to increase risk of psychotic symptoms in adolescences. Psychological Medicine, 40(10); Lynch, M.J., et al. (2012). The Cannabis- Psychosis Link. Psychiatric Times); and

7. Marijuana use, especially among young people, is significantly associated with poor learning outcomes. (Yucel, M., et al. (2008). Regional brain abnormalities associated with long-term heavy cannabis use. Archives of General Psychiatry, 65(6)); and

8. Marijuana use, especially among young people, is significantly associated with lung damage. See for example: American Lung Association. (2012, November 27). Health Hazards of Smoking Marijuana. Retrieved from: http://www.lung.org/stop-smoking/about-smoking/health-effects/marijuana-smoke.html ; Tashkin, D.P., et al. (2002). Respiratory and immunologic

consequences of smoking marijuana. Journal of Clinical Pharmacology, 4(11); Moore, B.A., et al. (2005). Respiratory effects of marijuana and tobacco use in a U.S. sample. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 20(1); Tetrault, J.M., et al. (2007). Effects of marijuana smoking on pulmonary structure, function and symptoms. Thorax, 62(12); Tan, W.C., et al. (2009). Marijuana and chronic obstructive lung disease); and

9. Marijuana use, especially among young people, is significantly associated with addiction. (See for example: Anthony, J.C., Warner, L.A., Kessler, R.C. (1994). Comparative epidemiology of dependence on tobacco, alcohol, controlled substances, and inhalants: Basic findings from the National Comorbidity Survey. Experiential and Clinical Psychopharmacology, 2; Budney, A.J., et al. (2008). Comparison of cannabis and tobacco withdrawal: Severity and contributions to relapse. Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment, 35(4); Tanda, G., et al. (2003). Cannabinoids: Reward, dependence, and underlying neurochemical mechanisms – A recent preclinical data. Psychoparmacology, 169(2)); and

10. Drivers who test positive for marijuana or self–report using marijuana are more than twice as likely as other drivers to be involved in motor vehicle crashes. (Mu-Chen Li, Joanne E. Brady, Charles J. DiMaggio, Arielle R. Lusardi, Keane Y. Tzong, and Guohua Li. (2011). "Marijuana Use and Motor Vehicle Crashes." Epidemiologic Reviews); and

WHEREAS, creating barriers to the use of marijuana is an important tool for promoting public health. Due to federal, state and local efforts to control the distribution of marijuana, its use is lower than the use of legal drugs. About 52 percent of Americans regularly drink, 27 percent use tobacco products, and yet only 8 percent currently use marijuana. (Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, Results from the 2012 National Survey on Drug Use and Health: Summary of National Findings, NSDUH Series H-46, HHS Publication No. (SMA) 13-4795. Rockville, MD: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2013); and

WHEREAS, such secondary effects could unnecessarily place Stanwood residents and others in danger of bodily harm, increase police enforcement risks and costs, and generally create undesirable liability exposure for the City; and

WHEREAS, in November 2012, Washington voters passed Initiative Measure No. 502, which has since been codified within Chapters 46.04, 46.20, 46.61, and 69.50 of the Revised Code of Washington; and

WHEREAS, Initiative Measure No. 502 contemplates a system by which the State Liquor Control Board will issue licenses to marijuana producers, processors, and retailers; and

WHEREAS, Initiative Measure No. 502 directs the State Liquor Control Board to develop rules and regulations to:

1. Determine the number of producers, processors and retailers of marijuana by county; and

2. Develop licensing and other regulatory measures; and

3. Issue licenses to producers, processors, and retailers at locations which comply with the Initiative's distancing requirements prohibiting such uses within one thousand feet of schools, day cares, public parks, libraries, and other designated facilities; and

4. Establish a process for cities to comment prior to the issuance of such licenses; and

WHEREAS, the State Liquor Control Board adopted marijuana licensing rules and accepted license applications between November 18, 2013 and December 20, 2013; and

WHEREAS, beginning July 8, 2014 state issued licensees were allowed by the State to commence the retail sale of marijuana to members of the public; and

WHEREAS, because any production, processing, distribution or retail sales of marijuana remain strictly illegal under federal law, Initiative Measure No. 502's licensing scheme may be legally preempted by the federal CSA; and

WHEREAS, issuance of licenses and/or land use permits by the City of Stanwood that authorize businesses to engage in business activities in the City that violate the federal CSA, could subject the City and/or its employees to criminal prosecution under the federal CSA; and

WHEREAS, even the Governor's veto of ESSB 5073 referenced the position of the United States Department of Justice and multiple Untied States Attorneys that state employees who license or assist marijuana operations in becoming licensed would not be immune from federal criminal liability for assisting the applicants or conspiring to assist the applicants violate federal law; and

WHEREAS, while the United States Department of Justice ("DOJ") issued a letter on August 29, 2013, indicating that enforcement of marijuana related regulations in Washington should primarily rest with state and local law enforcement agencies, the DOJ also stated that if robust measures were ineffective to guard against certain identified harms or in the event of reluctance on the part of the state to ensure against the occurrence of identified harms, the federal government reserved the right to enforce federal laws despite the state's regulatory structure, and to challenge the state licensing structure itself. In a letter dated August 30, 2013, and in response to the letter from the DOJ, the National Sheriff's Association, the International Association of Chiefs of Police, the National Narcotic Officers Associations' Coalition, the Major Cities Chiefs Police Association, and the Association of State Criminal Investigative Agencies expressed extreme disappointment in the position of the DOJ; and

WHEREAS, On January 16, 2013 , the Washington State Attorney General's Office issued a formal opinion concluding that Initiative 502 does not preempt counties, cities, and towns from banning recreational marijuana businesses – producers, processors, and retailers – within their jurisdictions. The opinion states:

"Under Washington law, there is a strong presumption against finding that state law preempts local ordinances. Although Initiative 502 establishes a licensing and regulatory system for marijuana producers, processors, and retailers in Washington State, it includes no clear indication that it was intended to preempt local authority to regulate such businesses. We therefore conclude that I-502 left in place the normal powers of local governments to regulate within their jurisdictions."; and

WHEREAS, Initiative Measure No. 502 does not expressly curtail cities' legal authority to regulate business licenses and land uses within the City. Further, , the State Liquor Control Board's licensing rules do not include any process for determining whether state license applicants' proposed uses comply with local zoning or business license requirements; and

WHEREAS, as a result of the current rules' silence as to local zoning and business license requirements, there is a risk that businesses will obtain state licenses to engage in marijuana related businesses within the City of Stanwood without regard for whether such businesses comply with City zoning and business licenses requirements; and

WHEREAS, although the City's zoning and business license requirements will continue to apply regardless of the existence of the state issued license, the conflicting state licenses could cause confusion and unnecessary expense if the City's laws do not explicitly address marijuana uses; and

WHEREAS, issuance of a City license or permit authorizing activities that violate the federal CSA could be deemed by the federal government to be violations of the federal CSA and potentially subject the City and/or its employees to liability, arrest, and/or federal prosecution; and

WHEREAS, on August 8, 2013 , the City Council passed Ordinance 1347 establishing a moratorium on acceptance and processing of applications or approvals for building and land use permits and/or business licenses associated with marijuana businesses/activities, including but not limited to, marijuana production, processing and distribution within the City of Stanwood to allow time for City staff to work with the Stanwood Planning Commission in a public process to prepare amendments to the development code relative to the City's approach to regulating marijuana-based businesses; and

WHEREAS; on January 30, 2014, the City Council pass Ordinance 1368 extending the moratorium for a period of six months, on acceptance and processing of applications or approvals for building and land use permits and/or business licenses associated with marijuana businesses/activities, including but not limited to, marijuana production, processing and distribution within the City of Stanwood; and

WHEREAS, in 2005, in *Gonzales v. Raich*, 545 U.S. 1 (2005), the United States Supreme Court determined that intrastate regulation of marijuana by the federal government is a valid exercise of the power of Congress and that in the event of a

conflict between a state law that permits marijuana production, processing, distribution and possession and the federal CSA, the federal CSA will be deemed supreme. Therefore, it is unlikely that a court will determine that a state law can require a city to permit a land use or license a business that constitutes a federal crime under the federal CSA; and

WHEREAS, as a non-charter code city, Stanwood has specific authority to determine the appropriate uses of land through its zoning authority. Initiative Measure No. 502 contained no language specifically limiting the authority of cities to determine whether to permit marijuana land uses within city boundaries. In addition, the Liquor Control Board rules provide that the issuance of a state license shall not be construed as a license for, or an approval of, any violations of local rules or ordinances including, but not limited to, building and fire codes, zoning ordinances, and business licensing requirements; and

WHEREAS, the production, processing, and retail sale of marijuana, which remains illegal under federal law, has only recently become a permitted activity under Washington state law. Colorado is the only other state that permits the retail production, processing and sale of marijuana. Thus, the land use impacts associated with state licensed production, processing and retail sale of marijuana have not been established and are not understood. However, medical marijuana businesses in this state and others have commonly been associated with increased crime, objectionable odors, and increased exposure to marijuana by children; and

WHEREAS, on March 31, 2014 Division I of the Washington State Court of Appeals issued a published opinion in the case of Cannabis Action Coalition v. City of Kent No. 7039-0-I which addressed the City of Kent's authority to ban medical marijuana collective gardens through enactment of zoning regulations, and

WHEREAS, while the above referenced decision by the State Court of Appeals may still be reconsidered or appealed, at the time of adoption of this ordinance, the Court has ruled in favor of Kent, has declared that the plain language of ESSSB 5073, the medical marijuana statute, does not legalize medical marijuana or collective gardens and upheld Kent's zoning authority to ban collective gardens and found that the State Medical Use of Cannabis Act, Chapter 69.51A RCW does not pre-empt cities from enacting zoning ordinances to regulate or exclude collective gardens, and in fact expressly authorizes such regulations or exclusionary zoning; and

WHEREAS, it is unknown whether the state of Washington's regulatory scheme for recreational marijuana will sufficiently protect the federal government's enforcement priorities so as to continue avoiding federal enforcement of the federal CSA against marijuana businesses and/or the state's regulatory scheme; and

WHEREAS, the City of Stanwood is primarily residential in character, with a focus on creating pedestrian and child friendly areas in which businesses locate. With the land use impacts of allowing marijuana land uses largely unknown, it is not in the best interest of the City to allow marijuana businesses that could potentially disrupt the City's character and serve as a nuisance to City residents; and

WHEREAS, the City Council is charged with the responsibility of amending Stanwood Municipal Code (SMC) land use and development regulations as set forth in RCW Chapter 35A.63 and SMC Title 17 entitled "Zoning"; and

WHEREAS, the Growth Management Act, RCW Chapter 36.70A (GMA), specifically RCW 36.70A.040 and RCW 36.70A.120, requires the City to adopt development and zoning regulations to implement the City's Comprehensive Plan; and

WHEREAS, the City Council, Planning Commission and City staff have the authority under SMC Chapter 17.155 entitled "Amendments" to initiate amendments to the SMC; and

WHEREAS, the proposed amendment is subject to the provisions of the State Environmental Policy Act, RCW Chapter 43.21C and SMC Chapter 17.149 (collectively "SEPA"); and

WHEREAS, the City engaged in an extensive public notice and participation process with multiple opportunities for public participation, input and comment.

1. On May 13, 2014, the City issued a SEPA threshold Determination of Non-Significance for the proposed amendment to the development code; and
2. On May 27, 2014, the comment period for the Determination of Non-Significance expired and no comments were received; and
3. On May 8, 2014, the proposed amendment was submitted to the Washington State Department of Commerce for review, as required by RCW 36.70A.106. On May 12, 2014, notice was received from the Department of Commerce that the City of Stanwood had met the Growth Management Act notice to state agency requirements; and
4. On June 2, 2014, the Planning Commission held a public hearing on several alternatives which would result in potential amendments to the development code and continued the hearing to June 23, 2014; and
5. On June 23, 2014, the Planning Commission continued the public hearing to take any additional comments and review alternatives both allowing and prohibiting recreational marijuana; and
6. On July 8, 2014, the City re-issued a SEPA threshold Determination of Non-Significance for the proposed amendment to the development code; and
7. On July 22, 2014 the new comment period for the Determination of Non-Significance expired and no comments were received; and
8. On July 1, 2014 the proposed amendment was re-submitted to the Washington State Department of Commerce for review, as required by RCW 36.70A.106. On July 2, 2014, notice was received from the Department of Commerce that the City of Stanwood had met the Growth Management Act notice to state agency requirements; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has considered public testimony, the staff recommendation and presentation, and the several alternative measures that would result in amendment to the SMC and found that the preferred alternative and recommendation to the City Council should be one that results in an amendment prohibiting the establishment of marijuana related businesses; and

WHEREAS, during a regular meeting on July 24, 2014, staff presented the Planning Commission recommendation to the City Council; and

WHEREAS, following notice as required by law, the City Council also held its own public hearing on July 24, 2014 to ensure the public has ample opportunity to comment on the proposed ordinance; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that the amendments set forth in this ordinance are consistent with the City's Comprehensive Plan, the Growth Management Act, Title 17 of the SMC, and other applicable state and federal law, will implement the Comprehensive Plan, and will benefit the public health, safety, and welfare of the citizens of Stanwood; and

WHEREAS, the City Council reviewed the materials described above, considered any and all testimony provided at the public hearing held July 24, 2014 and, after review and consideration of the entire record, concurs with and adopts by reference the recommendations of the Planning Commission; and

WHEREAS, this Ordinance is not intended to address or affect existing City, state and federal laws that apply to personal use and possession of marijuana;

WHEREAS, each of the recitals above constitute findings of the City Council that support the decision to prohibit the production, processing and sale of marijuana within the City of Stanwood;

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF STANWOOD, WASHINGTON, DO ORDAIN AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. SMC Section § 17.20.020 entitled "A" definitions, "Agriculture" is hereby amended to read as follows (All other definitions in SMC § 17.00.020 remains in effect and unchanged):

""Agriculture" means the tilling of soil, the raising of crops <u>(except marijuana)</u>, horticulture, viticulture, small livestock farming, pasturing, grazing, poultry, dairying and/or animal husbandry, including all uses customarily incidental thereto, except small animal husbandry."

Section 2. SMC Section § 17.20.040 entitled "C" definitions is hereby amended to add the following definitions (All other provisions of SMC § 17.20.040 remains in effect and unchanged):

""Crops" means cultivated plants or agricultural produce, such as grain, vegetables, or fruit. Marijuana plants and marijuana products shall not be included within this definition."

Section 3. SMC Section § 17.20.080 entitled "G" definitions is hereby amended to add the following definition (All other provisions of SMC § 17.20.080 remains in effect and unchanged):

""Gardening" means to lay out, cultivate or tend to a plat of ground where flowers, shrubs, vegetables, or fruits are grown by individuals, groups or organizations for private use. This term does not allow growing of marijuana in any form."

Section 4. SMC Section § 17.20.140 entitled "M" definitions is hereby amended to add the following definitions (All other provisions of SMC § 17.20.140 remains in effect and unchanged):

""Marijuana processor" means the definition as set forth in RCW 69.50.101.

"Marijuana producer" means the definition as set forth in RCW 69.50.101.

"Marijuana-infused products" means the definition as set forth in RCW 69.50.101.

"Marijuana retailer" means the definition as set forth in RCW 69.50.101.

"Marijuana Use" includes a store, agency, organization, dispensary, cooperative, network consultation, operation, or other business entity, group or person, no matter how described or defined, including any associated premises and equipment which has for its purpose or which is used to grow, select, measure, process, package, label, deliver, dispense, sell or otherwise transfer for consideration, or otherwise, marijuana in any form."

Section 5. SMC Section § 17.20.220 entitled "U" definitions is hereby amended to add the following definition (All other provisions of SMC § 17.20.220 remains in effect and unchanged):

""Usable marijuana" means the definition as set forth in RCW 69.50.101."

Section 6. SMC Section § 17.30.010 entitled "Permitted land uses and established classification of uses" is hereby amended to read as follows (All other provisions of SMC § 17.30.010 remains in effect and unchanged):

"17.30.010 Permitted land uses and established classification of uses.

(1) No building or structure shall be erected, converted, enlarged, reconstructed, or structurally altered, nor shall any building or structure or land be used, designed, or arranged for any purpose other than is permitted pursuant to this section in the district in

which the building or structure or land is located; provided, that such regulations shall not prohibit the continuance of an existing use.

(2) Land Use Classifications Established. This section establishes permitted, conditional, accessory and prohibited uses for all properties within the city limits. All uses in a given zone are one of the following five types:

(a) Permitted Use. Land uses allowed outright within a zone. The specific types of permitted uses are set forth in the zoning code chapters for each zoning district.

(b) Conditional Use. Uses with special characteristics that may not generally be appropriate within a zoning district, but may be permitted subject to review by the hearing examiner to establish conditions to protect public health, safety and welfare. The specific types of conditional uses are set forth in SMC 17.30.040, Zoning use table, and/or SMC 17.30.050, Zoning use conditions.

(c) Administrative Conditional Use. Uses with special characteristics that may not be generally appropriate within a zoning district but may be permitted subject to review by the community development director to establish conditions to protect public health, safety and welfare. The specific types of administrative conditional uses are set forth in SMC 17.30.040, Zoning use table, and/or SMC 17.30.050, Zoning use conditions.

(d) Accessory Use. Uses customarily incidental and subordinate to the principal use and located upon the same lot occupied by the principal use. Accessory uses are determined by the community development director or designee on a case-by-case basis.

(e) Prohibited Use. Any use which is not specifically enumerated or interpreted by the city as allowable in that district. Any use not specifically listed as a permitted, conditional, or accessory use is prohibited, except those uses determined to be unclassified and permitted by the community development director pursuant to SMC 17.30.020. Any prohibited use is illegal and is subject to civil or criminal penalties under Chapter 13.01 SMC.

<u>(A) Initiative 502 (I-502) attempts to legalize recreational use of marijuana; however the City of Stanwood prohibits the following under I-502:</u>

<u>(i) State licensed marijuana processors and producers are prohibited within any zone in the City of Stanwood.</u>

<u>(ii) State licensed marijuana retailers are prohibited within any zone in the City of Stanwood.</u>

(f) Unclassified Use. A use which is not a permitted use, a conditional use, or an accessory use, but which is interpreted by the community development director as similar to a permitted, conditionally permitted, or accessory use and not otherwise prohibited, pursuant to SMC 17.30.020. (Ord. 1294 § 10, 2011)."

Section 7. <u>Moratorium</u>. Ordinance No. 1368 establishing a moratorium is hereby repealed and replaced by this ordinance upon the effective date of this Ordinance.

Section 8. Severability. The various parts, sections and clauses of this ordinance are hereby declared to be severable. If any part, sentence, paragraph, section or clause is adjudged unconstitutional or invalid by a court of competent jurisdiction, the remainder of the Ordinance shall not be affected thereby.

Section 9. Effective Date. This Ordinance, shall take effect from and after five (5) days after its passage and publication as required by law.

PASSED AND APPROVED by the Stanwood City Council this 24th day of July 2014.

CITY OF STANWOOD

Leonard Kelley, Mayor

ATTEST:

By: _____
Greg Thramer, City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

By: _____
Grant Weed, City Attorney

Date of Publication: July 29, 2014

Effective Date: August 3, 2014

2018-0054

State of Washington
Business Licensing Service
City Endorsement Information Report

RECEIVED
JAN 19 2018
CITY OF STANWOOD
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

Stanwood General Business

In City Limits: Yes Endorsement Status: Pending Status Reason:

Location Information

Business Structure:	Limited Liability Company	UBI Number:	603359488-001-0005
Legal Entity Name:	GREEN PLACE, LLC	Application ID:	300109409
Firm Name:	GREEN PLACE, LLC.	Application Date:	Jan 5, 2018
Location Phone:	(425) 633-7515	Fees:	50.00
Location Fax:		Expiration Date:	Jan 31, 2019
Email Address:	KUSHERYJOSH@GMAIL.COM	Business Open Date:	Jan 2, 2018

Location Address: 8808 271ST ST NW STANWOOD WA 98292-5996

Mail Address: 18026 STATE ROUTE 9 SE STE B SNOHOMISH WA 98296-5330

Product/Serv Description: Retail Marijuana sales

City Endorsement Information

Number of employees at this location: 4 Number of rental units:

Previous Business License: General Specialty Contractor #:

Interior/Exterior Modifications: Yes Square Footage: 2183

WA State professional/occupational license:

Conducting Business From Residence: No Customers Visiting Premises? No Per Week: 0

Business Activities: Retail/Wholesale Sales

Hazardous Materials: Smoke Detect/Fire Sprklr

Emergency Contact 1: JOSHUA GARCIA SHADE (425) 633-7515

Emergency Contact 2: JOAN MARIE CHEATHAM (206) 271-5468

Alarm Monitoring Service? No Company:
Contact:
Contact Phone:

Previous Business Owner? No Name:
Firm name:
Phone:

City Endorsement Information Report

Other Location Endorsements

Marijuana Retailer	Pending
Tax Registration	Active

Approval Information

Zoning _____ Date

Fire _____ Date

Building _____ Date

Police _____ Date

Finance _____ Date

Planning _____ Date

Comments:

Department of Revenue Washington State

Help My DOR

My DOR › Business License Lookup › GREEN PLACE

License Information:

New search Previous search

Entity name: GREEN PLACE, LLC
Business name: GREEN PLACE
Entity type: Limited Liability Company
UBI: 603-359-488Business ID: 001 Location ID: 0001
Location: Closed
Status: To check the status of this company, go to the link(s) below:
Department of Revenue
Secretary of State

Location address:
18826 MARINE DRIVE
STANWOOD, WA, 98292

Mailing address:
18826 MARINE DR
STANWOOD, WA, 98292
View Additional Locations

Endorsements

Endorsements held at this location	License #	Count	Details	Status	Expiration date	First issuance c
Marijuana Retailer	415512			Terminated	Dec-31-2016	Dec-18-2015

Governing People ***May include governing people not registered with SOS***

Governing people	Title
SHADE, JOSHUA	

Registered Trade Names

Registered trade names	Status		First issued
LEGAL WEED	Active		Jan-17-2017
SNOHOMISH MARIJUANA	Active		Jan-17-2017
THE KUSHERY	Active		Jan-17-2017

3 Rows

Information current as of 11/27/2017 2:19:44 PM

Contact us

Your Privacy

© 2017 Washington State Department of Revenue and its licensors. All rights reserved.

City of Stanwood

10220 270th Street NW
Stanwood, Washington 98292
(360) 629-2181
Fax: (360) 629-3009

January 22, 2018

Sent via: Regular US Mail and Certified Mail RRR

Green Place, LLC
C/o Mr. Joshua Shade
18026 State Route 9 S.E., Suite B
Snohomish WA 98296-5330

Re: Application for business license at 8808 271st NW Stanwood, WA
License No. 2018-0034

Dear Mr. Shade:

The purpose of this letter is to advise you and to give notice that the business license application received by the City on January 19, 2018 for the above-referenced location is denied for the following reasons:

1. Stanwood Municipal Code (SMC) 5.03.080(1)(a)) states:

   (1) A business license may be denied, suspended or revoked by the clerk whenever the licensee or any of its officers, directors, agents, owners or employees fails or have failed:

   (a) To maintain the licensed premises or business activity in compliance with applicable health, building, fire, or safety laws, ordinances, or regulations;

2. SMC 17.30.010 relates to Permitted land uses and established classification of uses. SMC 17.30.010 2(e) reads as follows:

   (e) Prohibited Use. Any use which is not specifically enumerated or interpreted by the city as allowable in that district. Any use not specifically listed as a permitted, conditional, or accessory use is prohibited, except those uses determined to be unclassified and permitted by the community development director pursuant to SMC 17.30.020. Any prohibited use is illegal and is subject to civil or criminal penalties under Chapter 13.01 SMC.

   (i) Initiative 502 (I-502) attempts to legalize recreational use of marijuana; however, the city of Stanwood prohibits the following under I-502:

(A) State licensed marijuana processors and producers are prohibited within any zone in the city of Stanwood.

(B) State licensed marijuana retailers are prohibited within any zone in the city of Stanwood.

Based on the above, marijuana processors, producers and retail uses are prohibited and illegal uses in the Stanwood City limits. As a result, the City is unable to issue a business license and must therefore deny the application. It is unlawful for any person, firm, or corporation to engage in any business, occupation or pursuit, as defined in SMC 5.03.010, in the City without first having obtained a business license. SMC 5.03.020.

SMC 5.08.080(2) provides that this decision may be appealed to the City Hearing Examiner if written notice is given by the appellant within seven calendar days and upon payment of the appropriate fee. A copy of such written appeal shall also be submitted to the city clerk. (Ord. 1217 § 1, 2007). The fee for an appeal is $100 plus the cost of a hearing and noticing costs.

Sincerely,

David A. Hammond
City Clerk

cc: Business License Application File
Corinne Schakel, Permit Specialist
Ryan Larsen, City Administrator

One of the reasons the city council does not want to place this initiative measure on the ballot and allow the people to vote is because of expense. To remedy this, they could decide to wait until 2019 (odd year).

In 2019 voters ballot, there will be City seats available again and will be on the 2019 ballot. They can add for the people to vote on retail marijuana shop in Stanwood with no extra charge.

The City budget for 2019 will include the 2019 election so there will not be extra charge to add the retail marijuana shop measure on the ballot.

If the city council could wait until 2019 and not make this decision in haste, it will allow them to have more time to be sure they have all the information they need to make this very important decision.

~ Peggy Kitting

RCW 29A.04.330

City, town, and district general and special elections—Exceptions.

(1) All city, town, and district general elections shall be held throughout the state of Washington on the first Tuesday following the first Monday in November in the odd-numbered years.

This section shall not apply to:

(a) Elections for the recall of any elective public officer;

(b) Public utility districts, conservation districts, or district elections at which the ownership of property within those districts is a prerequisite to voting, all of which elections shall be held at the times prescribed in the laws specifically applicable thereto;

(c) Consolidation proposals as provided for in RCW 28A.315.235 and nonhigh capital fund aid proposals as provided for in chapter 28A.540 RCW; and

(d) Special flood control districts consisting of three or more counties.

(2) The county auditor, as ex officio supervisor of elections, upon request in the form of a resolution of the governing body of a city, town, or district, presented to the auditor prior to the proposed election date, shall call a special election in such city, town, or district, and for the purpose of such special election he or she may combine, unite, or divide precincts. Such a special election shall be held on one of the following dates as decided by the governing body:

(a) The second Tuesday in February;

(b) The fourth Tuesday in April;

(c) The day of the primary election as specified by RCW 29A.04.311; or

(d) The first Tuesday after the first Monday in November.

(3) A resolution calling for a special election on a date set forth in subsection (2)(a) and (b) of this section must be presented to the county auditor at least sixty days prior to the election date. A resolution calling for a special election on a date set forth in subsection (2)(c) of this section must be presented to the county auditor no later than the Friday immediately before the first day of regular candidate filing. A resolution calling for a special election on a date set forth in subsection (2)(d) of this section must be presented to the county auditor no later than the day of the primary.

(4) In addition to subsection (2)(a) through (d) of this section, a special election to validate an excess levy or bond issue may be called at any time to meet the needs resulting from fire, flood, earthquake, or other act of God, except that no special election may be held between the first day for candidates to file for public office and the last day to certify the returns of the general election other than as provided in subsection (2)(c) and (d) of this section. Such special election shall be conducted and notice thereof given in the manner provided by law.

(5) This section shall supersede the provisions of any and all other statutes, whether general or special in nature, having different dates for such city, town, and district elections, the purpose of this section being to establish mandatory dates for holding elections.

[2015 c 146 § 2; 2013 c 11 § 9; 2011 c 349 § 4. Prior: 2009 c 413 § 4; (2009 c 413 § 3 expired July 1, 2011); 2009 c 144 § 3; 2006 c 344 § 3; 2004 c 266 § 6; 2003 c 111 § 145; 2002 c 43 § 2; 1994 c 142 § 2; 1992 c 37 § 2; 1990 c 33 § 562; 1989 c 4 § 10 (Initiative Measure No. 99); 1986 c 167 § 6; 1980 c 3 § 2; 1975-'76 2nd ex.s. c 111 § 2; 1965 c 123 § 3; 1965 c 9 § 29.13.020; prior: 1963 c 200 § 1; 1955 c 55 § 1; 1951 c

101 § 1; 1949 c 161 § 1; 1927 c 182 § 1; 1923 c 53 § 2; 1921 c 61 § 2; Rem. Supp. 1949 § 5144. Formerly RCW 29.13.020.]

NOTES:

Effective date—2011 c 349: See note following RCW 29A.04.255.

Effective date—2009 c 413 §§ 2 and 4: See note following RCW 29A.04.321.

Expiration date—2009 c 413 §§ 1 and 3: See note following RCW 29A.04.321.

Effective date—2006 c 344 §§ 1-16 and 18-40: See note following RCW 29A.04.311.

Effective date—2004 c 266: See note following RCW 29A.04.575.

Intent—2002 c 43: "The legislature finds that there are conflicting interpretations as to the intent of the legislature in the enactment of chapter 305, Laws of 1999. The purpose of this act is to make statutory changes that further clarify this intent.

It is the intent of the legislature that elections of conservation district supervisors continue to be conducted under procedures in the conservation district statutes, chapter 89.08 RCW, and that such elections not be conducted under the general election laws contained in Title 29 RCW. Further, it is the intent of the legislature that there be no change made with regard to applicability of the public disclosure act, *chapter 42.17 RCW, to conservation district supervisors from those that existed before the enactment of chapter 305, Laws of 1999." [2002 c 43 § 1.]

***Reviser's note:** Provisions in chapter 42.17 RCW relating to public disclosure are recodified in chapter 42.56 RCW by 2005 c 274.

Effective date—2002 c 43: "This act is necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health, or safety, or support of the state government and its existing public institutions, and takes effect immediately [March 14, 2002]." [2002 c 43 § 6.]

Effective date—1994 c 142: "This act shall take effect January 1, 1995." [1994 c 142 § 3.]

Purpose—Statutory references—Severability—1990 c 33: See RCW 28A.900.100 through 28A.900.102.

Severability—1986 c 167: See note following RCW 29A.16.040.

Severability—1975-'76 2nd ex.s. c 111: "If any provision of this 1976 amendatory act, or its application to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the remainder of the act, or the application of the provision to other persons or circumstances is not affected." [1975-'76 2nd ex.s. c 111 § 3.]

RCW 29A.04.410

Costs borne by constituencies.

Every city, town, and district is liable for its proportionate share of the costs when such elections are held in conjunction with other elections held under RCW 29A.04.321and 29A.04.330.

Whenever any city, town, or district holds any primary or election, general or special, on an isolated date, all costs of such elections must be borne by the city, town, or district concerned.

The purpose of this section is to clearly establish that the county is not responsible for any costs involved in the holding of any city, town, or district election.

In recovering such election expenses, including a reasonable pro-ration of administrative costs, the county auditor shall certify the cost to the county treasurer with a copy to the clerk or auditor of the city, town, or district concerned. Upon receipt of such certification, the county treasurer shall make the transfer from any available and appropriate city, town, or district funds to the county current expense fund or to the county election reserve fund if such a fund is established. Each city, town, or district must be promptly notified by the county treasurer whenever such transfer has been completed. However, in those districts wherein a treasurer, other than the county treasurer, has been appointed such transfer procedure does not apply, but the district shall promptly issue its warrant for payment of election costs.

[2013 c 11 § 10; 2003 c 111 § 146; 1965 c 123 § 5; 1965 c 9 § 29.13.045. Prior: 1963 c 200 § 7; 1951 c 257 § 5. Formerly RCW 29.13.045.]

NOTES:

Diking districts, election to authorize, costs: RCW 85.38.060.
Diking or drainage district, reorganization into improvement district
1917 act, election to authorize: RCW 85.38.060.
1933 act, election to authorize: RCW 85.38.060.
Expense of printing and mailing ballots, envelopes, and instructions: RCW 29A.36.220.
Port districts, formation of, election on, expense of: RCW 53.04.070.
Public utility district elections, expense of: RCW 54.08.041.
Reclamation districts of one million acres, election to form, expense: RCW 89.30.115.
Soil and water conservation district, election to form, expense: RCW 89.08.140.
Water-sewer districts
annexation of territory by, election on, expense: RCW 57.24.050.
formation of, expense: RCW 57.04.055.

RCW 29A.04.420

State share.

(1) Whenever state officers or measures are voted upon at a state primary or general election held in an odd-numbered year under RCW 29A.04.321, the state of Washington shall assume a prorated share of the costs of that state primary or general election.

(2) Whenever a primary or vacancy election is held to fill a vacancy in the position of United States senator or United States representative under chapter 29A.28 RCW, the state of Washington shall assume a prorated share of the costs of that primary or vacancy election.

(3) The county auditor shall apportion the state's share of these expenses when prorating election costs under RCW 29A.04.410 and shall file such expense claims with the secretary of state.

(4) The secretary of state shall include in his or her biennial budget requests sufficient funds to carry out this section. Reimbursements for election costs shall be from appropriations specifically provided by law for that purpose.

[2013 c 11 § 11; 2003 c 111 § 147. Prior: 1985 c 45 § 2; 1977 ex.s. c 144 § 4; 1975-'76 2nd ex.s. c 4 § 1; 1973 c 4 § 2. Formerly RCW 29.13.047.]

NOTES:

Legislative intent—1985 c 45: "It is the intention of the legislature that sections 2 through 7 of this act shall provide an orderly and predictable election procedure for filling vacancies in the offices of United States representative and United States senator." [1985 c 45 § 1.]

3/26/18

Speaking on behalf of myself and my husband Andy

We have several concerns about the proposed Trailside Development that pertain mostly to drainage and safety.

We have lived on 96th Ave NW for almost 19 years so we know what goes on in the area on a daily basis. Any activity on that piece of property will affect us directly, but will also impact most of the citizens of Stanwood – especially those that use the sports fields and the Library.

Most of my comments and questions were submitted in a letter and are included in the commission packet, but I will read them now for those attending the meeting. I don't expect answers tonight, but would just like you to consider these items.

Drainage:

- The drainage plan submitted looks good on paper, but will it tax the existing drainage system?
- Does the drainage plan take into consideration flood events which cause storm drains to backup and flood the area?
- Why are there no drainage issues with these two parcels, but Heritage Park and the neighboring properties have drainage and flooding issues?
- Will the buyers be fully informed about the risks, costs, and responsibilities of buying and living on a flood plain?
- The information in the file states that they dug 20 inches deep without hitting the water table. Last year we would hit water within 18 inches – usually a lot less depending where we dug
    - . This year I dug down to 24 inches and did not hit water. This was the first time in 18 years. <u>This is not normal</u>.
    - I have requested information from the City on drainage improvements that have been made in the last few years.
- The zoning map was created prior to the building of the QFC complex and most of the development on the hill. After QFC was built, conditions changed in this area and storm drain flooding started occurring. I believe this area is now the lowest point in town, thus receives the runoff from the rest of the city.

Traffic:

- The traffic study didn't take into consideration the impact of the Library and sports fields. 96th Ave NW is a very busy street on weekend, evenings, and during school and library events.
- 96th Ave NW is a one-lane road when cars are parked on the street.
- The study said there have been no collisions at the intersection of 271st and 96th. 271st wouldn't be very affected by this development. It would be the vehicle traffic to and from the Library and sports fields that could cause more risk of collisions. The 141.60 estimated number of trips is a very significant number if you considered the use of the street.
- We have an issue with speeding now. When a road is punched through, it will only make it easier go faster. There is a large amount of pedestrian traffic on this road. Mostly families with small children and kids walking to and from the middle school.
- The latest information I saw said there will be public access to Heritage Park in the development – I can see this resulting in more traffic with people parking in the parking lot and walking to the park for events.

Need:

- Does the City need a residential development in the downtown flood plain? Is it worth the problems that it may create?
- This part of Stanwood still has small town character. Do we want to change the character of this part of town?

If the City decides this project should proceed, we would like the City to consider adding the following to the requirements:

- A traffic study or vehicle count for a full week 24/7 on 96th Ave NW to get a realistic count of vehicles, pedestrians, including school kids.
- Traffic improvements to include speed bumps or equivalent on 96th Ave NW
- Striping of 96th Ave NW to designate lanes
- A stop sign at the exit to the parking lot
- A crosswalk from the overflow/school parking lot to the Library

- Notification to all potential homebuyers about the responsibilities of living in flood plain and a cost sheet for flood insurance.

I haven't addressed my environmental concerns yet – but there must be many issues with building this many homes this close to a wetland, in flood plain, with poor drainage and a lot of wildlife.

To the Planning Commission

March 26th 2018

When I grew up my parents and many parents decided what was right and wrong for their children and the local Mayor and Council supported the parents. Whatever the parents stated was not good was supported and whatever the Council and Mayor stated was supported. Those in leadership positions supported each other and the goal was to raise children in a safe environment and to teach the children first at home and when they went out into society they heard the same values and rules.

You have heard from many parents over the current issue of marijuana. They have voiced their concerns for their children and every child at large in our community. Much valuable information has been gathered to support their concern.

So I wonder how much authority will be stripped away from parents as they work to raise responsible young people if the local authority within the city states something is ok and there is no harm to anyone.

Those in authority within our city have a valuable contribution to be given to the city as a whole. Our children are crying out for truth. Our children are wanting to know even in their rebellion what is right and what is wrong. Our children need guidance through things in life that they have not had enough of life yet to truly understand the implications and complications to many things. Their hearts and minds are tender and impressionable. Our children are asking us "please protect me until I am old enough to walk on my own".

I remember sitting in a car years ago, loaded with classmates, and they were passing the weed around – and I simply refused to smoke it. Because at that time the Law and my parents were in true support of each other and if caught – I was toast. The Law was going to support my parents and my parents were going to support the Law. Sadly some of those in the car that night never did get their lives corrected and allowed things to destroy their dreams and visions.

Please slow this process down and take time to think of everything that been shared and everyone who has taken time to stand up against the pressure of conformity.

Yes alcohol could be and sometimes is as harmful. I will not deny that as I look back over life. So if we know there is already enough pressure out there for kids who are experimenting with life – why add something else that can be as harmful or more harmful to their lives to their future.

Thank you for allowing me to share. I would like for this to be taken to a deeper study before the Commission makes any final recommendations to the City Council.

Sincerely, Dottie Gorsuch

27519 80th DR NW Stanwood WA

To: Stanwood Planning Commission and City Council March 26, 2018

Good evening. My name is Cliff Grant and my address is 8201 283rd St NW, Stanwood.

Thank you, members of the Planning Commission, for investing your time and for all you do for our community.

As you are aware, the City of Stanwood maintains a document, the Comprehensive Plan, that serves to guide city officials and staff on policy and regulatory decisions.

The proposal to allow retail sale of marijuana in Stanwood appears to be in direct conflict with several Policies outlined in the Comprehensive Plan.

I would like to highlight a few areas of concern – these are direct quotes from the Comprehensive Plan:

<u>The Vision of Stanwood:</u>

- Stanwood is a nice place to live, work, shop and visit and citizens of Stanwood would like to see it remain that way.

<u>Downtown Vision and Plan:</u>

- To create a successful downtown, the City must create an atmosphere and identity that the community can embrace.
- Historic East & West Downtown are what make the city unique. Preserve them. Enhance them. Show them off.

<u>Parks & Recreation:</u>

- Acquire the "downtown triangle" across from the Amtrak Station as a park and a place for recreation and an outdoor meeting spot for community events.
- Seek opportunities to use public facilities, parks, and open spaces to attract users into the downtown. (EDP 8.9)

Expand the type of use in the downtown area:

- Limit new commercial and industrial development to uses and project designs that complement the small-town character of Stanwood. (LUP 3.5)
- Encourage additional residential development within walking distance of the downtown commercial area. (EDP 8.12)

Establish a transit center downtown:

- Increase bus service to the downtown transit center.
- Work with regional transit agencies to ensure that bus routes serve downtown and Stanwood Station. (EDP 8.10)

Consideration of Land Use Changes:

- Future land use designations shall ensure the optimum use of the land for present and future generations. (LUG 19)
- All zoning shall be consistent with the Future Land Use Map. (LUP 19.2)
- Approve amendments that meet the following criteria (LUP 23.2):
    - The proposed Comprehensive Plan amendment has merit and value for the community as a whole;
    - The proposed amendment is consistent with the goals and policies of the Comprehensive Plan.
- Approve only those zoning text and/or map amendments that are consistent with and implement this Comprehensive Plan. (LUP 23.3)

The presence of a retail marijuana shop in downtown Stanwood conflicts directly with the Comprehensive Plan. The plan for downtown Stanwood is a place that has small-town character and includes parks, a library, community gathering places, a transit center, and additional residential areas. These are all in direct conflict with a retail marijuana shop.

As we learned last year with the proposed septage treatment facility near downtown Stanwood, the Comprehensive Plan has the full weight of the law behind it when considering zoning code changes and conditional uses. The Comprehensive Plan cannot be ignored.

In addition to the conflicts with the Stanwood Comprehensive Plan, I am also concerned about the proposed permit method. Marijuana retail shops are NOT included in the City of Stanwood Comprehensive plan. Therefore, any zoning code change that allows retail marijuana anywhere in the city must only be considered by a standard "Conditional Use Permit" rather than an "Administrative Conditional Use Permit" as proposed by city staff.

At the Planning Commission meeting on February 26, 2018, Commissioner Steve Shepro suggested that a sub-committee be formed to discuss marijuana in further detail. I would like to encourage the Planning Commission to re-consider this option and form a sub-committee to better understand the implications and the appropriateness of marijuana in Stanwood.

I would also encourage the Planning Commission to recommend to City Council that the question of retail marijuana be placed on the ballot as an advisory vote.

Thank you for your time.

3/26/18

Cathy Wooten, 27828 84th Ave. NW, Stanwood WA 98292

At the March 8th Community Development Committee meeting there was discussion about changing the B&B permitting process from a Conditional Use Permit to an Administrative conditional use permit. Being unfamiliar with the differences, I did some research on our municipal code and spoke with the city's planner, Amy Rusko.

According to our code the purpose of conditional and administrative conditional use permits is to allow certain uses in districts where they are normally prohibited, when the proposed uses are deemed consistent.

Both Type III **Conditional Use Permits and** Type II **Administrative Conditional Use Permits** require public notice sent out in advance and a public comment period and both types require **Compatibility with the Comprehensive Plan as the number one decision making criteria.** But there are other major differences between the two.

With a type III **Conditional Use Permit** there may be a public meeting held with the planning commission and then a hearing examiner will hold a hearing, receive staff recommendations, consider public testimony and make a decision. The costs of the Hearing are **paid for by the Permit Applicant**.

With a Type II **Administrative Conditional Use Permit** there are no requirements for a public meeting and a decision is made by the community development director. Once the decision is made, a copy will be sent to all parties of record and appeals must be submitted to the City within 14 days of the decision.

If I, a Citizen of Stanwood disagree with the City's decision, it would cost me $500.00 to appeal to the Hearing Examiner, plus $1-$3000 depending on the number of hours it takes to complete the hearing process, plus any additional costs for Professional testimony needed to support my position or prove the legality of my claim.

I recognize the desire to simplify and streamline processes and know that we as a City want to attract incoming Business. However, changing our process to save Businesses money by passing the costs on the Citizens is not acceptable. Decisions that we Citizens will live with for years to come need to be made carefully, by adhering to our Comprehensive Plan and other regulatory documents, with an eye to Appropriateness as well as Community need and impact.

Changing to an Administrative Conditional Use Permit both short circuits and limits Citizen involvement. Preserving compliance with our Comprehensive Plan and other regulatory documents is complex and the expense of making sure that happens should be the responsibility of the Permit Applicant NOT left to the Citizens of Stanwood.

3/26/18

On July 24, 2014, the Stanwood City Council passed Ordinance 1376 which prohibits retail sales of marijuana within Stanwood. This ordinance was passed and approved by the City Council and was signed by Mayor Leonard Kelley. Ordinance 1376 includes the reasons the City Council decided against marijuana. THere's a quick summary:

1) marijuana is a federal Schedule 1 drug with high potential for abuse.

2) likely local effects including increased theft, burglary, robbery.

3) likely impacts including negative health, safety, learning and life outcomes for residents of Stanwood.

4) marijuana use and abuse is increasing across all age and gender groups.

5) increased access and availability of supply will increase the use in Stanwood with particular concern about youth.

6) increased use will lead to increases in adolescent addiction, increased emergency room admissions, increased addictions, increased number of teens needing drug treatment, reduction in IQ, increase in mental illness, poor learning outcomes, increased cases of lung damage, increased motor vehicle crashes due to impaired drivers.

7) restricting marijuana use helps to promote public health.

8) effects of marijuana could unnecessarily place Stanwood residents and others in danger of bodily harm, increase police enforcement risks and costs, and generally create undesirable liability exposure for the city.

9) Stanwood is primarily residential in character, with a focus on creating pedestrian and child friendly areas in which businesses locate, therefore it is not in the best interest of the city to allow marijuana businesses that could potentially disrupt the city's character and serve as a nuisance to city residents.

I don't believe any of these reasons have been proven incorrect, and so I see no need to make such a sudden 180-degree turn in regards to such a delicate topic. I would ask that we wait until we know more about the topic to act.

CITY OF STANWOOD
WASHINGTON

ORDINANCE 1376

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF STANWOOD, WASHINGTON, AMENDING STANWOOD MUNICIPAL CODE (SMC) SECTION 17.20.020 ENTITLED "A" DEFINITIONS; SECTION 17.20.040 ENTITLED "C" DEFINITIONS; SECTION 17.20.080 ENTITLED "G" DEFINITIONS; SECTION 17.20.140 ENTITLED "M" DEFINITIONS; SECTION 17.20.220 ENTITLED "U" DEFINITIONS; SECTION 17.30.010 ENTITLED "PERMITTED LAND USES AND ESTABLISHED CLASSIFICATION OF USES"; REPEALING ORDINANCE NO. 1368 UPON THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THIS ORDINANCE; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY AND ESTABLISHING AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

WHEREAS, since 1970, federal law has prohibited the manufacture, delivery and possession of marijuana as a Schedule I drug, based on the federal government's categorization of marijuana as having a "high potential for abuse, lack of any accepted medical use, and absence of any accepted safety for use in medically supervised treatment." *Gonzales v. Raich,* 545 U.S. 1, 14 (2005), Controlled Substance Act (CSA), 84 Stat. 1242, 21 U.S.C. 801 et seq; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that there are likely harmful secondary effects associated with marijuana production, processing, distribution and retail sales which include but are not limited to the increased risk of invasion of marijuana business facilities for purposes of theft, burglary, and robbery resulting from the cash and marijuana maintained on production, processing, distribution and retail sales sites; and

WHEREAS, in addition to concerns regarding land use compatibility, the City Council is also concerned about secondary impacts from the establishment of facilities for the growth, production, and processing of marijuana including, but not limited to, negative health, safety, learning and life outcomes for the residents of the City of Stanwood; and

WHEREAS, marijuana use is on the rise. According to the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services 2012 National Survey on Drug Use and Health (NSDUH), 12-to-17 year old marijuana use for boys and girls combined was relatively unchanged since 2011, but there was a 20 percent increase in marijuana smoking among girls aged 12-17 since 2007, a 50 percent increase in the number of daily marijuana smokers among those aged 12 and up, a 12 percent increase in marijuana use among 18-25 year olds since 2007, and a 25 percent increase in marijuana use among the general population. (Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, Results from the 2012 National Survey on Drug Use and Health: Summary of National Findings, NSDUH Series H-46, HHS Publication No. (SMA) 13-4795. Rockville, MD: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2013).

WHEREAS, increased access and availability of supply through storefront/dispensaries will increase the use of marijuana in the City of Stanwood:

1. There has been an increase in drug-related referrals for high school students testing positive for marijuana following de facto legalization in Colorado and the expansion of accessible retail marijuana storefronts/dispensaries and the accompanying growth in the marijuana market. During 2007-2009, an average of 5.6 students tested positive for marijuana. During 2010-2012, the average number of students who tested positive for marijuana increased to 17.3 students per year. In 2007, tests positive for marijuana made up 33 percent of the total drug screenings; by 2012 that number increased to 57 percent. A member of the Colorado Taskforce charged to regulate marijuana who also works for a drug testing company commented to the press that: "A typical kid (is) between 50 and 100 nanograms. Now we're seeing these up in the over 500, 700, 800, climbing." (Rocky Mountain HIDTA. (August 2013). The Legalization of Marijuana in Colorado: The Impact, Preliminary Report (volume 1); See Conspirel Drug Testing Results and "Drug Testing Company Sees Spike in Children Using Marijuana" found at http://denver.cbslocal.com/video/8565093-drug-testing-company-sees-spike-in-kids-using-marijuana/; and

2. In Colorado during the expansion period for marijuana storefronts/dispensaries, though traffic fatalities fell 16 percent between 2006 and 2011 (consistent with national trends), fatalities involving drivers testing positive for marijuana rose 112 percent. (Colorado Department of Transportation Drugged Driving Statistics 2006-2011, Retrieved: http://www.coloradodot.info/programs/alcohol-and-impaired-driving/druggeddriving/drugged-driving-statistics.html; and

WHEREAS, increased use of marijuana resulting from increased access and availability of supply through storefront/dispensaries will have significant negative health, safety, learning and life outcome effects for the residents of the City of Stanwood.

1. Scientists from the American Medical Association, American Academy of Pediatrics, American Psychological Association, and American Society of Addiction Medicine state that marijuana use is harmful for young people. (American Medical Association. (2009). Report 3 on the Council of Science and Public Health: Use of Cannabis for Medicinal Purposes; Joffe, E. & Yancy, W.S. (2004). Legalization of Marijuana: Potential impact on youth. Pediatrics: Official Journal of the American Academy of Pediatrics, 113(6); American Psychological Association. (2009). Position Statement on Adolescent Substance Abuse; California Society of Addiction Medicine. (2009). Impact of Marijuana on Children and Adolescents; American Society of Addiction Medicine Statement Retrieved here: http://www.asam.org/advocacy/find-a-policy-statement/view-policy-statement/public-policy-statements/2012/07/30/state-level-proposals-to-legalize-marijuana; and

2. According to the National Institutes of Health, one out of every six adolescents who use marijuana will become addicted. (Anthony, J.C., Warner, L.A., Kessler,

R.C. (1994). Comparative epidemiology of dependence on tobacco, alcohol, controlled substances, and inhalants: Basic findings from the National Comorbidity Survey. Experiential and Clinical Psychopharmacology, 2); and

3. There are approximately 400,000 emergency room admissions for marijuana every year – related to acute panic attacks and psychotic episodes. (Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, Center for Behavioral Health Statistics and Quality. (2011). Drug abuse warning network, 2008: National estimates of drug-related emergency department visits. HHS Publication No. SMA 11-4618. Rockville, MD); and

4. Marijuana is the most cited drug for teens entering treatment. (Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, Center for Behavioral Health Statistics and Quality. Treatment Episode Data Set (TEDS): 2000-2010. National Admissions to Substance Abuse Treatment Services. DASIS Series S-61, HHS Publication No. (SMA) 12-4701. Rockville, MD: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2012); and

5. Marijuana use, especially among young people, is significantly associated with reduction in IQ. (See Meier, M.H.; Caspi, A.; Ambler, A.; Harrington, H.; Houts, R.; Keefe, R.S.E.; McDonald, K.; Ward, A.; Poulton, R.; and Moffitt, T. Persistent cannabis users show neuropsychological decline from childhood to midlife. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 109(40):E2657-E2664, 2012. Also Moffitt, T.E.; Meier, M.H.; Caspi, A.; and Poulton, R. Reply to Rogeberg and Daly: No evidence that socioeconomic status or personality differences confound the association between cannabis use and IQ decline. Proceeding of the National Academy of Sciences 110(11): E980-E982, 2013); and

6. Marijuana use, especially among young people, is significantly associated with mental illness. (See for example: Andréasson S., et al. (1987). Cannabis and Schizophrenia: A longitudinal study of Swedish conscripts. Lancet, (8574); Moore, T.H., et al. (2007). Cannabis use and risk of psychotic or affective mental health outcomes: a systematic review. Lancet, 370(9584); Large M., et al. (2011). Cannabis Use and Earlier Onset of Psychosis: A Systematic Meta-analysis. Archives of General Psychiatry, 68(6); Harley, M., et al. (2010). Cannabis use and childhood trauma interact additively to increase risk of psychotic symptoms in adolescences. Psychological Medicine, 40(10); Lynch, M.J., et al. (2012). The Cannabis- Psychosis Link. Psychiatric Times); and

7. Marijuana use, especially among young people, is significantly associated with poor learning outcomes. (Yucel, M., et al. (2008). Regional brain abnormalities associated with long-term heavy cannabis use. Archives of General Psychiatry, 65(6)); and

8. Marijuana use, especially among young people, is significantly associated with lung damage. See for example: American Lung Association. (2012, November 27). Health Hazards of Smoking Marijuana. Retrieved from: http://www.lung.org/stop-smoking/about-smoking/health-effects/marijuana-smoke.html ; Tashkin, D.P., et al. (2002). Respiratory and immunologic

consequences of smoking marijuana. Journal of Clinical Pharmacology, 4(11); Moore, B.A., et al. (2005). Respiratory effects of marijuana and tobacco use in a U.S. sample. Journal of General Internal Medicine, 20(1); Tetrault, J.M., et al. (2007). Effects of marijuana smoking on pulmonary structure, function and symptoms. Thorax, 62(12); Tan, W.C., et al. (2009). Marijuana and chronic obstructive lung disease); and

9. Marijuana use, especially among young people, is significantly associated with addiction. (See for example: Anthony, J.C., Warner, L.A., Kessler, R.C. (1994). Comparative epidemiology of dependence on tobacco, alcohol, controlled substances, and inhalants: Basic findings from the National Comorbidity Survey. Experiential and Clinical Psychopharmacology, 2; Budney, A.J., et al. (2008). Comparison of cannabis and tobacco withdrawal: Severity and contributions to relapse. Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment, 35(4); Tanda, G., et al. (2003). Cannabinoids: Reward, dependence, and underlying neurochemical mechanisms – A recent preclinical data. Psychoparmacology, 169(2)); and

10. Drivers who test positive for marijuana or self–report using marijuana are more than twice as likely as other drivers to be involved in motor vehicle crashes. (Mu-Chen Li, Joanne E. Brady, Charles J. DiMaggio, Arielle R. Lusardi, Keane Y. Tzong, and Guohua Li. (2011). "Marijuana Use and Motor Vehicle Crashes." Epidemiologic Reviews); and

WHEREAS, creating barriers to the use of marijuana is an important tool for promoting public health. Due to federal, state and local efforts to control the distribution of marijuana, its use is lower than the use of legal drugs. About 52 percent of Americans regularly drink, 27 percent use tobacco products, and yet only 8 percent currently use marijuana. (Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, Results from the 2012 National Survey on Drug Use and Health: Summary of National Findings, NSDUH Series H-46, HHS Publication No. (SMA) 13-4795. Rockville, MD: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, 2013); and

WHEREAS, such secondary effects could unnecessarily place Stanwood residents and others in danger of bodily harm, increase police enforcement risks and costs, and generally create undesirable liability exposure for the City; and

WHEREAS, in November 2012, Washington voters passed Initiative Measure No. 502, which has since been codified within Chapters 46.04, 46.20, 46.61, and 69.50 of the Revised Code of Washington; and

WHEREAS, Initiative Measure No. 502 contemplates a system by which the State Liquor Control Board will issue licenses to marijuana producers, processors, and retailers; and

WHEREAS, Initiative Measure No. 502 directs the State Liquor Control Board to develop rules and regulations to:

1. Determine the number of producers, processors and retailers of marijuana by county; and

2. Develop licensing and other regulatory measures; and

3. Issue licenses to producers, processors, and retailers at locations which comply with the Initiative's distancing requirements prohibiting such uses within one thousand feet of schools, day cares, public parks, libraries, and other designated facilities; and

4. Establish a process for cities to comment prior to the issuance of such licenses; and

WHEREAS, the State Liquor Control Board adopted marijuana licensing rules and accepted license applications between November 18, 2013 and December 20, 2013; and

WHEREAS, beginning July 8, 2014 state issued licensees were allowed by the State to commence the retail sale of marijuana to members of the public; and

WHEREAS, because any production, processing, distribution or retail sales of marijuana remain strictly illegal under federal law, Initiative Measure No. 502's licensing scheme may be legally preempted by the federal CSA; and

WHEREAS, issuance of licenses and/or land use permits by the City of Stanwood that authorize businesses to engage in business activities in the City that violate the federal CSA, could subject the City and/or its employees to criminal prosecution under the federal CSA; and

WHEREAS, even the Governor's veto of ESSB 5073 referenced the position of the United States Department of Justice and multiple Untied States Attorneys that state employees who license or assist marijuana operations in becoming licensed would not be immune from federal criminal liability for assisting the applicants or conspiring to assist the applicants violate federal law; and

WHEREAS, while the United States Department of Justice ("DOJ") issued a letter on August 29, 2013, indicating that enforcement of marijuana related regulations in Washington should primarily rest with state and local law enforcement agencies, the DOJ also stated that if robust measures were ineffective to guard against certain identified harms or in the event of reluctance on the part of the state to ensure against the occurrence of identified harms, the federal government reserved the right to enforce federal laws despite the state's regulatory structure, and to challenge the state licensing structure itself. In a letter dated August 30, 2013, and in response to the letter from the DOJ, the National Sheriff's Association, the International Association of Chiefs of Police, the National Narcotic Officers Associations' Coalition, the Major Cities Chiefs Police Association, and the Association of State Criminal Investigative Agencies expressed extreme disappointment in the position of the DOJ; and

WHEREAS, On January 16, 2013 , the Washington State Attorney General's Office issued a formal opinion concluding that Initiative 502 does not preempt counties, cities, and towns from banning recreational marijuana businesses – producers, processors, and retailers – within their jurisdictions. The opinion states:

"Under Washington law, there is a strong presumption against finding that state law preempts local ordinances. Although Initiative 502 establishes a licensing and regulatory system for marijuana producers, processors, and retailers in Washington State, it includes no clear indication that it was intended to preempt local authority to regulate such businesses. We therefore conclude that I-502 left in place the normal powers of local governments to regulate within their jurisdictions."; and

WHEREAS, Initiative Measure No. 502 does not expressly curtail cities' legal authority to regulate business licenses and land uses within the City. Further, , the State Liquor Control Board's licensing rules do not include any process for determining whether state license applicants' proposed uses comply with local zoning or business license requirements; and

WHEREAS, as a result of the current rules' silence as to local zoning and business license requirements, there is a risk that businesses will obtain state licenses to engage in marijuana related businesses within the City of Stanwood without regard for whether such businesses comply with City zoning and business licenses requirements; and

WHEREAS, although the City's zoning and business license requirements will continue to apply regardless of the existence of the state issued license, the conflicting state licenses could cause confusion and unnecessary expense if the City's laws do not explicitly address marijuana uses; and

WHEREAS, issuance of a City license or permit authorizing activities that violate the federal CSA could be deemed by the federal government to be violations of the federal CSA and potentially subject the City and/or its employees to liability, arrest, and/or federal prosecution; and

WHEREAS, on August 8, 2013 , the City Council passed Ordinance 1347 establishing a moratorium on acceptance and processing of applications or approvals for building and land use permits and/or business licenses associated with marijuana businesses/activities, including but not limited to, marijuana production, processing and distribution within the City of Stanwood to allow time for City staff to work with the Stanwood Planning Commission in a public process to prepare amendments to the development code relative to the City's approach to regulating marijuana-based businesses; and

WHEREAS; on January 30, 2014, the City Council pass Ordinance 1368 extending the moratorium for a period of six months, on acceptance and processing of applications or approvals for building and land use permits and/or business licenses associated with marijuana businesses/activities, including but not limited to, marijuana production, processing and distribution within the City of Stanwood; and

WHEREAS, in 2005, in *Gonzales v. Raich*, 545 U.S. 1 (2005), the United States Supreme Court determined that intrastate regulation of marijuana by the federal government is a valid exercise of the power of Congress and that in the event of a

conflict between a state law that permits marijuana production, processing, distribution and possession and the federal CSA, the federal CSA will be deemed supreme. Therefore, it is unlikely that a court will determine that a state law can require a city to permit a land use or license a business that constitutes a federal crime under the federal CSA; and

WHEREAS, as a non-charter code city, Stanwood has specific authority to determine the appropriate uses of land through its zoning authority. Initiative Measure No. 502 contained no language specifically limiting the authority of cities to determine whether to permit marijuana land uses within city boundaries. In addition, the Liquor Control Board rules provide that the issuance of a state license shall not be construed as a license for, or an approval of, any violations of local rules or ordinances including, but not limited to, building and fire codes, zoning ordinances, and business licensing requirements; and

WHEREAS, the production, processing, and retail sale of marijuana, which remains illegal under federal law, has only recently become a permitted activity under Washington state law. Colorado is the only other state that permits the retail production, processing and sale of marijuana. Thus, the land use impacts associated with state licensed production, processing and retail sale of marijuana have not been established and are not understood. However, medical marijuana businesses in this state and others have commonly been associated with increased crime, objectionable odors, and increased exposure to marijuana by children; and

WHEREAS, on March 31, 2014 Division I of the Washington State Court of Appeals issued a published opinion in the case of Cannabis Action Coalition v. City of Kent No. 7039-0-I which addressed the City of Kent's authority to ban medical marijuana collective gardens through enactment of zoning regulations, and

WHEREAS, while the above referenced decision by the State Court of Appeals may still be reconsidered or appealed, at the time of adoption of this ordinance, the Court has ruled in favor of Kent, has declared that the plain language of ESSSB 5073, the medical marijuana statute, does not legalize medical marijuana or collective gardens and upheld Kent's zoning authority to ban collective gardens and found that the State Medical Use of Cannabis Act, Chapter 69.51A RCW does not pre-empt cities from enacting zoning ordinances to regulate or exclude collective gardens, and in fact expressly authorizes such regulations or exclusionary zoning; and

WHEREAS, it is unknown whether the state of Washington's regulatory scheme for recreational marijuana will sufficiently protect the federal government's enforcement priorities so as to continue avoiding federal enforcement of the federal CSA against marijuana businesses and/or the state's regulatory scheme; and

WHEREAS, the City of Stanwood is primarily residential in character, with a focus on creating pedestrian and child friendly areas in which businesses locate. With the land use impacts of allowing marijuana land uses largely unknown, it is not in the best interest of the City to allow marijuana businesses that could potentially disrupt the City's character and serve as a nuisance to City residents; and

WHEREAS, the City Council is charged with the responsibility of amending Stanwood Municipal Code (SMC) land use and development regulations as set forth in RCW Chapter 35A.63 and SMC Title 17 entitled "Zoning"; and

WHEREAS, the Growth Management Act, RCW Chapter 36.70A (GMA), specifically RCW 36.70A.040 and RCW 36.70A.120, requires the City to adopt development and zoning regulations to implement the City's Comprehensive Plan; and

WHEREAS, the City Council, Planning Commission and City staff have the authority under SMC Chapter 17.155 entitled "Amendments" to initiate amendments to the SMC; and

WHEREAS, the proposed amendment is subject to the provisions of the State Environmental Policy Act, RCW Chapter 43.21C and SMC Chapter 17.149 (collectively "SEPA"); and

WHEREAS, the City engaged in an extensive public notice and participation process with multiple opportunities for public participation, input and comment.

1. On May 13, 2014, the City issued a SEPA threshold Determination of Non-Significance for the proposed amendment to the development code; and
2. On May 27, 2014, the comment period for the Determination of Non-Significance expired and no comments were received; and
3. On May 8, 2014, the proposed amendment was submitted to the Washington State Department of Commerce for review, as required by RCW 36.70A.106. On May 12, 2014, notice was received from the Department of Commerce that the City of Stanwood had met the Growth Management Act notice to state agency requirements; and
4. On June 2, 2014, the Planning Commission held a public hearing on several alternatives which would result in potential amendments to the development code and continued the hearing to June 23, 2014; and
5. On June 23, 2014, the Planning Commission continued the public hearing to take any additional comments and review alternatives both allowing and prohibiting recreational marijuana; and
6. On July 8, 2014, the City re-issued a SEPA threshold Determination of Non-Significance for the proposed amendment to the development code; and
7. On July 22, 2014 the new comment period for the Determination of Non-Significance expired and no comments were received; and
8. On July 1, 2014 the proposed amendment was re-submitted to the Washington State Department of Commerce for review, as required by RCW 36.70A.106. On July 2, 2014, notice was received from the Department of Commerce that the City of Stanwood had met the Growth Management Act notice to state agency requirements; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has considered public testimony, the staff recommendation and presentation, and the several alternative measures that would result in amendment to the SMC and found that the preferred alternative and recommendation to the City Council should be one that results in an amendment prohibiting the establishment of marijuana related businesses; and

WHEREAS, during a regular meeting on July 24, 2014, staff presented the Planning Commission recommendation to the City Council; and

WHEREAS, following notice as required by law, the City Council also held its own public hearing on July 24, 2014 to ensure the public has ample opportunity to comment on the proposed ordinance; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that the amendments set forth in this ordinance are consistent with the City's Comprehensive Plan, the Growth Management Act, Title 17 of the SMC, and other applicable state and federal law, will implement the Comprehensive Plan, and will benefit the public health, safety, and welfare of the citizens of Stanwood; and

WHEREAS, the City Council reviewed the materials described above, considered any and all testimony provided at the public hearing held July 24, 2014 and, after review and consideration of the entire record, concurs with and adopts by reference the recommendations of the Planning Commission; and

WHEREAS, this Ordinance is not intended to address or affect existing City, state and federal laws that apply to personal use and possession of marijuana;

WHEREAS, each of the recitals above constitute findings of the City Council that support the decision to prohibit the production, processing and sale of marijuana within the City of Stanwood;

NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF STANWOOD, WASHINGTON, DO ORDAIN AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. SMC Section § 17.20.020 entitled "A" definitions, "Agriculture" is hereby amended to read as follows (All other definitions in SMC § 17.00.020 remains in effect and unchanged):

""Agriculture" means the tilling of soil, the raising of crops <u>(except marijuana)</u>, horticulture, viticulture, small livestock farming, pasturing, grazing, poultry, dairying and/or animal husbandry, including all uses customarily incidental thereto, except small animal husbandry."

Section 2. SMC Section § 17.20.040 entitled "C" definitions is hereby amended to add the following definitions (All other provisions of SMC § 17.20.040 remains in effect and unchanged):

""Crops" means cultivated plants or agricultural produce, such as grain, vegetables, or fruit. Marijuana plants and marijuana products shall not be included within this definition."

Section 3. SMC Section § 17.20.080 entitled "G" definitions is hereby amended to add the following definition (All other provisions of SMC § 17.20.080 remains in effect and unchanged):

""Gardening" means to lay out, cultivate or tend to a plat of ground where flowers, shrubs, vegetables, or fruits are grown by individuals, groups or organizations for private use. This term does not allow growing of marijuana in any form."

Section 4. SMC Section § 17.20.140 entitled "M" definitions is hereby amended to add the following definitions (All other provisions of SMC § 17.20.140 remains in effect and unchanged):

""Marijuana processor" means the definition as set forth in RCW 69.50.101.

"Marijuana producer" means the definition as set forth in RCW 69.50.101.

"Marijuana-infused products" means the definition as set forth in RCW 69.50.101.

"Marijuana retailer" means the definition as set forth in RCW 69.50.101.

"Marijuana Use" includes a store, agency, organization, dispensary, cooperative, network consultation, operation, or other business entity, group or person, no matter how described or defined, including any associated premises and equipment which has for its purpose or which is used to grow, select, measure, process, package, label, deliver, dispense, sell or otherwise transfer for consideration, or otherwise, marijuana in any form."

Section 5. SMC Section § 17.20.220 entitled "U" definitions is hereby amended to add the following definition (All other provisions of SMC § 17.20.220 remains in effect and unchanged):

""Usable marijuana" means the definition as set forth in RCW 69.50.101."

Section 6. SMC Section § 17.30.010 entitled "Permitted land uses and established classification of uses" is hereby amended to read as follows (All other provisions of SMC § 17.30.010 remains in effect and unchanged):

"17.30.010 Permitted land uses and established classification of uses.

(1) No building or structure shall be erected, converted, enlarged, reconstructed, or structurally altered, nor shall any building or structure or land be used, designed, or arranged for any purpose other than is permitted pursuant to this section in the district in

which the building or structure or land is located; provided, that such regulations shall not prohibit the continuance of an existing use.

(2) Land Use Classifications Established. This section establishes permitted, conditional, accessory and prohibited uses for all properties within the city limits. All uses in a given zone are one of the following five types:

(a) Permitted Use. Land uses allowed outright within a zone. The specific types of permitted uses are set forth in the zoning code chapters for each zoning district.

(b) Conditional Use. Uses with special characteristics that may not generally be appropriate within a zoning district, but may be permitted subject to review by the hearing examiner to establish conditions to protect public health, safety and welfare. The specific types of conditional uses are set forth in SMC 17.30.040, Zoning use table, and/or SMC 17.30.050, Zoning use conditions.

(c) Administrative Conditional Use. Uses with special characteristics that may not be generally appropriate within a zoning district but may be permitted subject to review by the community development director to establish conditions to protect public health, safety and welfare. The specific types of administrative conditional uses are set forth in SMC 17.30.040, Zoning use table, and/or SMC 17.30.050, Zoning use conditions.

(d) Accessory Use. Uses customarily incidental and subordinate to the principal use and located upon the same lot occupied by the principal use. Accessory uses are determined by the community development director or designee on a case-by-case basis.

(e) Prohibited Use. Any use which is not specifically enumerated or interpreted by the city as allowable in that district. Any use not specifically listed as a permitted, conditional, or accessory use is prohibited, except those uses determined to be unclassified and permitted by the community development director pursuant to SMC 17.30.020. Any prohibited use is illegal and is subject to civil or criminal penalties under Chapter 13.01 SMC.

<u>(A) Initiative 502 (I-502) attempts to legalize recreational use of marijuana; however the City of Stanwood prohibits the following under I-502:</u>

<u>(i) State licensed marijuana processors and producers are prohibited within any zone in the City of Stanwood.</u>

<u>(ii) State licensed marijuana retailers are prohibited within any zone in the City of Stanwood.</u>

(f) Unclassified Use. A use which is not a permitted use, a conditional use, or an accessory use, but which is interpreted by the community development director as similar to a permitted, conditionally permitted, or accessory use and not otherwise prohibited, pursuant to SMC 17.30.020. (Ord. 1294 § 10, 2011)."

Section 7. <u>Moratorium</u>. Ordinance No. 1368 establishing a moratorium is hereby repealed and replaced by this ordinance upon the effective date of this Ordinance.

Section 8. Severability. The various parts, sections and clauses of this ordinance are hereby declared to be severable. If any part, sentence, paragraph, section or clause is adjudged unconstitutional or invalid by a court of competent jurisdiction, the remainder of the Ordinance shall not be affected thereby.

Section 9. Effective Date. This Ordinance, shall take effect from and after five (5) days after its passage and publication as required by law.

PASSED AND APPROVED by the Stanwood City Council this 24th day of July 2014.

CITY OF STANWOOD

Leonard Kelley, Mayor

ATTEST:

By: _____
Greg Thramer, City Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

By: _____
Grant Weed, City Attorney

Date of Publication: July 29, 2014

Effective Date: August 3, 2014

RECEIVED
MAR 28 2018
CITY OF STANWOOD

March 27, 2018

To: City of Stanwood City Councilmembers and City Staff

RE: Marijuana Retail Sales in the City of Stanwood

I would like to state my opposition to a retail marijuana shop in downtown Stanwood. I don't feel that this type of a business represents what the citizens of this town want or need. Ordinance 1326 addresses this issue thoroughly.

The specific portion of the ordinance is why I think it is a bad idea to repeal 1376.

> The City of Stanwood is primarily residential in character, with a focus on creating pedestrian and child friendly areas in which businesses locate. With the land use impacts of allowing marijuana land uses largely unknown, it is not in the best interest of the City to allow marijuana businesses that could potentially disrupt the City's character and serve as a nuisance to City residents.

Has our community changed that much in four years? Do the citizens now want to compromise our small town's character? Are we now a city of marijuana users that can't drive 5 miles for our pot? And if the rumors are true and the retail shop location is on the corner across from the Police Station, what does that look like to visitors? If that is what I saw on Main Street when we were looking to move here, we would not be living here now.

We all realize the City needs revenue, but please don't compromise our culture for a few thousand dollars a year.

Sincerely,

Julie Johnson
27126 96th Ave NW
Stanwood, WA 98292

Ryan C. Larsen

From: kellyandstefanie@wavecable.com
Sent: Wednesday, March 28, 2018 8:38 AM
To: Ryan C. Larsen; Leonard Kelley
Subject: Recreational Marijuana Overlay - Please forward to City Council/Planning Commissioners and Parties of Record today if possible

Ryan-

Per our discussion yesterday, I want to formally recommend and perhaps further evolve an idea, that Cliff Grant suggested in his letter to City Council. Simply stated, consideration of a Recreational Marijuana Overlay, rather than sweeping changes to the MB 1/2 and GC zoning codes. I suggest the parcel immediately West of PUD's substation (the previous home of the medical dispensary) and LI/GI parcels that fall outside the 1000' buffers.

The subject of access to marijuana is one I am passionate about, especially on the grounds of compassion to those who stand to benefit from its use. Emerging science continually demonstrates it is safer to use marijuana recreationally than to drink alcohol, especially in excess. I recently read a study about opiod use in Colorado, that has begun to fall with evidence pointing towards marijuana being substituted for pain management. (http://ajph.aphapublications.org/doi/abs/10.2105/AJPH.2017.304059?journalCode=ajph). That is not to say marijuana is without it's perils. Absent a medical reason to use it, children appear to be especially vulnerable to changes in their developing brains when exposed to THC. While I believe the benefits of improving access to recreational and medical marijuana outweigh the negatives, it makes no sense to me to entertain the possibility of such a facility along our main tourist corridor, parade and festival location and where families can reasonably express a disproportionate exposure of their children owing to it's location. I would have the same concern about a liquor store, smoke shop, adult bookstore, card room or strip club. Our town center ought not to be the showcase of such a facility and residents should be taken seriously about wanting some control over the shape and culture our City takes.

We have a long and successful track record of sales of marijuana co-existing with the City and it's inhabitants. The former dispensary location is prime as is much of our industrial land outside of the recommended buffers. What's more, this business, once established will have an practical monopoly over sales in town as no other facility can locate within 2500 feet of it. Unlike a gas station or a coffee shop, the business does not need a high traffic location to thrive.

This topic is an emotional one, and is ripe for compromise if folks are willing to move to a compromise. As we currently sit there are marijuana proponents in town moving towards resisting retail sales if main street is a location considered, and marijuana opponents offering olive branches if the zoning changes are limited to either the former dispensary or LI/GI areas. I would ask the City Council to consider a zoning overlay rather than staff's current aforementioned recommendation.

Thank you

Kelly McGill

Erica Schorn

From: Nancy H <nancyswandahansen@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 29, 2018 1:51 PM
To: Clerk, City; Leonard Kelley; Elizabeth Callaghan
Subject: Please vote NO on retail marijuana shops in Stanwood

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

(Attn:City Clerk - Please forward this e-mail to all city council members. Thank you.)

Dear City Council and Mayor Leonard
Kelley, 3/29/2018

I live in the Stanwood city limits and I oppose recreational shops being allowed in town. Please vote NO on this proposal.

I attended the city planning commission meeting on March 26th where dozens of citizens came together to speak at the public hearing on this issue. Including the SHS boy who is a quadriplegic due to riding in a car with a driver that was high on marijuana. A dozen or more spoke in opposition and only five spoke in favor of it. A document from the city, 74 pages, was presented to the planning commission from citizen e-mails containing overwhelming opposition to this issue. Many questions and concerns were raised by the citizens. Please review the city planning commission meeting minutes from 3/26 and read the citizens comments that were spoken. There was a lot of research presented that I hope was forwarded to you in document form.

Please hear the citizens, we have great concern about the speed at which this decision is being made. We are concerned it will negatively affect the "family-friendly" atmosphere of our down town area and normalize drug use for young children.

After 10 years of legalized marijuana in Colorado, 45% of teens there now use the drug on a daily basis. This is alarming! And, 24% of all fatalities in car crashes there occurred because the operator of the vehicle was high.

Retail marijuana has only been legal on the street since 2013, and its just too early to know what the outcomes on a city will be. Please wait.

Has there been any research done by the council or the city that would establish reason to overturn the 12 page city ordinance, #1376? There are a lot of harms listed in the ordinance that concern me. The planning commission chose to give you no recommendation, so I ask that a citizen sub-committee be appointed by the city council to research the effects and outcomes of retail marijuana shops on small towns.

Thank you for listening and considering my concerns on this issue. And, thank you for serving the people of our great town, Stanwood!

Sincerely,
Nancy Hansen

March 30, 2018

To the City Council, Planning Commission, Community Development Department and Mayor of the City of Stanwood:

I am writing regarding the proposed changes to the Stanwood Municipal Code to allow for retail marijuana within the city. As far as I can tell, the proposed zoning changes have moved forward without deliberation by the council about *whether* to continue prohibiting marijuana sales in Stanwood, and have moved directly to *how* and *where* it will be done (complete with zoning proposals and rules for distributors and retailers).

In reading existing ordinance 1376 [(1)], it is clear there were reasoned grounds (several pages) for why the city adopted the ordinance to prohibit the sale and distribution within the city. Having attended two Planning Commission meetings that examined a new proposal to repeal this ordinance, and having reviewed the documents provided, I fail to see any rebuttal of the grounds stated in the existing ordinance. Indeed, the existing ordinance was not made available to the Planning Commission or meeting attendees as part of the packet of documents to consider at their meetings on this topic. Moreover, since this proposal was made, I have not heard nor read anywhere else in the public record what the reasons of the Council, Mayor, Staff, or Planning Commissioners are that refute the relevant language of the reasons stated in the existing ordinance.

The rationale in the existing ordinance outlines several concerns regarding health, safety, substance abuse, financial concerns, liability exposure to the City of Stanwood, and more. Furthermore, these concerns are supported by the research cited within the ordinance. The ordinance also states that it is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan to prohibit sales and production of marijuana in Stanwood.

The burden of proof lies with the proponents of this proposed change to the status quo. Sound governance and honest leadership ought to provide adequate refutation of the

reasons given in this or any ordinance before proceeding to repeal it. Furthermore, the rule of law implies and attempts to secure for the governed, a governance by *laws* and not by men/women only. U.S. Court of Appeals Judge Diane Wood once said that "...neither laws nor the procedures used to create or implement them should be secret; and...the laws must not be arbitrary." [(2)] This complete reversal on the existing ordinance, without providing a rationale substantively adequate for the new proposal is nothing if not arbitrary. And absent open discussions of the rationale, such a proposed change is hardly transparent.

For the council to perform a complete repeal of this ordinance without providing equally reasonable rationale that refutes the grounds of the existing ordinance in order to replace the existing one can hardly be construed as reasonable governance. I urge you to provide such rationale and make it available to the public for discussion during any and all public meetings pertaining to this topic.

With regard for your service and for the citizens of Stanwood,

Bryan Hansen

(1) http://www.ci.stanwood.wa.us/sites/default/files/fileattachments/ordinance/1496/ord_1376_prohibit_rec_marijuana.pdf

(2) https://www.americanbar.org/content/dam/aba/migrated/publiced/features/Part1DialogueROL.authcheckdam.pdf

Erica Schorn

From: Peggy Kitting <peggypooh321@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, March 30, 2018 1:04 PM
To: Leonard Kelley; Rob C. Johnson; Dianne X. White; Larry Sather; conrad.tyer@ci.stanwood.wa.us; Judith Williams
Cc: Erica Schorn
Subject: NO POT ON MAIN STREET

Dear City Council and Mayor Leonard Kelley, 3/30/2018

I live in the Stanwood city limits and I oppose recreational shops being allowed in town. Please vote NO on this proposal.

I attended the city planning commission meeting on March 26th where dozens of citizens came together to speak at the public hearing on this issue. Including the SHS boy who is a quadriplegic due to riding in a car with a driver that was high on marijuana. A dozen or more spoke in opposition and only five spoke in favor of it. A document from the city, 74 pages, was presented to the planning commission from citizen e-mails containing overwhelming opposition to this issue. Many questions and concerns were raised by the citizens. Please review the city planning commission meeting minutes from 3/26 and read the citizens comments that were spoken. There was a lot of research presented that I hope was forwarded to you in document form.

Please hear the citizens, we have great concern about the speed at which this decision is being made. We are concerned it will negatively affect the "family-friendly" atmosphere of our down town area and normalize drug use for young children.

After 10 years of legalized marijuana in Colorado, 45% of teens there now use the drug on a daily basis. This is alarming! And, 24% of all fatalities in car crashes there occurred because the operator of the vehicle was high.

Retail marijuana has only been legal on the street since 2013, and its just too early to know what the outcomes on a city will be. Please wait.

Has there been any research done by the council or the city that would establish reason to overturn the 12 page city ordinance, #1376? There are a lot of harms listed in the ordinance that concern me. The planning commission chose to give you no recommendation, so I ask that a citizen sub-committee be appointed by the city council to research the effects and outcomes of retail marijuana shops on small towns.

Thank you for listening and considering my concerns on this issue. And, thank you for serving the people of our great town, Stanwood!

Sincerely,
Peggy Kitting
7229 286th PL NW
Stanwood, WA 98292

Erica Schorn

From: Gary Williams <ganawilliams72@comcast.net>
Sent: Friday, March 30, 2018 1:50 PM
To: Erica Schorn
Subject: Marijuana Shops in Stanwood

Erica: Please forward this letter to City Council and the Mayor:

Dear City Council and Mayor Leonard
Kelley, 3/30/2018

I live in the Stanwood city limits and I oppose recreational shops being allowed in town. Please vote NO on this proposal.

I attended the city planning commission meeting on March 26th where dozens of citizens came together to speak at the public hearing on this issue. Including the SHS boy who is a quadriplegic due to riding in a car with a driver that was high on marijuana. A dozen or more spoke in opposition and only five spoke in favor of it. A document from the city, 74 pages, was presented to the planning commission from citizen e-mails containing overwhelming opposition to this issue. Many questions and concerns were raised by the citizens. Please review the city planning commission meeting minutes from 3/26 and read the citizens comments that were spoken. There was a lot of research presented that I hope was forwarded to you in document form.

Please hear the citizens, we have great concern about the speed at which this decision is being made. We are concerned it will negatively affect the "family-friendly" atmosphere of our down town area and normalize drug use for young children.

After 10 years of legalized marijuana in Colorado, 45% of teens there now use the drug on a daily basis. This is alarming! And, 24% of all fatalities in car crashes there occurred because the operator of the vehicle was high.

Retail marijuana has only been legal on the street since 2013, and its just too early to know what the outcomes on a city will be. Please wait.

Has there been any research done by the council or the city that would establish reason to overturn the 12 page city ordinance, #1376? There are a lot of harms listed in the ordinance that concern me. The planning commission chose to give you no recommendation, so I ask that a citizen sub-committee be appointed by the city council to research the effects and outcomes of retail marijuana shops on small towns.

Thank you for listening and considering my concerns on this issue. And, thank you for serving the people of our great town, Stanwood!

Gary and Nancy Williams
27832 72nd Avenue, NW
Stanwood, WA 98292

Ryan C. Larsen

From: Melissa Girard <mgirard06@live.com>
Sent: Friday, March 30, 2018 11:18 PM
To: Ryan C. Larsen
Subject: Pot shop

Hi Ryan,
My name is Melissa Girard and I'd like to share and have put in record my opinion of a pot shop in Stanwood.
Please allow me to give my own personal experience with drugs and what I hope for my 4 young children.
I grew up in a divorced home, my 2 siblings (1 twin and a half brother) and myself were all taught to "Just Say No" to drugs. While all 3 of us tried "non-hardcore drugs", 2 out of 3 of us used that (marijuana) as a gateway drug. My sister got tangled up w/other drugs as did my half-brother. Luckily, for my sister, she straightened herself out before my niece was born. My brother was not so lucky; he spent time in jail because he fell so far down the rabbit hole of drugs.

I realize the tax revenue could help our city, but I urge our City Council, to think even bigger picture. Family history DOES play a role in drug addiction and it doesn't always matter what is taught in the home. Again, my siblings and I were all taught the same thing, and while I was able to "experiment" my siblings played a higher cost for that experimenting. Please, think of THIS SCENARIO. I can assure you, struggling to help my brother was so hard- interacting with someone who I NEVER knew growing up, it broke my heart.
Learning of what my sister had struggled with while trying to deal with an unexpected pregnancy- that put me over the edge.
Families can be destroyed, families are broken. I know State laws support marijuana use, but I know my family is not the exception. Please, I beg the city and ALL the city council members to think of ALL the possible outcomes of having a pot shop within city limits. I pray my story isn't/won't be a normal/regular story, but I fear what reality will be. It currently IS a reality.

If the City is set on having this revenue, please move the location to somewhere not on the Main Street. Stanwood is unique in that I think we have a couple different spots for "Main Street", please, don't allow this sort of business in either location. There is enough in the world today I need to explain to my young children. How do I explain the potential danger of this to my young kids? Why would the city I chose to raise my family, as a safe and good place to live, allow such a thing? Let my kids be kids!!! Let them have their innocence, allow me the choice to push them forward in these matters. Please!!
As parents, we would put ourselves in harm's way to protect them, even if only for a little bit. Please, think of this, remember this- I am begging you, help me arm my kids, please all our families arm our kids with the knowledge at the right time!
I ask that this email be forwarded to all city council members. I know times are changing. Please share this, as I believe this is still a very real family story. We want our families to thrive, we want to make Stanwood stronger. I want my kids to do better and be better than it was for my siblings and myself. I want education and protection for the young kids in our community. And having a pot shop right on Main Street, normalizing this is NOT hitting either goal. We need this new generation to take a stand and push forward to make our town a better place to be.

Thank you for your time,
Melissa Girard

Sent from my iPhone

Ryan C. Larsen

From: Amy M Rusko
Sent: Tuesday, April 3, 2018 8:07 AM
To: Ryan C. Larsen
Subject: FW: Retail Marijuana Sales in Stanwood

From: michaelr131@aol.com [mailto:michaelr131@aol.com]
Sent: Monday, April 02, 2018 9:24 PM
To: Amy M Rusko <Amy.Rusko@ci.stanwood.wa.us>
Subject: Retail Marijuana Sales in Stanwood

Senior Planner Rusko

Bringing marijuana sales to the 'main street business' area of Stanwood is unacceptable. Not only is this proposal out of character with the look and feel of the Stanwood business area, but I believe it to be out of compliance with the Comprehensive Plan for Stanwood in a number of ways. Every other city in Snohomish county except Everett forbids marijuana sales in the main street business areas and that shop is far removed from the downtown core. I am neither for nor against marijuana, per se, but I do not want a pot shop staring me in the face every day or night that I come into downtown Stanwood. Placed in a 'general commercial', 'light industrial', or 'general industrial' zoning would be a more appropriate location. Thank you for your understanding and please make me a party of record for this proposal.

Michael Wooten

Stanwood 629-9190

Erica Schorn

From: Arnold Ronning <arnoldandreberg@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 05, 2018 9:25 AM
To: Erica Schorn
Subject: Council and retail marijuana

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Hi,

Regarding our conversation moments ago:

A few comments about who I am and why I am sending this:
My name is Arnold Ronning and although our farm lies just outside city limits (we are 2.5 miles east of the high school) I consider myself a citizen of the greater Stanwood-Camano community. I moved here at the age of ten from Edmonds, Washington, and have lived most of my adult life here as well, excluding higher education and five years abroad teaching high school ESL.
I graduated from the U. of Washington School of Medicine in 1996 and from the Dartmouth Children's Hospital residency program in 1999. I was board-certified in pediatrics in 2000. I practiced pediatrics in Arlington, Washington, from 2000 - 2004.

I have not attended any of the recent community meetings regarding discussion of whether or not to permit retail marijuana within city limits. Although I justified this decision based upon living outside city limits, I am beginning to realize my actual motive may have been closer to apathy.

I understand it has been a passionate debate, much of which is fueled by emotion and lacking in data.

I would like to pass on an article from the March 2018 issue of PEDIATRIC NEWS. This is not a peer-reviewed journal, it is a more casual format that simply tries to relate trending news related to the field of pediatrics. (Link below.)

https://www.mdedge.com/pediatricnews/article/158862/addiction-medicine/embrace-complexity-marijuana-use-adolescents

I believe that concern for youngsters in the community plays an important part in our decision making on this matter. I hope the views expressed in this article are helpful.
I can certainly pass on other similar articles if you wish. I think the overall consensus is that marijuana, while not the harbinger of reefer madness, is not without risks, especially in the adolescent population. Also, contrary to folklore, cannabis does have addictive potential which may be exaggerated in youngsters.

Sincerely,

Arnold Ronning, MD

Pediatric News. MDedge

LATEST NEWS

Embrace the complexity of marijuana use in adolescents

Publish date: February 16, 2018

By Doug Brunk; Pediatric News

REPORTING FROM NPA 2018

Las Vegas – When talking with adolescents and their families about marijuana use, Kevin M. Gray, MD, recommends embracing the complexity of the issue.

"Avoid polarizing this topic and avoid vilifying cannabis," he advised at an annual psychopharmacology update held by the Nevada Psychiatric Association. "Take an interest in what they have to say about cannabis. Work in a gentle, nonconfrontational way where you avoid polarization and find some common ground where you can agree that maybe there's some good and some bad [about cannabis], but the overwhelming evidence in adolescents is that there's more harm than good with cannabis use, particularly recreationally."

To illustrate the complexity, he noted that cannabis can be safe and benign, can contain medicinal components, and can be risky and harmful. "These can all simultaneously be true, and it's important for patients and families to understand that," he said. "Opening a discussion that way is much more productive than saying, 'This is bad for you.' "



Dr. Kevin M. Gray

Dr. Gray <http://academicdepartments.musc.edu/psychiatry/addiction-sciences/faculty/gray-kevin.html> , professor and director of child and adolescent psychiatry at the Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, acknowledged that clinicians face a delicate balance between risk and benefit, even among Food and Drug Administration–approved medications. However, teens and families may struggle with these nuances, especially in light of the

term "medical marijuana." Some assume that "medical" implies "beneficial." Others may equate "marijuana" with "natural," which they may, in turn, equate with being "harmless."

"Perception is critically important," Dr. Gray said at an annual psychopharmacology update held by the Nevada Psychiatric Association.

Cannabis initiation typically occurs during adolescence, and rates of initiation and use are increasing. According to Dr. Gray, 55% of U.S. high school seniors have used marijuana, 23% use currently, and 6% use daily. "Those are the ones who have adverse outcomes," he said. Young users are particularly prone to dependence symptoms and an inability to cut back their use. The odds of meeting criteria for cannabis use disorder are substantially greater in adolescent users than they are in adults regardless of time frame or intensity of use. Researchers have observed that 9% of cannabis-exposed adults versus 17% cannabis-exposed adolescents develop cannabis dependence.

"In a dose-dependent manner, adolescent cannabis use is associated with adverse academic, occupational, cognitive, psychiatric, and substance use outcomes," Dr. Gray said, adding that the average potency of delta-9-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) in seized cannabis increased from 3% in 1992 to 11% in 2010. "Cannabis use in adolescence is associated with increased incidence and worsened course of psychotic, mood, and anxiety disorders. Serious cannabis-associated risks are well recognized and are particularly striking in adolescents. Adult-onset cannabis users may experience fewer adverse effects."

Evidence-based psychosocial approaches for adolescents with cannabis use disorder include motivational enhancement therapy, which involves building rapport in a gentle way, with phrasing such as "Tell me what you like about marijuana use" and "What don't you like about it?" Dr. Gray described motivational enhancement therapy as "a gentle nudge for behavior change" that serves as a bridge to cognitive-behavioral therapy, family therapy, and contingency management. "That said, long-term abstinence outcomes are generally poor," he said. "People tend to go back to use."

N-acetylcysteine (NAC) shows promise as a medication for adolescents with cannabis use disorder. NAC activates the cystine/glutamate exchanger and upregulates the GLT-1 receptor, which leads to reduction in reinstatement of drug seeking in animal models. One trial of NAC supported efficacy in 116 cannabis-dependent adolescents (Am J Psychiatry. 2012 Aug;169[8]:805-12 <https://ajp.psychiatryonline.org/doi/abs/10.1176/appi.ajp.2012.12010055?url_ver=Z39.88-2003&rfr_id=ori%3Arid%3Acrossref.org&rfr_dat=cr_pub%3Dpubmed>). Led by Dr. Gray, the trial consisted of 8 weeks of active treatment on placebo or NAC 1,200 mg BID. All participants received weekly brief cessation counseling and twice-weekly contingency management. The researchers found that adolescents in the NAC group were more than twice as likely to submit a negative urine specimen during treatment than were their counterparts in the placebo group (odds ratio, 2.4; P = .029). In addition, those in the NAC group also were significantly more likely than were those in the placebo group to achieve end-of-treatment abstinence, which was defined as self-reported abstinence confirmed by negative urine testing throughout the last 2 weeks of treatment (OR, 2.3; P = .054).

A similarly designed adult trial indicated that adolescent findings did not translate to adults (Drug Alcohol Depend. 2017 Aug 1;177:249-57 <http://www.drugandalcoholdependence.com/article/S0376-8716(17)30262-4/fulltext>). “Whether this may be due to developmental differences in the course and phenomenology of cannabis use disorder, we don’t know,” Dr. Gray said. “For now, NAC remains the only pharmacotherapy with positive published intent-to-treat clinical trial abstinence findings for cannabis use disorder in adolescents. Positive adolescent findings must be replicated, but the necessary behavioral treatment platform must be clarified to translate successfully to real-world practice.”

Dr. Gray disclosed that he receives research funding from the National Institutes of Health.

dbrunk@frontlinemedcom.com

Copyright © 2018 Frontline Medical Communications Inc., Parsippany, NJ, USA. All rights reserved. Unauthorized use prohibited.The information provided is for educational purposes only. Use of this Web site is subject to the medical disclaimer and privacy policy.

Erica Schorn

From: mollysmusic20 <mollysmusic20@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 10, 2018 2:43 AM
To: Erica Schorn
Subject: Sale of Marijuana

I feel the sale of marijuana should be allowed in Stanwood, WA.

Krista M. Anderson

Sent from my T-Mobile 4G LTE Device

Erica Schorn

From: Ryan C. Larsen
Sent: Tuesday, April 10, 2018 4:17 PM
To: Erica Schorn
Subject: FW: Financial Aspects of Ordinance 1456
Attachments: Stanwood_Ordinance_1456_Comments_Financial_Risk-Reward_4-10-2018.pdf

Please print and provide to City Council at the meeting.

Ryan C. Larsen
City Administrator/Community Development Director

Community Development
City of Stanwood
10220 270th St NW, Stanwood, WA 98292
360.629.2181 x4509 | Fax 360.629.3009

www.ci.stanwood.wa.us

My incoming and outgoing email messages are subject to public disclosure requirements per RCW 42.56.

From: Cliff Grant [mailto:cliff.j.grant@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, April 10, 2018 2:56 PM
To: Leonard Kelley <Leonard.Kelley@ci.stanwood.wa.us>
Cc: Rob C. Johnson <Rob.Johnson@ci.stanwood.wa.us>; Dianne X. White <Dianne.White@ci.stanwood.wa.us>; Timothy Pearce <Timothy.Pearce@ci.stanwood.wa.us>; Elizabeth Callaghan <Elizabeth.Callaghan@ci.stanwood.wa.us>; Conrad Ryer <Conrad.Ryer@ci.stanwood.wa.us>; Larry Sather <Larry.Sather@ci.stanwood.wa.us>; Judith Williams <Judith.Williams@ci.stanwood.wa.us>; Devin M Sola <Devin.Sola@ci.stanwood.wa.us>; Ryan C. Larsen <ryan.larsen@ci.stanwood.wa.us>
Subject: Financial Aspects of Ordinance 1456

Dear Mayor Kelley,

Please find attached a letter with comments regarding the financial aspects of proposed Ordinance 1456.

Regards,

Cliff Grant
8201 283rd St NW
Stanwood, WA 98292
(425) 971-3610

April 10, 2018

Stanwood City Mayor
City of Stanwood
10220 270th St NW
Stanwood, WA 98292

Re: April 12, 2018 City of Stanwood Council Agenda Staff Report on Ordinance 1456 – New Retail Recreational Marijuana Rules

cc: Councilmembers, Planning Commissioners, Ryan Larsen

Dear Mayor Kelley,

I understand that one of the primary reasons for the City pursuing retail marijuana in Stanwood is to increase tax revenue to the City. This has been stated publicly by certain City Council members, Planning Commission members, and City staff.

Clearly, the City is obligated to conduct thorough due diligence that includes a proper risk-reward analysis of allowing marijuana retail within Stanwood.

I have a few relatively minor concerns about the methods used to estimate the financial *reward* to the City, which I'll address in the following pages.

I have much more serious concerns about the financial *risk* to the City. I have not seen any publicly available documentation that discusses the City's examination of the financial risks to the City. Surely there must be some risks that should be assessed and discussed. Would certain businesses not want to locate in Stanwood if there was a marijuana shop nearby? Would Stanwood miss out on certain events? Would shoppers who would otherwise frequent Stanwood businesses take their business elsewhere? Would there be increased costs to the Stanwood Police Department? Are there risks that the Federal Government might act to disallow federal funding to cities that allow a federally illegal substance to be sold? There are talks of this – and they did this with drinking age, speed limits, helmet laws, even texting while driving laws [(1)]. Are there risks that the City and/or City officials might be sued if someone were injured or killed from a marijuana related incident? The answer to these questions might well be that the risk is low or acceptable. However, the real questions is: what risk assessment has the City undertaken regarding marijuana retail?

Is the City moving ahead with a significant change to our City without first conducting a thorough, open, and unbiased assessment? I'm hoping that's not the case, but it certainly appears that way.

Since revenue to the City is a key objective, then it is important to fully understand 1) the State's process and intentions related to tax distributions to local governments, and 2) projections of tax revenues paid to the City.

I have the following concerns regarding the "Financial Impact" section of the subject report.

1) Distribution of Tax Revenue

The City appears to be overstating the amount of potential marijuana excise tax revenues distributed by the state after 2019.

The subject report includes the following in the "Distribution of Tax Revenue" section on page 7:

> • The total share of marijuana excise tax revenues distributed to counties and cities may not exceed fifteen million dollars in fiscal years 2018 and 2019 and twenty million dollars per fiscal year thereafter.

The above statement is true but is missing an important piece of information. The total annual distribution to counties and cities is not expected to exceed fifteen million dollars, as outlined below.

The Washington 2018 Supplemental Operating Budget [(2)] passed by the legislature and signed by Governor Inslee on March 27, 2018 includes the following statement (Sec. 910, page 423) regarding marijuana excise tax distributions:

"The total share of marijuana excise tax revenues distributed to counties and cities in (g)(i) of this subsection (2) may not exceed fifteen million dollars in fiscal years 2018 and 2019 and twenty million dollars per fiscal year thereafter. It is the intent of the legislature that the policy for the maximum distributions in the subsequent fiscal biennia will be no more than fifteen million dollars per fiscal year."

The City should not assume an increase in excise tax distributions after 2018.

2) Retail Sales and Tax Revenue Projections for the City of Stanwood

The City appears to be overstating the projected level of retail sales and tax revenues.

The subject report includes the following in the "Distribution of Tax Revenue" section on page 7:

> Retail Sales and Tax Revenue Projections
> Retail sales at licensed marijuana stores are projected to increase, but any projections of tax revenue to be distributed to cities and counties are merely speculation at this point. However, city staff has contacted several cities and have found a range of approximately $25,000 to $45,000 annually.
>
> Since part of the distribution is based on excise tax collected from retail stores within each jurisdiction, the amount distributed to each city and county will depend upon the volume of sales from retailers within their jurisdiction.

The statement that "any projections of tax revenue to be distributed to cities and counties are merely speculation" is certainly true.

City staff did not provide any supporting data or outline the method used to determine the "range of approximately $25,000 to $45,000 annually." It is not clear if this range is what City staff expects for Stanwood, or if it is simply what "several cities" are seeing. Are these cities similar in population, and if not, has the difference in population been accounted for? A portion of the excise tax distribution is per capita based, so populations of comparison cities have to be taken into account. How many retail stores are within these cities? Since Stanwood is expecting to have only one store, has that been accounted for? A portion of the excise tax distribution is based on sales, so total sales in other cities have to be taken into account.

The February 26, 2018 City of Stanwood Planning Commission Agenda Staff Report on "New Recreational Marijuana Rules" did not include any estimates for the dollar amounts that the City of Stanwood could potentially receive. This lack of estimate was raised by concerned citizens at the meeting. The City Administrator responded that the City had contacted a couple nearby cities but could not share any financial estimates due to sales data for retail stores being proprietary.

The Stanwood City Administrator's apprehension about sharing retail store sales data and city tax revenue is baseless.

The Washington State Liquor and Cannabis Board collects data on retail marijuana sales and makes this data available to the public and easily accessible on the State's website [(3)]. The State provides data on retail sales generated by each retail marijuana store in Washington, as well as data on tax revenues paid to all cities and counties in Washington [(4) (5)].

Based on this readily available data, it is not difficult to estimate potential sales for a Stanwood marijuana retail store and projected tax revenues to the City. I easily collected data from retail shops located in a number of nearby and other cities including: Aberdeen, Anacortes, Arlington, Blaine, Burlington, Ferndale, Granite Falls, Lake Stevens, Mount

Vernon, Oak Harbor, Ocean Shores, Port Angeles, Port Orchard, Port Townsend, Sedro Wooley, Sequim, Shelton, and Tumwater. The average retail sales for stores in these cities is about $1.6 million.

$1.6 million in annual sales is a reasonable assumption for a Stanwood store. The Bud Hut on Camano had total sales of $2.06 million for fiscal year 2017. Since there are marijuana retail stores surrounding Stanwood (Arlington, Conway, Mount Vernon, Sylvana (coming soon) and on Camano), it is reasonable to estimate that a store in Stanwood will have sales similar to those stores. It is likely that a Stanwood store will take away some sales from these locations as well as generate some new sales. It would be unreasonable to think that a Stanwood store would have higher sales than nearby stores. For example, the store in Granite Falls has annual sales well above the average but this is likely due to the store pulling from the Marysville demographic where marijuana retail sales is illegal.

If $1.6 million in sales is assumed, sales tax collected for the City of Stanwood (0.84%) can be estimated at about $13,400.

The State's distribution of tax revenues to cities and counties is a bit more complicated since it is somewhat dynamic and involves distributions related to sales and population. Considering the excise tax distribution to the cities listed above (and normalizing for annual sales and population), the estimated distribution to Stanwood for fiscal year 2018 would be about $13,200.

So, the estimated total revenue (sales tax and excise tax) to Stanwood is approximately $26,600. The City's estimate of $25,000 to $45,000 seems inflated, however the real concern is that the City did not provide any basis of estimate, except that it "contacted several cities."

The City should conduct much better due diligence by conducting a more thorough analysis of projected revenues. This analysis should be shared with the citizens of Stanwood since it would only include data and information that is already publicly available. I propose that this analysis be performed by the City Finance Department, or at a minimum reviewed and concurred by the City Finance Department.

3) Estimating Tax Revenue Beyond 2018

<u>The City appears to be overstating the potential for excise tax increases after 2018.</u>

The subject report includes the following on pages 7 and 8:

> **Marijuana excise tax distribution increasing 2018**
> **Cities that receive a distribution from the Liquor and Cannabis Board (LCB) for the sale and distribution of marijuana will see an increase in the distribution. The adopted supplemental budget increased the maximum amount to be distributed to cities and counties from $6 million per fiscal year to $15 million per fiscal year.**

The title and paragraph in that section are confusing and appear to be incomplete. The total amount of marijuana excise tax distributed to counties and cities was $6 million for fiscal year 2017. The maximum amount increased to $15 million for fiscal years 2018 and 2019. And, as stated previously, the state legislature's intent is to keep subsequent distributions at $15 million.

Therefore, the City of Stanwood should not expect increases in marijuana excise tax revenues after 2018, since if a shop is opened in Stanwood, it would be during a time when the maximum distribution amount to cities and counties is already at $15 million – and the legislature intends to limit the distribution to this amount.

I urge the City to address these concerns to ensure proper due diligence can be performed by the City and shared with the citizens of Stanwood.

Thank you for your time.

Regards,

Cliff Grant
8201 283rd St NW
Stanwood, WA 98292
(425) 971-3610

Sources:

(1) https://www.theatlantic.com/politics/archive/2014/07/four-times-the-government-held-highway-funding-hostage/454167/

(2) http://lawfilesext.leg.wa.gov/biennium/2017-18/Pdf/Bills/Senate%20Passed%20Legislature/6032-S.PL.pdf

(3) https://dor.wa.gov/about/statistics-reports/recreational-and-medical-marijuana-taxes

(4) http://fiscal.wa.gov/TaxDistributionsAll

(5) https://data.lcb.wa.gov/stories/s/WSLCB-Marijuana-Dashboard/hbnp-ia6v/

BRICKLIN & NEWMAN LLP

lawyers working for the environment

Reply to: Seattle Office

April 10, 2018

Erica Schorn
Deputy Clerk
City of Stanwood
10220 270th Street NW
Stanwood, WA 98292

Re: Proposed Retail Marijuana Amendments

Dear Ms. Schorn:

Enclosed please find 11 copies of a letter from Jacob Brooks to the Mayor and Councilmembers of the City of Stanwood regarding the above-referenced matter. One of the enclosed copies is for you. I am writing to ask that you distribute the other copies to the people listed below:

Linda Utgard, Commissioner
Kelly McGill, Commissioner
Randy Heagle, Commissioner
Steve Shepro, Commissioner
Lance Dennis, Commissioner
Susan Ronken, Commissioner
Marcus Metz, Commissioner
Devin M. Sola, Planning Commission Clerk
Ryan C. Larsen, City Administrator
Amy Rusko, Senior Planner

Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Very truly yours,

BRICKLIN & NEWMAN, LLP

Peggy S. Cahill

Peggy S. Cahill, Legal Assistant

psc
Enclosures

1424 Fourth Avenue, Suite 500, Seattle, WA 98101 • 25 West Main, Suite 234, Spokane, WA 99201
(206) 264-8600 • (877) 264-7220 • www.bricklinnewman.com

BRICKLIN & NEWMAN LLP
lawyers working for the environment

Reply to: Seattle Office

April 10, 2018

Leonard Kelley, Mayor
Rob Johnson, Councilmember
Dianne White, Councilmember
Elizabeth Callaghan, Councilmember
Timothy Pearce, Councilmember
Larry Sather, Councilmember
Conrad Ryer, Councilmember
Judy Williams, Councilmember
City of Stanwood
10220 270th Street NW
Stanwood, WA 98292

Re: Proposed Retail Marijuana Amendments

Dear Mayor and Councilmembers:

I am writing on behalf of Support Stanwood, a group of citizens and residents of Stanwood who are concerned about the effects of the proposed change to the City's zoning code that would allow marijuana retail in Stanwood's downtown. Many of Stanwood's citizens have already spoken to the reasons that marijuana retail is not appropriate within Stanwood and I will not repeat those reasons here — instead, I am writing about the effect of Stanwood's Comprehensive Plan upon the City's proposed amendments to the zoning code.

The Comprehensive Plan plays an important role in the City's decision to amend the zoning code. Text amendments of the zoning code can only be made if certain criteria are met — namely, whether "[t]he purpose and desired effect of the proposed zoning code amendment are consistent with the Stanwood Municipal Code;" "[t]here is a positive relationship to the public health, safety and welfare of the community;" and "[t]he proposed amendment is consistent with the Stanwood Comprehensive Plan." SMC 17.155.090(2). Without consistency with the Comprehensive Plan and a positive relationship between the public health, safety, and welfare of the community, the zoning code amendments cannot go forward.

The proposal to allow marijuana retail in Stanwood is clearly inconsistent with the vision and direction of Stanwood's Comprehensive Plan. From the general vision statements of the Comprehensive Plan, which declare that "the City's sense of community is highly valued and residents would like to retain this character at all costs," to the recognition of the "specific

characteristics that are unique to the City" and that residents "would like to maintain the special qualities of the City" — the Comprehensive Plan repeatedly declares that Stanwood has unique qualities that should be preserved. As scores of citizens have repeatedly commented, those special qualities do not include marijuana retail.

Specific goals and policies of the Comprehensive Plan make it exceedingly clear that amending the zoning code to allow marijuana retail would be incompatible with the Comprehensive Plan. Numerous policies and goals within the Comprehensive Plan emphasize growing the downtown area into a cultural gathering place for all people (including children) and promoting the small-town character of Stanwood that allows families to flourish—something that marijuana retail is incompatible with:

> Land Use Policy 3.5
> *Limit new commercial and industrial development to uses and projects that complement the small-town character of Stanwood.*
>
> Land Use Policy 6.2
> *When appropriate, site new civic and cultural facilities, including City Hall and the Library, in the Downtown Center.*
>
> Land Use Policy 8.4
> *Support increased bus service in the area around the transit center in East District serving the Amtrak railroad station.*
>
> Land Use Goal 6
> *Encourage and promote the development or enhancement of retail areas to achieve a balanced shopping, dining, cultural, and entertainment experience in the Downtown Center.*
>
> Land Use Goal 2
> *Re-vitalize and reinforce the character of Stanwood's existing residential and commercial neighborhoods as infill and redevelopment occur.*
>
> Land Use Goal 19
> *Future land use designations shall ensure the optimum use of the land for present and future generations while minimizing the threat to the natural environment.*
>
> Economic Development Policy 8.9
> *Seek opportunities to use public facilities, parks, and open spaces to attract users into the downtown and promote economic activity by creating attractive and dynamic multi-use places.*

> Economic Development Policy 8.10
> *Work with regional transit agencies to ensure that bus routes serve downtown and Stanwood Station as a jumping off point for further exploration.*
>
> Economic Development Policy 8.12
> *Encourage additional residential development within walking distance of the downtown commercial area.*

The Comprehensive Plan's goals and policies are clear: future use and development in Stanwood must be compatible with Stanwood's unique characteristics as a family-friendly destination. Allowing retail marijuana is simply not compatible with Stanwood's small-town character and vision for a downtown gathering place with parks, a library, residential areas, and a transit center.

Furthermore, there has been absolutely no showing from the City to justify the proposed amendment to the zoning code. Proponents of a rezone have the burden of proof in demonstrating that conditions have changed since the original zoning and the rezone bears a substantial positive relationship to the health, safety, morals, or welfare of citizens as a whole. *Citizens for Mount Vernon v. City of Mount Vernon*, 133 Wn. 2d 861, 875 (1997). The proponents of the amendments to the zoning code have not met their burden in showing that marijuana retail is now necessary within Stanwood or that the proposed amendments are consistent with the Comprehensive Plan (nor could they make such showing). Notably, the public meetings related to this issue and the staff report presented to the Planning Commission on March 26, 2018 contain no discussion of how this zoning change is compatible with the Comprehensive Plan. This blatant disregard of the Comprehensive Plan speaks volumes — the proposed amendment is not consistent with the Comprehensive Plan, and simply ignoring the Comprehensive Plan will not fix the problem.

Separately, the proposed amendment suggests utilizing an administrative conditional use permit instead of a conditional use permit. Utilizing an administrative conditional use permit for marijuana retailers is inappropriate here because of the incompatibility of marijuana retail with surrounding uses in Stanwood — marijuana retail applications should go to the hearing examiner for an open record hearing to determine the appropriate conditions to attach to the application. Administrative conditional use permits are processed as Type II applications, where conditional use permits are processed as Type III applications. SMC 17.80.120(3). The difference between the two processes is significant, and the extra scrutiny and public input provided by the conditional use permit process is necessary to evaluate the conditions necessary to impose upon retail marijuana facilities. *Compare* SMC 17.81B.310 *with* SMC 17.81B.210.

In sum, the City must not adopt these proposed amendments. The amendments are simply not consistent with the Stanwood Comprehensive Plan. The proponent of this amendment has not met the burden of proof in showing that these amendments are necessary and valid. Finally, the amendments propose utilizing an administrative process that is clearly not appropriate for considering marijuana retail facilities that will be significantly in conflict with the surrounding

land uses of Stanwood. Therefore, I strongly urge you to deny the proposal to amend the zoning code to allow marijuana retail within Stanwood.

Very truly yours,

BRICKLIN & NEWMAN, LLP

Jacob Brooks

Jacob Brooks

cc: Linda Utgard, Commissioner
Kelly McGill, Commissioner
Randy Heagle, Commissioner
Steve Shepro, Commissioner
Lance Dennis, Commissioner
Susan Ronken, Commissioner
Marcus Metz, Commissioner
Devin M. Sola, Planning Commission Clerk
Ryan C. Larsen, City Administrator
Amy Rusko, Senior Planner
Erica Schorn, Deputy Clerk
Grant Weed, City Attorney
Client

Erica Schorn

From: Leonard Kelley
Sent: Wednesday, April 11, 2018 10:20 AM
To: Erica Schorn
Subject: FW: Good Afternoon

Please forward to council members

From: Barbara Tolbert [mailto:btolbert@arlingtonwa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, March 15, 2018 2:28 PM
To: Leonard Kelley <Leonard.Kelley@ci.stanwood.wa.us>
Subject: Good Afternoon

Mayor,

It was great to talk with you last week.

I wanted to follow up with you on our communities experience with I502 businesses. As you can imagine the community received a lot of anecdotal information. The council in it's wisdom ask our public safety departments to track any incidents related to the store or processors and report those findings quarterly.

That was three years ago and we've yet to have one public safety issue with the businesses, in fact most of our council has toured the businesses and were impressed with the level of security and the inventory tracking the business does. WSLCB works closely with our businesses to ensure compliance of all regulations.

What the Council didn't anticipate is how much the businesses and their owners and employees would become part of the Arlington Community. Their are represntatives on our local Rotary board, they industry has adopted a city park where employees do at least twice a year clean ups. The flex fund to move opiate addicts to treatment has been adopted and the I502 businesses regularly host fund raising events to contribute to the fund.

The businesses also jointly fund our 4th of July fireworks and downtown decorations for the holidays. Instead of being viewed as outsiders ready to destroy our Quality of Life, thay have become volunteers, funders and even lend their expertise to our local garden club for beautifying our outdoor spaces.

All of the above benefits to the Arlington Community weren't known before the businesses located here, and the Council is relieved that no drain or impact on public safety was realized.

Barb Tolbert | Mayor | 360.403.3442
City of Arlington, Washington

Erica Schorn

From: Leonard Kelley
Sent: Wednesday, April 11, 2018 10:22 AM
To: Erica Schorn
Subject: FW: Article: Marijuana legalization could help offset opioid epidemic
Attachments: Marijuana legalization could help offset opioid epidemic.docx

Please forward to Council members, this was brought to my attention and was asked to submit it in the record.

From: Krista D. Hintz
Sent: Tuesday, April 3, 2018 10:06 AM
To: Leonard Kelley <Leonard.Kelley@ci.stanwood.wa.us>
Subject: Article: Marijuana legalization could help offset opioid epidemic

Krista Hintz

Krista Hintz
Administrative Assistant II, Finance
City of Stanwood
10220 270th Street NW
Stanwood, WA 98292
Phone No. (360) 629-2181 x 4505
www.ci.stanwood.wa.us

Marijuana legalization could help offset opioid epidemic, studies find

By Mark Lieber, CNN

Updated 1:57 PM ET, Mon April 2, 2018

(CNN) Experts have proposed using medical marijuana to help Americans struggling with opioid addiction. Now, two studies suggest that there is merit to that strategy.

The studies, published Monday in the journal JAMA Internal Medicine, compared opioid prescription patterns in states that have enacted medical cannabis laws with those that have not. One of the studies looked at opioid prescriptions covered by Medicare Part D between 2010 and 2015, while the other looked at opioid prescriptions covered by Medicaid between 2011 and 2016.

The researchers found that states that allow the use of cannabis for medical purposes had 2.21 million fewer daily doses of opioids prescribed per year under Medicare Part D, compared with those states without medical cannabis laws. Opioid prescriptions under Medicaid also dropped by 5.88% in states with medical cannabis laws compared with states without such laws, according to the studies.

"This study adds one more brick in the wall in the argument that cannabis clearly has medical applications," said David Bradford, professor of public administration and policy at the University of Georgia and a lead author of the Medicare study.

"And for pain patients in particular, our work adds to the argument that cannabis can be effective."

Medicare Part D, the optional prescription drug benefit plan for those enrolled in Medicare, covers more than 42 million Americans, including those 65 or older. Medicaid provides health coverage to more than 73 million low-income individuals in the US, according to the program's website.

"Medicare and Medicaid publishes this data, and we're free to use it, and anyone who's interested can download the data," Bradford said. "But that means that we don't know what's going on with the privately insured and the uninsured population, and for that, I'm afraid the data sets are proprietary and expensive."

'This crisis is very real'

The new research comes as the United States remains entangled in the worst opioid epidemic the world has ever seen. Opioid overdose has risen dramatically over the

past 15 years and has been implicated in over 500,000 deaths since 2000 -- more than the number of Americans killed in World War II.

"As somebody who treats patients with opioid use disorders, this crisis is very real. These patients die every day, and it's quite shocking in many ways," said Dr. Kevin Hill, an addiction psychiatrist at Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center and an assistant professor of psychiatry at Harvard Medical School, who was not involved in the new studies.

"We have had overuse of certain prescription opioids over the years, and it's certainly contributed to the opioid crisis that we're feeling," he added. "I don't think that's the only reason, but certainly, it was too easy at many points to get prescriptions for opioids."

Today, more than 90 Americans a day die from opioid overdose, resulting in more than 42,000 deaths per year, according to the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Opioid overdose recently overtook vehicular accidents and shooting deaths as the most common cause of accidental death in the United States, the CDC says.

Like opioids, marijuana has been shown to be effective in treating chronic pain as well as other conditions such as seizures, multiple sclerosis and certain mental disorders, according to the National Institute on Drug Abuse. Research suggests that the cannabinoid and opioid receptor systems rely on common signaling pathways in the brain, including the dopamine reward system that is central to drug tolerance, dependence and addiction.

"All drugs of abuse operate using some shared pathways. For example, cannabinoid receptors and opioid receptors coincidentally happen to be located very close by in many places in the brain," Hill said. "So it stands to reason that a medication that affects one system might affect the other."

But unlike opioids, marijuana has little addiction potential, and virtually no deaths from marijuana overdose have been reported in the United States, according to Bradford

"No one has ever died of cannabis, so it has many safety advantages over opiates," Bradford said. "And to the extent that we're trying to manage the opiate crisis, cannabis is a potential tool."

Comparing states with and without medical marijuana laws

In order to evaluate whether medical marijuana could function as an effective and safe alternative to opioids, the two teams of researchers looked at whether opioid prescriptions were lower in states that had active medical cannabis laws and whether those states that enacted these laws during the study period saw reductions in opioid prescriptions.

Both teams, in fact, did find that opioid prescriptions were significantly lower in states that had enacted medical cannabis laws. The team that looked at Medicaid patients also found that the four states that switched from medical use only to recreational use -- Alaska, Colorado, Oregon and Washington -- saw further reductions in opioid prescriptions, according to Hefei Wen, assistant professor of health management and policy at the University of Kentucky and a lead author on the Medicaid study.

"We saw a 9% or 10% reduction (in opioid prescriptions) in Colorado and Oregon," Wen said. "And in Alaska and Washington, the magnitude was a little bit smaller but still significant."

The first state in the United States to legalize marijuana for medicinal use was California, in 1996. Since then, 29 states and the District of Columbia have approved some form of legalized cannabis. All of these states include chronic pain -- either directly or indirectly -- in the list of approved medical conditions for marijuana use, according to Bradford.

The details of the medical cannabis laws were found to have a significant impact on opioid prescription patterns, the researchers found. States that permitted recreational use, for example, saw an additional 6.38% reduction in opioid prescriptions under Medicaid compared with those states that permitted marijuana only for medical use, according to Wen.

The method of procurement also had a significant impact on opioid prescription patterns. States that permitted medical dispensaries -- regulated shops that people can visit to purchase cannabis products -- had 3.742 million fewer opioid prescriptions filled per year under Medicare Part D, while those that allowed only home cultivation had 1.792 million fewer opioid prescriptions per year.

"We found that there was about a 14.5% reduction in any opiate use when dispensaries were turned on -- and that was statistically significant -- and about a 7% reduction in any opiate use when home cultivation only was turned on," Bradford said. "So dispensaries are much more powerful in terms of shifting people away from the use of opiates."

The impact of these laws also differed based on the class of opioid prescribed. Specifically, states with medical cannabis laws saw 20.7% fewer morphine prescriptions and 17.4% fewer hydrocodone prescriptions compared with states that did not have these laws, according to Bradford.

Fentanyl prescriptions under Medicare Part D also dropped by 8.5% in states that had enacted medical cannabis laws, though the difference was not statistically significant, Bradford said. Fentanyl is a synthetic opioid, like heroin, that can be prescribed legally by physicians. It is 50 to 100 times more potent than morphine, and even a small amount can be fatal, according to the National Institute on Drug Abuse.

"I know that many people, including the attorney general, Jeff Sessions, are skeptical of cannabis," Bradford said. "But, you know, the attorney general needs to be terrified of fentanyl."

'A call to action'

This is not the first time researchers have found a link between marijuana legalization and decreased opioid use. A 2014 study showed that states with medical cannabis laws had 24.8% fewer opioid overdose deaths between 1999 and 2010. A study in 2017 also found that the legalization of recreational marijuana in Colorado in 2012 reversed the state's upward trend in opioid-related deaths.

"There is a growing body of scientific literature suggesting that legal access to marijuana can reduce the use of opioids as well as opioid-related overdose deaths," said Melissa Moore, New York deputy state director for the Drug Policy Alliance. "In states with medical marijuana laws, we have already seen decreased admissions for opioid-related treatment and dramatically reduced rates of opioid overdoses."

Some skeptics, though, argue that marijuana legalization could actually worsen the opioid epidemic. Another 2017 study, for example, showed a positive association between illicit cannabis use and opioid use disorders in the United States. But there may be an important difference between illicit cannabis use and legalized cannabis use, according to Hill.

"As we have all of these states implementing these policies, it's imperative that we do more research," Hill said. "We need to study the effects of these policies, and we really haven't done it to the degree that we should."

The two recent studies looked only at patients enrolled in Medicaid and Medicare Part D, meaning the results may not be generalizable to the entire US population.

But both Hill and Moore agree that as more states debate the merits of legalizing marijuana in the coming months and years, more research will be needed to create consistency between cannabis science and cannabis policy.

"There is a great deal of movement in the Northeast, with New Hampshire and New Jersey being well-positioned to legalize adult use," Moore said. "I believe there are also ballot measures to legalize marijuana in Arizona, Florida, Missouri, Nebraska and South Dakota as well that voters will decide on in Fall 2018."

Hill called the new research "a call to action" and added, "we should be studying these policies. But unfortunately, the policies have far outpaced the science at this point."

Erica Schorn

From: Amy M Rusko
Sent: Thursday, April 12, 2018 8:13 AM
To: Erica Schorn; Ryan C. Larsen
Subject: FW: approve of legal site of cannibus

Amy Rusko
Senior Planner
City of Stanwood
(360) 629-2181 ext 4520
Amy.Rusko@ci.stanwood.wa.us

From: Shoaleh Monadjemi [mailto:smonadjemi@hotmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, April 11, 2018 7:43 PM
To: Amy M Rusko <Amy.Rusko@ci.stanwood.wa.us>; Steve Shepro <sgshepro@yahoo.com>
Subject: approve of legal site of cannibus

I would like to put my two cents in for allowing legalized marijuana within the city limits, within the allowed zoning, for cannibus.

I have no issue with it. I have a local business, Studio 271. If we allow hard alcohol to be sold in our grocery stores, and have taken the state out of the control of selling, why shouldn't marijuana be allowed? I was not in favor of hard alcohol to go the the public sector. To see all the measures grocery and drug stores have gone to is incredible, due to theft, I assume mostly teenagers. I guarantee no minor entered the state controlled liquor stores. The Cannibus stores are very regulated and pose no danger to our youth. Only the illegal adults that supply our youth pose that danger, which is going to happen no matter what.

Education and community culture is what will be the foundation for our youth.

The city can use the tax dollars, and people will drive to Conway, or Camano or Arlington.... I don't believe allowing Marijuana being sold in town will change the behavior of our local citizens. Hopefully, it will lesson the illegal activity going on.

Thank you, Shoaleh